Some Notes on Pamijahans’ Manuscripts

T. Christomy

ABSTRACT
Pamijahan is a small village in the southern part of the regency (kabupaten) of Tasikmalaya in the Priangan Timur area of West Java. This village is very important in the history of Shattariyah in Java. Today, it is a popular site for pilgrims visiting the tomb of Shaykh Abd al-Muhyi, the ancestor of the Pamijahanese and the propagator of Shattariyah. This article explores some manuscripts related to Shaykh Abd al-Muhyi found in this area.

Key words: Shattariyah, Shaykh Abd al-Muhyi, pilgrimage, babad

INTRODUCTION
Kakantun, in Sundanese, is a complimentary word for something left by someone with a special position in the speaker’s eyes. It may also be used to signify action or culture from the past. Karuhun is a kinship term referring to predecessors at least two or three generations back and is often used in ritualised language. The Pamijahanese understand the meaning of kakantun karuhun as a reference for tali paranti, or custom, as well. The manuscripts in Pamijahan are mostly written in Sundanese and Javanese, using pegon script. Pegon is the term for the Arabic script adopted for the Sundanese and Javanese languages.
This is clear evidence of a Javanese scholarly influence in the interior of Sunda.

Philological research on Sundanese manuscripts found in the land of Sunda is just in its beginning. Of course, there has been research done on old Sundanese epics such as *Lutung Kasarung* and *Mundinglaya* (Pleyte 1910) conducted by Dutch orientalists. Continuing this task, local Sundanese scholars, in particular Ekadjati (2000) and Atja and Ayatrohaedi (Atja 1984/1985), have made preliminary inventories of manuscripts. It is due to their contributions that Sundanese scholars today have unprecedented access to their written heritage.

In contrast to orientalist accounts of Sundanese and Javanese manuscripts, Ekadjati and his colleagues have recorded diverse genres of Sundanese written material owned by local collectors, many of which are still in use within their communities. The living use of manuscripts adds a post-colonial dimension to a tradition whose place was once believed to be the sterile “safety” of public library collections. For instance, many manuscripts dealing with the isolated Kampung Naga community (a mere 60 km. north-west of Pamijahan) and with Pamijahan itself have been taken away for study, not only by Dutch researchers but also by Indonesians. Their action may well have been agreed to by the owners of the manuscripts, but today I believe there is room for scholars to discuss the functions and continuing significance of manuscripts in the communities from which they originate. We are well aware of the question: how can we study a manuscript when it is no longer in the possession of its true owners? One should also discuss the possibility of desecration involved in the removal of a ritual object from its environment. We need a new framework in philological studies, an “ethno-philology”, to provide a better understanding of both the artefact and the narrative it transmits as well as the functions of both in the view of the people to whom the manuscript belongs.

I now turn my attention to the manuscripts found in Pamijahan. They have not been previously described in any catalogue or scholarly study. As suggested in manuals of philological practice, it is important to make a preliminary note listing the manuscripts relating to Shaykh Abdul Muhyi that are held in various places. For this purpose, I will refer to the Library of the Rijksuniversiteit in Leiden, the National Library of Indonesia (Perpustakaan Nasional) in Jakarta, as well as personal collections in West Java, particularly in and around Pamijahan. However, for further analysis, I will only use the manuscripts found in Pamijahan.

The Library of the Rijksuniversiteit in Leiden holds at least 33 manuscripts mentioning the name of Abdul Muhyi. These are Cod. Or. 7461, Cod. Or. 7265, Cod. Or. 7717, Cod. Or. 77176, Cod. Or. 7527, LOr 7412, Cod. Or. 7432, Cod. Or. 75333, Cod. Or. 7764, Cod. Or. 7721, Cod. Or. 7705, Cod. Or. 7526, Cod. Or. 7743, Cod. Or. 7857, Cod. Or. 7446, Cod. Or. 7414, Cod. Or. 7705, Cod. Or. 7486, Cod. Or. 7454 (Mal. 2225), Cod. Or. 7419, Cod. Or. 7455, Cod. Or. 7540, Cod. Or. 7465, Cod. Or. 2235, Cod. Or. 7708, Cod. Or. 6534, Cod. Or.
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7432, Cod. Or. 7753, Cod. Or. 8634, Cod. Or. 7459, Cod. Or. 6461, Cod. Or. 6457b, LOr. 7689. They contain both mystical doctrines and chronicles (Ekadjati 2000).

