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ABSTRACT

Oil palm industry is one of the main industries in Malaysia that contributes to the country’s gross domestic product (GDP). In the oil palm industrial sector, methods of planting, detection and assessment are very important to produce high quality palm oil. Currently, the ripeness of oil palm fresh fruit bunch (FFB) is estimated using eyesight (most common), computer vision, hyperspectral imaging, light detection and ranging (LiDAR), near infrared (NIR) spectroscopy, and magnetic resonance imaging. The objective of this research is to introduce a rapid and non-destructive technique in determining and assessing the ripeness of oil palm fresh fruit bunch (FFB) by using a LiDAR scanning system. The LiDAR scanning system is used to scan three types of oil palm fruits at different level of ripeness which is under ripe, ripe, and over ripe. The reflectance intensity that bounces off the fruits are gathered and analysed to determine the different level or ripeness. Even though the intensity value is purely relative, it is proportional to the reflectance or absorption rate from the LiDAR sensor. A rapid method to determine the ripeness of palm fruits using a LiDAR sensor was proposed by calculating the reflectance percentage from 0% to 100% using the concept of linearity.
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INTRODUCTION

The oil palm industry is one of the main industries in Malaysia that contributes to the country’s gross domestic product (GDP). Planting of oil palm in Malaysia in the beginning has been introduced by the government to eradicate poverty. In the era 1960s, the Federal Land Development of Authority (FELDA) have been exploring areas to turn into oil palm fields (Nasir, 2015). The oil palm research gives emphasis in finding a new method in the technology of planting, earnings and production of quality products based on palm oil. As the largest exporters of palm oil in the world, Malaysia certainly has its own technology and formula to produce quality palm oil. Normally, research studies focus on ways of planting, harvesting, and palm oil care. In addition, there are also studies on palm oil for medicinal purposes (Sharif Faez et al., 2015). However, for the production of oil for medical purpose, the oil palm fruit must be carefully selected. Therefore, with these studies, researchers are focusing on ways to determine the selection of the best fruit to produce high quality products. Nowadays, there is still no efficient and effective method to determine the maturity of oil palm fruit. Among the studies conducted to determine and assess the level of maturity of oil palm fruits are using computer vision (Makky et al.,
hyperspectral imaging (Junkwon et al. 2009; Alfatni et al. 2014), near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy (Silalahi et al. 2016), fluorescence sensing (Hafiz et al. 2011, 2012), laser imaging (Shiddiq et al. 2017), capacitive sensing (Aziz et al. 2012), inductive sensing by (Harun et al. 2013, 2014; Misron et al. 2014). The imagery technique using computer vision relies on the fruit colours, which may have some constraints especially if the visual is taken during different times of the day and under different intensities light. On the other hand, white light or NIR spectroscopy instruments are huge and heavy thus only suitable for close range detection in oil palm mills. Besides that, capacitive and inductive sensing is not suitable for in situ assessment since it requires the whole bunch to be placed in the instrument. Therefore, up to this day, farmers are still relying on eyesight to determine fruit ripeness. Among the most common technique is by observing color and quantity fallen ripe palm fruitlets under the tree. The standard for classifying the ripness has been established by Thailand department of agriculture (Junkwon et al. 2009), Indonesian oil palm research institute (IOPRI 1997) and Malaysian palm oil board (MPOB 2003). The standard states that, if there are more than 10 palm fruitlets under a tree, it indicates that the bunch is ready to be harvested.

Light detection and ranging (LiDAR) is non destructive sensing used in myriad of applications such as remote sensing (Burton et al. 2011; Boudreault et al. 2015), precision agriculture (Zhu et al. 2011; Fieber et al. 2013), autonomous driving (Choi et al. 2013) and archeology (Golden et al. 2016). However, the usage of LiDAR in oil palm industry for the purpose of fruit ripeness detection can be considered as a new technique that deserves much attention. A LiDAR sensor can observe far-ranged objects (as far as 100 meters depending on the sensor) and is not dependable on external light, for example, the sun. This makes the LiDAR sensor a promising solution as compared to other techniques in determining oil palm ripeness. The main scope of this study is to test and verify that the intensity values from LiDAR sensors can distinguish the level of maturity of fresh palm fruit bunches under review.

