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ABSTRACT
In this paper, inverted pyramidal microstructures are designed and fabricated on silicon (Si) surface. The characteristics of surface reflectance are simulated using two-dimensional (2D) finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method by varying the spacing (S) and width (W) of the pyramidal microstructures. The results showed that the effect of S is more significant compared to W where the reflectance of the irradiated light has been increased gradually with the increase of S from 0 to 3 µm, and the difference is around 9.6%. Due to the etching constraint, S = 3 µm is chosen for the fabrication. Textured structure is fabricated by the anisotropic etching of tetramethyl-ammonium hydroxide (TMAH) with additional of isopropyl alcohol (IPA). Long etching time of 120 min is required to form uniform arrays of pyramidal microstructures with smooth and well-terminated four sidewalls at (111) plane. Due to the undercut etching under SiO$_2$ mask, it results to the formation of slightly larger W and smaller S in the fabricated structures. The measured average reflectance in UV-visible range for the Si with inverted pyramidal microstructures is very low down to 10.4%. The discrepancy between the measured and simulated values is speculated to be due to the use of 2D FDTD instead of three-dimensional (3D) FDTD.
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INTRODUCTION
The introduction of micro-scale textured structures on Si surface is important to reduce the light reflectance. By lowering a front surface reflectance, a greater light absorption in Si can be achieved which is necessary to increase the performance of devices such as solar cell. Surface texturing is obtainable by either isotropic or anisotropic etching of the patterned surface. The isotropic etching removes the exposed area at similar rate without any preferred direction and it is popular for making textured structures on polycrystalline Si (Macdonald et al. 2004). Whereas, the anisotropic etching is a direction-dependence, where the alkaline wet etchants such as potassium hydroxide (KOH) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) are used to etch Si with higher rate in $<100>$ and $<110>$ directions (Park et al. 2009; Sepcaei et al. 2017; Xi et al. 2004). Here, the ionized KOH and NaOH generate hydroxyl ions which are responsible for the etching of Si.

The other commonly used etchant for Si substrate is tetramethyl-ammonium-hydroxide (TMAH) (You et al. 2001). However, the cost of TMAH is much more expensive as compared with KOH and NaOH. The advantages of using TMAH are: A less residue contamination of K$^+$ and Na$^+$ ions on the textured surface (Abdullah et al. 2016), a capability in producing uniform micro-textured structures (Lencinella et al. 2004), and only a low concentration of...
TMAH is required for the etching of Si surface (Abdullah et al. 2016). The introduction of surfactant such as isopropyl alcohol (IPA) in TMAH can further improve the uniformity and shape of micro-textured structures (Ou et al. 2011; Papet et al. 2006). By introducing SiO₂ patterned mask structure on Si surface, the inverted micro-textured structures can be produced (Fan et al. 2013). Inverted micro-textured structure is much more effective in reducing light reflectance and increasing light confinement for Si solar cell where relatively high efficiency of 25% has been achieved (Green et al. 2018, 2001).

In this work, a simulation of the optical characteristics of the designed Si inverted pyramidal microstructures is presented. Here, the light propagation is simulated using software package based on finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method (Abdullah & Hashim 2018; Jamil et al. 2018), which is simple yet precise as compared to rigorous coupled-wave approach (Shuba et al. 2015; Solano et al. 2013). The fabrication of the arrays of Si inverted pyramidal microstructures by wet etching using a mixture of TMAH and IPA is carried out. The morphology of inverted pyramidal microstructures is evaluated and the surface reflectance is measured and compared with the simulation results.