I shall deal with texts from outside the village first, since these were what I first encountered in the field. There are a number of manuscripts owned by private collectors, who are mostly Shattariyyah followers or their heirs. Ekadjati (2000) reports that many Shattariyyah manuscripts have already been identified in various parts of West Java, but there are many others still awaiting description not only in West Java, but also in the farther provinces of Central and East Java. It is interesting to note, however, that the National Library of Indonesia in Jakarta holds only one manuscript associated with Shaykh Abdul Muhyi, namely SD 180 (E.Kossim & et al. 1974). This manuscript appears to have been vandalised; while its binding is intact, only one page of the text remains.

At the outset, it is important to make an inventory and a description of manuscripts associated with Pamijahan, both are kept in the village and scattered through neighbouring areas. D.A. Rinkes (1909) has supplied us with preliminary information in manuscripts held in Tasikmalaya and Cirebon which reflect Pamijahan genealogies, but he has not given us an adequate description of those found in the village itself, nor does he offer any text edition of Javanised versions of Shattariyyah practice and belief. In response to this lacuna, I will now briefly sketch the Shattariyyah teachings found in the Pamijahan manuscripts and in other manuscripts closely associated with Shaykh Abdul Muhyi.

I discovered seven works that could be characterised as historical chronicles (babad) or that relate to mystical orders and to Shattariyyah in particular. I surveyed five Shattariyyah texts (described below as mss. A, B, C, D and F), one Qadiriyyah text (ms. E) and two babad texts (mss. G, H). I believe there are other manuscripts in the hands of villagers, which demand further research.

Certain individuals have inherited the role of custodians who preserve the chronicles (babad) and Sufi writings (kitab tarekat). These are Ajengan Satibi (85 years of age at the time of my fieldwork), Ajengan Endang (47), Pak Apap (65) and Pak Beben (35). These individuals enjoy a high social status and may play an important role in the community, partly by virtue of their blood relationship with Shaykh Abdul Muhyi. Ajengan Endang, for example, brother of a recent custodian of Pamijahan and Ajengan Satibi, is the son of the immediately previous custodian. Both of them are respected as ajengan and they are often invited by people from other villages to give lectures or to deliver sermons. Ajengan Endang is more popular than Ajengan Satibi, even though he is younger, because he is recognised as having ilmu laduni and his lectures quite often attract large audiences. Ilmu laduni is spiritual knowledge that allows someone to go beyond a material boundary. Pamijahanese mention that Haji Endang is able to preach sermons in two places at the same time. This is possible, they say, because his mastery of ilmu laduni allows him to appear in different...
places at the same time. Pak Beben is formally recognised as a Shattariyyah leader, because he holds both an ijazah, a religious license from a Shattariyyah master, and a certificate of recognition from the government. The ijazah is a letter of authorization given by a Sufi master. Whoever possesses such an ijazah is eligible to lead dikir, or the recitation of selected verses from the Qur’an, and to establish a new branch of the order.

The kitab tarekat found in Pamijahan have three main features in common in terms of form and content. First, it is the historical feature telling of the legitimation of the master. This is the genealogy or silsilah. Second, is a practical aspect outlining methods of recitation and contemplation. Third, it is a more philosophical aspect under which are described the foundations of the metaphysical doctrine of the Shattariyyah referred to as “The Seven Levels of Being”, or the martabat tujuh.

Unfortunately, not all of the Pamijahan manuscripts are wholly legible. Some have been damaged or incomplete. In time, comparisons with manuscripts from neighbouring areas may turn up clues to missing or unclear contents. Let us now turn to a description of the manuscripts found in Pamijahan. To provide a further illustration of these texts, particularly of Shattariyyah manuscripts, I will include a manuscript from Limus Tilu, which has been examined by Ekadjati.

In this part I use the form of description suggested by Virginia Hooker (1991) in her study of Malay manuscripts.

A SHATTARIYYAH MANUSCRIPT (MS. A)

This manuscript has not been described in any catalogue. It is in the Javanese language. There is no title, but the first sentence states:

(This is a kitab which tells about the genealogy of Shattariyyah) “Utawi ikilah kitab ingdalem anyatakaken turunan-turunan dadalan Shattariyyah”.