The main scope of this study is LiDAR Lite V2 (Lewis R.A 2012) that produces a laser light with an NIR wavelength of 905 nm. In addition to the oil palm fresh fruit bunches that are tested in the laboratory, experiments were also conducted in an oil palm field during the day. However, this study does not distinguish the materials or fruits other than fruits and leaves in an oil palm plantation.

### INTENSITY FROM LiDAR SENSOR

Figure 1 shows the LiDAR scanning system developed in this study. It consists of a LiDAR Lite V2 sensor, an Arduino UNO-based controller board, a Lithium ion battery pack, and an installed freeware called Processing to process the 3D point cloud information. MATLAB is later used to integrate the 3D point cloud data and the intensity data gathered from the LiDAR scanning system.

**Figure 1. The LiDAR scanning system prototype**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General</th>
<th>Technical Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>4.7-5.5 V DC minimum, 6V DC maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>PCB 4.5g, 22g optic and housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>PCB: 44.5 x 16.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housing: 20 x 48 x 40 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Distance</td>
<td>~40 meter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>+/- 2.5cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>905 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LiDAR Lite V2**

LiDAR Lite V2 is a high performance optical distance measurement sensor in two dimensional space from PulsedLight which is based on the time of flight (ToF) measurement. ToF measurement technology measures the time delay between the transmitted and received optical signals. LiDAR Lite is suitable for aircraft without human control such as drones, robots, or unmanned vehicles. There are two ways to communicate with a LiDAR sensor. The first is by means of Inter-Integrated Circuit (I2C) and the second is by using Pulse Width Modulation (PWM). Besides its reasonable cost, LiDAR sensor Lite V2 is chosen because it is able to detect far away objects up to 40 meters away. Figure 2 and Table 1 show the LiDAR Lite V2 sensor with its technical specifications as stated in the manual.

**Figure 2. LiDAR Lite V2**
ARDUINO UNO

Arduino Uno is the main controller board used in the LiDAR scanning system. Arduino Uno runs on the Atmega 328 microcontroller board that has a flash memory capacity of 1024 32 KB, 2 KB EEPROM and SRAM. It has 23 rows of inputs and outputs, which can be programmed in USART serial based on the 2-wire serial interface. In addition, the power savings can also be selected with the program timer observers. This device operates between 1.8 V to 5.5 V. Figure 3 shows the Arduino Uno board and Figure 4 shows the pin mapping for the Micro Atmega Controller 328. Figure 5 shows the connectivity between the 5 V Arduino Uno to the LiDAR Lite sensor.

PROCESSING

The Processing software gets the point cloud and intensity data from the Arduino Uno board and plots the point cloud data for a 3D graphical representation on axes x, y and z. Figure 6 shows the interface for Processing software and Figure 7 shows a 3D plot example from the same software.

MATLAB

In this study, MATLAB is used to integrate the 3D point cloud graphs from the LiDAR scanner together with the intensity reading. With this, the intensity reading can be described and understood more clearly. Figure 8 below is an example of the 3D point cloud mapping from the top view together with the intensity reading scanned from the same object.
CALCULATION OF THE REFLECTANCE PERCENTAGE FROM THE INTENSITY VALUE

To determine the stage of maturity of fresh fruit bunches, reflectance percentage relative value is calculated from intensity value scanned by the LiDAR sensor. The concept of the calculation of reflectance percentage of fresh fruit bunches of oil palm intensity is equated with the concept of a straight line calculation, where the percentage from 0% to 100% straight line is associated with the equation $Y = mX + C$. Value of ‘m’ is taken from the value of the minimum and maximum intensity. After the value of ‘m’ is known, the value of the intercept Y is also calculated which is the value of ‘C’. After getting the equation of the straight line, the reflectance percentage may be calculated by entering the value X in conjunction with the maximum value for each stage of maturity.

\[ Y = mX + C \]  

(1)

Where:

- $Y$ = Reflectance percentage from 0% to 100%
- $m$ = Difference between maximum and minimum intensity value.
- $X$ = Maximum intensity value
- $C$ = Minimum intensity value

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An experimental arrangement has been set up in the laboratory. The objects to be tested are oil palm fruitlets from a fresh fruit bunch. The object is placed in a box with walls wrapped in black paper. This is to avoid the LiDAR sensor from accidentally scanning other materials surrounding the object. Afterwards, the LiDAR scanning system is placed outside the box parallel with the fruitlets. During the field experiment, the LiDAR scanning system is placed at a specified distance to scan the oil palm trees. Arrangement of experiments in the laboratory and in the fields are shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11.
Figure 12 shows several samples of oil palm fruit used for the lab experiment: a) under ripe palm fruits, b) ripe palm fruits and c) over ripe palm fruits. The fruitlets were collected from several fruit bunches and for this study, the ripeness were identified by color, and verified by a field harvester. For each category, around 5 to 10 oil palm fruitlets are used in the experiment. The intensity values from the different oil palm fruits including other materials captured by the sensor (ie, the black background) are filtered and processed using a script written in MATLAB.