SIMULATION

Two-dimensional (2D) Si inverted pyramidal microstructures are designed and their optical characteristics are simulated using a commercial Lumerical FDTD software. The refractive index and permittivity of Si are referred to Palik’s handbook (Palik 1998). The inverted pyramidal microstructure is defined by three parameters, width (W), depth (D) and spacing (S) as shown in Figure 1(a). The values of W are varied in the range of 1-10 μm, while the values of D are set using a relationship of D= (W/2) tan 54.7°. Here, 54.7° is the angle between <100> and <111> in Si crystal (Abdullah et al. 2016). The values of S for the square arrays are varied in range of 0-3 μm. Figure 1(b) shows the simulation configuration applied in Lumerical FDTD. The 2D boundary conditions are defined by periodic boundary for both upper and lower x-axis, while a perfectly matched layer (PML) boundary for both upper and lower y-axis. The plane wave in UV-visible range (λ= 300-700 nm) is used as a light source. The plane wave is defined by transverse magnetic (TM) and transverse electric (TE) wave modes to simulate the unpolarized light.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The fabrication steps of Si inverted pyramidal microstructures are summarized in Figure 2(a)-2(f). A 525 μm-thick SiO₂/n-Si (100) sample cut into 1 × 1 cm² is used. The thickness of thermally grown SiO₂ is 100 nm and the bulk resistivity of Si (100) is 0.3-0.5 Ωcm. Each sample is subjected to ultrasonic organic cleaning in acetone for 5 min and IPA for 5 min. Sample surface is treated with hexamethyl-disilazaine prior to resist coating. Then, undiluted ZEP-520A is spin-coated on the sample at 3,000 rpm for 60 s, followed by a pre-bake on hot plate at 180°C for 5 min. The square array is patterned using electron beam lithography (JEOL JBX-6300FS, 100 kV voltage and 5 nA current, 240 μC/cm² dose) for the area of 5×5 mm². After exposure, sample is developed in xylene for 60 s, rinsed in IPA for 30 s and post-baked in oven at 180°C for 10 min.

After the pattern development, the sample with the exposed SiO₂ is immersed in 10% hydrofluoric acid for 6 min and rinsed in de-ionized (DI) water. After that, ZEP-520A resist is removed by methyl ethyl ketone and organic cleaning. The exposed Si area is etched in a mixture of 5 wt. % TMAH and 10 vol.% IPA at 80°C for 120 min. During the etching process, a mixture is stirred at 300 rpm using a magnetic stirrer with a top of beaker is re-fluxed. After etching, the sample is rinsed in DI water.

The etching progress of inverted pyramidal microstructures is monitored by a periodical inspection of the surface using metallurgical microscope system (Olympus-bx51M (50× magnification). The higher magnified views of post-etching Si inverted pyramidal microstructures (2,000×, 6,000× and 8,000× magnification) are taken using a field-effect scanning electron microscope (FESEM) (FEI Helios NanoLab G3 UC). The front surface reflectance of the textured Si surface is measured using UV-vis spectroscope (Shimadzu UV-1800 within λ= 300-700 nm).
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**Figure 1.** Schematic of (a) Si inverted pyramidal microstructure arrays and (b) configuration of FDTD region with PML and periodic boundaries.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3(a) shows the simulated average front surface reflectance when $W$ is varied from 1 to 10 µm with $S=0$ µm, no spacing. It can be seen that there is no significant change of the reflectance since only a slight increment of the average reflectance with the increase of $W$ values. The average reflectance of unpolarized light at $W=1$ µm and 10 µm are 19.6% and 21.8%, respectively. The same tendency can be also seen for both TM and TE waves. Figure 3(b) shows the average reflectance when $S$ is varied from 0 to 3 µm. Here, $W$ is fixed at 10 µm. It can be clearly seen that by increasing the $S$ values, both TM and TE waves show high increment of average reflectance. The average reflectance of unpolarized light at $S=0$ µm and 3 µm are 21.8% and 31.4%, respectively, which results to the difference of 9.6%.

As shown by the simulation results, it can be concluded that the effect of $W$ is insignificant as compared to $S$ on the reflectance. However, for the fabrication, $S=3$ µm is chosen since this dimension would lead to the formation of inverted pyramidal microstructures with high uniformity. Larger size of $S$ dimension means that the dimension of SiO$_2$ mask is larger to withstand the etching as well as to ensure the exposed Si area can be etched sufficiently in producing excellent inverted pyramidal shape. Here, $W=10$ µm is chosen.