Dating and place: There is no date, but it is believed to have been written by the villagers or a forebear of Ajengan Endang.

Scribe: -
Owner: Ajengan Endang Pamijahan, Tasikmalaya
Script: Pegon
Dimensions: 29 cm × 21 cm
Paper: locally made paper or dluwang
Pages: 28
Lines per page: 10—11
Colour: brown
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Comments:
On the cover, which was probably added by the latest owner, there are some words written in Latin script which include “Memed hutang gula, uyah, bako, kertas, mangsi. 1956” (Lent to Memed: sugar, salt, tobacco, paper and ink. 1956), indicating the latest year in which a textual addition might have been made to the cover.

The manuscript is evidently a copy. There is no mention of the date when the copy might have been made. The original would have been composed long before the copy was made. Ajengan Endang said that the original text was written in the 18th or 19th century by one of his ancestors (eyang). According to Ajengan Endang it would have been copied three generations before his time. He further claims that this kitab was given to him by his father, Jabidi, whom he believes to have been the last Shattariyyah follower in Pamijahan to possess a traditional letter of authorisation (ijazah).

On pages 7 and 8 of the manuscript, mystical diagrams illustrate the Shattariyyah doctrine of the Seven Levels of Being. The technical “area of dikir” is also schematised on page 24. It shows how the dikir should be started and incorporated into the rhythm of breathing.

A SHATTARIYYAH MANUSCRIPT (MS. B)

Dating and place: There is no date, but the manuscript is believed to have been copied by villagers or ancestors of Mama Ajengan Satib.

Scribe: -
Owner: Mama Ajengan Satibi, Pamijahan, Tasikmalaya
Script: Pegon
Dimension: 21 × 29 cm
Paper: locally made paper or dluwang
Pages: 9-71 = 62
On the first page is written the name of the current owner – Satibi of Pamijahan. According to Satibi, he inherited the manuscript from his grandfather. Ajengan Endang, often borrows it. The first to ninth pages comprise a collection of favourite prayers assembled over generations, quite separate from the Shattariyyah content. This manuscript is therefore a collation of texts.

The Shattariyyah kitab itself is in Javanese. It begins with a line of transmission beginning from Muhammad and ending with Shaykh Abdul Muhyi of Safarwadi and his sons (pp. 9-10). Interestingly, in this silsilah section, after the name of Muhyi’s son, there is an unfinished sentence which reads “Kang putra kiahi Mas Nida Muhammad Muhyi ing Karang desane lan ing Safarwadi padukuhane lan ia iku amuruk maring....” (The son Kiahi Mas Nida Muhammad Muhyi in the village of Karang and in Safarwadi and he taught...) The empty space at the end of this sentence is to be used by other followers who have obtained the ijazah and who are allowed to inscribe their names there. This raises the possibility that this manuscript was copied from others dating from the period of Kiahi Mas Nida Muhammad Muhyi, who is believed to have lived some time in the late 18th or early 19th century, since he was the grandson of Muhyi. Information provided by Beben Muhammad Dabas, 1997.

On page 13 there is the phrase “la ilaha illa l-lahu”. (There is no God but Allah) written in bold letters, and the Sufi interpretation of this testimony to instruct the disciples. The doctrine of the Shattariyyah begins on page 14 with:

“Bismi l-lah r-rahaman r-rahim, punika martabat Allah Ta’ala tatkala ingdalem ghoib sang karhih cinaritaken tatkala awang-awang uwung-uwung bumi langit durung ana.”

(In the name of God the Compassionate and Merciful...this is the reality of God at the level of His mysteriousness. We tell of the time of emptiness before the universe had been created).
A full diagram portraying the metaphysical doctrine of the Shattariyyah is given on page 14 and the way Shattariyyah chant (dikir) should be performed is set out on pages 50, 52 and 55.

A SHATTARIYYAH MANUSCRIPT (MS. C)

This manuscript is also not described in any catalogue. 
 Dating and place: There is no date, but it is believed to have been written by an ancestor of Abdullah Apap in Pamijahan.