The intensity values gathered are processed and plotted in MATLAB. The output generated is in the form of point cloud where every point cloud represents the value of intensity at the point cloud which coincides with the coordinates on the palm fruit. In addition, the intensity value from other materials in the background are also captured. Figure 13 shows the point cloud 3D mapping of three level of ripeness which are under ripe, ripe, and over ripe. The horizontal axis represents the X axis while the vertical axis represents Z axis.

![Figure 12](image)

**Figure 12.** In-field Experiment

Figure 13 (a) is a point cloud 3D mapping representing the intensity values for under ripe oil palm fruits where, the intensity range is between 112 and 135 and is represented with yellow dots. Figure 13 (b) is the point cloud 3D mapping with intensity values for ripe oil palm fruits where, the intensity range is between 105 and 126 and is represented with blue dots. Figure 13 (c) is the point cloud 3D mapping with intensity values representing over ripe oil palm fruits where, the intensity range is between 75 and 90, represented with red dots. In addition, each point cloud 3D mapping contains green dots representing the intensity value of the black background which holds the value of 167. Table 2 summarizes the intensity range and ripeness level together with the calculated reflected percentage using the concept of linearity. It can be seen that ripe oil palm fruits have lower reflectance percentage which results in lower reflectance percentage due to the higher NIR absorbance rate. This correlates with the findings from Harun et. al in 2013, where he reported that ripe oil palm fruits have 58.3% lipid content and under ripe oil palm fruits only have 6% lipid with 80% of water content. His study was concluded via a new inductive concept frequency technique in determining the lipid content in oil palm fruits (Harun et al. 2013).

![Figure 13](image)

**Figure 13.** Point cloud 3D mapping of intensity value for a) under ripe palm fruit, b) ripe palm fruit and c) over ripe palm fruit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intensity range</th>
<th>Reflectance percentage</th>
<th>Ripeness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75-105</td>
<td>0%-50%</td>
<td>Over ripe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105-126</td>
<td>51%-85%</td>
<td>Ripe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112-135</td>
<td>86%-100%</td>
<td>Under rip</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other than point cloud 3D mapping, the intensity value can also be depicted in the form of histogram represented as the reflection intensity. The intensity histogram shows that the intensities of oil palm fruits are consistent for the same ripeness category and it makes a clear distinction between oil palm fruits and the black background during the experiment. Figure 14 (a), (b) and (c) show the reflection intensity histogram for under ripe, ripe, and over ripe oil palm fruits.

The intensity information from the LiDAR scanning system can give us another useful information which is the oil palm fruit to leaf ratio. During the field experiment, four different trees with different amount of fresh fruit bunches were scanned. From a previous experiment, the intensity value for oil palm leaf fronds were identified and used in this analysis. From here, the fruit to leaf ratio could be obtained. This can be seen in Figure 15 below where the fruit to leaf ratio is plotted against the observed number of fresh fruit bunches on the oil palm tree. The graph shows that there is a correlation between the fruit to leaf ratio with eyesight observation of the number of fresh fruit bunches on the trees.
FIGURE 14. The reflection intensity histogram value for (a) under ripe, (b) ripe, and (c) over ripe oil palm fruit

FIGURE 15. Fruit to leaf ratio
CONCLUSION

A rapid and non-destructive technique in determining the ripeness of oil palm FFB using a scanning system utilizing LiDAR sensor has successfully been proposed. Three ripeness levels were identified: under ripe, ripe, and over ripe, and were successfully classified using the point cloud with intensity information, both in the lab and verified in the field. The intensity corresponds to the reflectance of the 905 nm IR wavelength, which correlates to the lipid content in oil palm fruits. This serves as a preliminary work in combining ranging and materials mapping for oil palm FFB harvesting.
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