Scribe: - 
Owner: Abdullah Apap, Pamijahan-Tasikmalaya 
Script: Pegon 
Dimension: 32 × 20 cm 
Paper: book paper 
Pages: 40 
Lines per page: 1 = 13, 2—40 = 14 
Colour: black, almost illegible 

Comments:
Raden Abdullah Apap is a retired primary school teacher and a member of the local Sundanese aristocracy. By insisting on the use of his title Raden, he affirms his linkage to the old aristocratic house of Sukapura, Tasikmalaya. He is author of the first book of Sejarah Perjuangan Shaykh Abdul Muhyi (The History of Shaykh Abdul Muhyi’s Mission) published in Pamijahan. The book concerns the mission, or perjuangan, of Muhyi based on this manuscript and on oral sources collected from his family. However, he admitted to me that he is not capable of reading and interpreting the kitab properly, although he is aware that its contents derive from the teachings of Abdul Muhyi.

A SHATTARIYYAH MANUSCRIPT (MS. D)

Scribe: Muhammad Akna 
Dating and place: 
Owner: Muhammad Akna 
Script: Pegon 
Dimension: 33 cm × 21 cm 
Paper: book paper 
Pages: 40 
Lines per page: page 1 = 13 lines, pages 2-40 = 14 lines 
Colour: brown
Comments:
This manuscript contains seven fragments of text. The first talks about jurisprudence (fiqh) and includes instructions on the correct practice of salat prayer. The second discusses the essence of the confession of faith (syahadat), the third describes Sufi teaching, the fourth presents the Shattariyyah silsilah, the fifth section schematises the main points of Shattariyyah doctrine, and the sixth and seventh describe dikir ritual and the categories of student, or murid.

Unlike manuscripts A, B and C, this manuscript uses both the Sundanese and Javanese language. For example, the manuscript describes the essence of syahadat in Javanese. “Punika jatining syahadat Asyhadu an la tegese gempuring syahadat lenyeping tunggal.” (This is the essence of the syahadat Asyhadu an la...that is to say, the radiation of syahadat merges into the Oneness).

Sundanese appears instead of Javanese in the third part of the text, which discusses the teaching of tasawwuf. “Ari kitab anu katilu mertelakeun ilmu tassauf. Kanyahokeun....” (The third kitab tells about ilmu tassawuf). In other places, it switches between Javanese and Sundanese, particularly in the section recording the silsilah of the Shattariyyah. “Punika nganyataken turunan nana perjalanan tarekat Shattariyyah anu kawit ti Kangjeng Rasulullah.” (This is the genealogy of Shattariyyah starting from God’s Emissary). The same pattern is also used in elaborating the dikir recitation, which it says is derived from the great-grandson of Shaykh Abdul Muhyi, Kiai Bagus Hijaya. “Punika wiridna Kiai Bagus Hijaya atawa Kiai Bagus Haji Irfan puterana Kiai Bagus Nida Muhiddin. Sanggeus dikir....” (The text here reads: “This is an invocation of Kiai Bagus Hijaya or Kiai Bagus Haji Irfan, the son of Kiai Bagus Nida Muhiddin. After the invocation...”). Such patterns are probably influenced by the manuscript’s use in the community. In contemporary Pamijahan, Sundanese texts are often cited in sermons. Indeed the Javanese text may not be intended for use in a communal teaching session at all but rather as a source or reference for the leader (guru), or the adherents of the order, the ikhwan who want to develop their knowledge.

KITAB ISTIQAL TAREKAT QADIRIYYAH-NAQHSABANDIYYAH (MS. E)

Scribe: Idoh of Kebon Manggu, Cibalanarik, Sukaraja, Tasikmalaya
Dating and place: date unknown. Sukaraja, Tasikmalaya
Owner: Idoh, Kebon Manggu, Cibalanarik, Sukaraja, Tasikmalaya
Script: Pegon
Dimension: 24 cm × 17 cm and 20 cm × 15 cm
Paper: HVS
Pages: 84 pp
Lines per page: 14
Colour: brown
Comments:
According to the villagers, this work is called *Kitab Istiqal Thariqah Qadiriyyah Naqshabandiyyah* (The Book of the Way of the Tarekat of the Qadiriyyah and the Naqshabandiyyah) and was ‘copied’ from an old manuscript written by Shaykh Abdul Muhiy himself.

The opening colophon reads:

This is the *Kitab Istiqal Tarekat Qadiriyyah Naqshabandiyyah*. The copying was begun on Monday in the month of Jumadil Awal, coinciding with the day of Kliwon on the second day of Jumadil Awal, 1390 Hijrah. This coincides with July 6, 1970. It was finished on Saturday, 5pm, the 21st day of Jumadil Awal, 1390 which coincides with July 25, 1970.

Comments:
Initially I heard about this manuscript from a custodian of the sacred site at Panyalahan, but at that time, he could not show it to me. According to him, a colleague had taken it away. The custodian of Panyalahan subsequently lost contact with the manuscript following his colleague’s death and he could no longer trace it.
After I had been six months in the village, I received information that a retired army officer, Pak Syafii, now held the manuscript. Pak Syafii was a man of influence in the community, not just because he was a custodian reputed to have supernatural powers, but also because he was a retired Major. Through the efforts of an intermediary, he finally permitted me to view the manuscript and make a copy of it. This small incident is interesting for the light it throws on the preservation of manuscripts in Pamijahan. Traditionally, it is the site custodians (kunci) who must keep, or at least monitor the whereabouts of sacred texts dedicated to their village and their ancestors. However, because of tensions among the main families of the village, each has felt more secure safekeeping his own manuscripts and not reporting them to the custodians.

This kitab, as far as I know, is quite different from other Pamijahan manuscripts, or indeed manuscripts from other places. It incorporates various Sufi texts. Its title indicates two well-known schools, the Qadiriyyah and the Naqshabandiyyah, which are indeed traditionally linked. However, in the introduction, the scribe also talks eclectically of other tarekat, namely the Shattariyyah, Asrariyyah, Anfasiyyah and Muhammadiyyah. There is no information as to why the scribe chose to limit her title the way she did, without mentioning the Shattariyyah and other orders. It seems to me that Ms. Idoh, the scribe and owner of the manuscript, may have thought that Shaykh Abdul Muhyi was also a follower the Qadiriyyah-Naqshabandiyyah movement.

In the matter of the silsilah, the confusion goes further. First, we would expect Ms. Idoh, who confesses to be the wife of a follower of Sufism, to identify herself according to the protocol of the tarekat by positioning herself within the silsilah. This she has not done. Furthermore, the manuscript does not provide us with a silsilah that might be compared to other manuscripts of Pamijahan as mentioned above. What is given is a hybrid version. For example, Abdul Muhyi is inserted into the silsilah of both the Naqshabandiyyah and the Shattariyyah. On page 75 of the text, this genealogy of Abdul Muhyi is presented, but the scribe has confused the genealogy of Sufism with the genealogy of the Shaykh’s family. This can be seen, in the following fragment:

...puputra Shaykh Abd al-Qadir al-Jaelani Baghdad, puputra Shaykh Abd al-Jabar, puputra Shaykh Abd al-Rauf Waliyullah Kuala Aceh, guruna Shaykh Haji Abdul Muhyi Waliyullah Safaril Wadi Pamijahan. This fragment erroneously states that Shaykh Abd al-Rauf of 17th century Aceh, the master of Shaykh Abdul Muhyi, is the grandson of the famous Sufi of Baghdad, Shaykh Abd al-Qadir Jailaini (b. 1077).

Furthermore, the author uses the words “had a child” (apuputra), which is also discordant since Abd al-Rauf was not the natural son of Abd al-Jabar. Their relationship was one of precedence in the line of teachers. We know that Abd al-Rauf appears in various silsilah, one of which is that of the Qadiriyyah, but there is no evidence that Abdul Muhyi was ever a follower of Qadiriyyah-Naqshabandiyyah. Thus, as long as there are no other manuscripts with a title...
or contents resembling this *kitab*, we cannot decide whether Muhyi also practised Sufi disciplines other than the *Shattariyyah*.

Although this *kitab* has obvious weaknesses in terms of its *silsilah*, its explanation of the nature of *Shattariyyah* doctrine is important. The whole idea of the Seven Levels of Being resembles other Pamijahan manuscripts, but this *kitab* has its own style when explaining the relations between a view of cosmology, the seven *martabats* and the *salat* rituals.

**A SHATTARIYYAH MANUSCRIPT (MS. F)**

Scribe:
Dating and place:
Owner: Enok Sariah Yatmikasari, Limus Tilu, Cikajang, Garut
Script: Pegon
Dimension: 19 × 26.5 cm; 15 × 23.5 cm
Paper: HVS
Pages: 81 pp
Lines per page: 14
Colour: black

Comments:
To a certain extent, this manuscript resembles other Pamijahan manuscripts in terms of contents. However, it has more detail and examples when it comes to the doctrine of the Seven Levels of Being. The manuscript has been transcribed into Latin characters by Ekadjati (Edi Ekadjati et al. 1984). According to Edi, Kiayi Haji Muhyidin wrote the manuscript before 1821. If this information is true, it is likely that this *kitab* preserves the original teachings of Abdul Muhyi. The doctrine of the seven *martabats* in this *kitab* is close to that expounded in manuscripts C and D. However, there is no explanation about *silsilah*. According to villagers, Kiayi Haji Muhyidin is a grandson of Shaykh Abdul Muhyi.

**BABAD PAMIJAHAN (MS. G)**

Scribe:
Dating and place:
Owner: Ajengan Endang
Script: Pegon
Dimension: 33 cm x 21 cm
Paper: European paper
Pages: 34
Lines per page: page 1 = 12 lines, pages 2-32 = 14 lines
Colour: dark brown
Comments:
This manuscript is damaged on almost every page of the first half and difficult to read. There is a clue in the paper of the second part, however, which indicates the origin of the material: the trading name of “M. van Dorp & Co., Batavia”. This indicates that the paper was made in Europe during the 19th century. The paper is similar to that of Ms Sd. 211 held in the National Library of Indonesia, Jakarta. The text does not reveal the scribe or any other information regarding the origin of a first owner or collector. The current owner says that the manuscript was bequeathed to him by his ancestors. However, I speculate that the paper comes from the time of Snouck Hurgronje in the late decades of the 19th century. Snouck had contacted some of Muhyi’s descendants in Manonjaya and Mangunreja, Tasikmalaya, in his search for Islamic materials. A manuscript now held in Leiden University Library (Cod. Or. 7708) tells this story. In his search for manuscripts and ordering of copies he provided European paper to a number of scribes. This could explain the use of the van Dorp paper on which this manuscript is written.

The manuscript was later translated into Sundanese by the previous kuncen, Zainal Mustopa (Ms. H below).

Babad Pamijahan (MS. H)

Scribe: Zainal Mustopa
Dating and place: 1977
Owner: Ajengan Endang
Script: Latin and Pegon
Dimension: 33 cm × 21 cm
Paper: book paper
Pages: 52
Lines per page: 28-30
Colour: white

Comments:
The scribe clearly states that this is a copy of the Babad Pamijahan. The text claims:

“Babad Pamijahan disalin saking perimbon kuno dening Zainal Mustopa Bin Muhammad Jabidi (Babad Pamijahan, 1)” (Babad Pamijahan was translated from Perimbon Kuno, an older reference work, by Zainal Mustopa Bin Muhammad Jabidi).

Manuscript H contains a chronicle, a contemporary genealogy of the scribe and some Arabic formulaic chants. It seems to have been used as a manual by the chief custodian. The historical sequence is complete and the text is more legible than Ms G. Furthermore, similar to the case of Ms. G, it is important to
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note that the scribe and the owner of this manuscript was a prominent figure in Pamijahan. Equally important was the social purpose to which it was put, i.e. as a reference for the identity of villagers.

CONCLUSION

Even though we need further detailed explanation on the content, the manuscripts both confirm the importance of Shaykh Abdul Muhyi in his own time and are witnesses to his continuing significance in the imagination of the Pamijahanese. Most sacred written narratives in the village, such as the historical Babad Pamijahan, the manual of pilgrimages, or Adab Al-Jairin, the guidances of Sufism, or the Kitab Wali, all rely upon the key word of karuhun, the ancestors’ testimony and teaching. By inviting the testimony of the karuhun into the narratives, the past is “broadcast”.

It is also evident that in the area of Sunda, the Javanese language, particularly the north coast dialect, was often used in Islamic teaching. This phenomenon occurred in parallel with the route of Islamic propagation into the interior of Eastern part of Sunda under the influence of Mataram and Cirebon.

From a philological perspective, these manuscripts should be examined and located in a wider context. I believe there are other manuscripts preserved in the village and surrounding areas and many more are lying unidentified in major manuscript collections.
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