Figure 4(a)–4(d) shows the images of Si surface after being etched at 30, 60, 90 and 120 min, respectively. As shown in Figure 4(a), after 30 min etching, a random distribution of small etching spots can be observed at the exposed Si area. As the etching time is prolonged to 60 min, the arrays of complete inverted pyramidal microstructures can be observed in the exposed area as shown in Figure 4(b). However, there is still many incomplete microstructures can be observed. The number of complete pyramidal microstructures increase when the time is increased to 90 min as shown in Figure 4(c). After 120 min of etching as shown in Figure 4(d), uniform arrays of complete inverted pyramidal microstructures are observed. The etching rate is very low probably due to the addition of IPA. As being reported, IPA is able to lower the surface tension and liberate water particles in close vicinity to Si surface (Vazsonyi et al. 1999; Zubel & Kramkowska 2001; Zubel et al. 2011). As a result, except $<100>$ and $<111>$, the overall etching rates in the rest of $<hkl>$ are lowered (Singh et al. 2001; Zubel & Kramkowska 2004). The formation of Si inverted pyramidal microstructures according to the respective etching times is also illustrated schematically in Figure 4(a)–4(d) for better understanding.
The preferred TMAH/IPA etching directions are <100> and <110> toward (111) plane which have resulted to the formation of 4 sidewalls of inverted pyramidal microstructures. Figure 5(a) shows the SEM image of Si inverted pyramid array after a removal of SiO₂ mask. Due to the undercut under SiO₂ during the etching, the final dimensions of $S$ and $W$ of the inverted pyramidal microstructures become slightly different from the original lithography size (Fan et al. 2013). The etching by TMAH/IPA has resulted to a clean and smooth Si (111) sidewall with a sharp vertex as can be seen in Figure 5(b). The undercut gives slightly larger $W$ up to 12 µm and smaller $S$ down to 1 µm. Figure 5(c) shows the cross-sectional image tilted at 60° of an individual inverted pyramidal structure. Since the inclination of sidewall is constrained at 54.7°, the obtained $D$ of inverted pyramidal structure has been determined to be in the range of 7.1-8.5 µm depending on the final value of $W$.

The spectra of the simulated and measured front surface reflectance in the UV-visible range is shown in Figure 6. Both simulation and measured reflectance show that the surface with inverted pyramidal microstructures significantly reduce the light reflection. The reflection reduces with the increase of light wavelength towards visible range. Table 1 shows the average simulated and measured reflectance for the flat and textured surfaces in UV, visible and UV-visible range.

The simulated average reflectance of the unpolarized light for flat Si in UV-visible range is 45.9%, while for the surface with inverted pyramidal microstructures is 31.4%. It can be seen that a high portion of light is reflected in UV wave ($\lambda=300-400$ nm) as compared to the visible range ($\lambda=400-700$ nm) for both surface conditions. The presence of Si inverted pyramidal microstructures has led to the drastic reduction of the average reflectance with the difference of 14.5% in UV-visible range. The average measured reflectance in UV-visible range for a flat Si is extremely high with a value of 53.5%. Whereas, the average measured reflectance for the surface with inverted pyramidal microstructures is very small with a value of only 10.4%. The fabricated arrays of inverted pyramidal microstructures have also demonstrated a significant reduction in UV reflectance where almost 50.0% is shaved off. It can be also understood that approximately 43.1% reduction of the surface reflectance in UV-visible range is achieved by the Si textured surface.

It can be noticed that there is a significant discrepancy between the simulated and measured values. For the flat Si, the difference of average reflectance in UV-visible range is around +7.6%, while for Si with inverted pyramidal microstructures, the difference is -21.0%. The possible reason for such huge difference is probably due to the use of 2D FDTD simulation instead of three-dimensional (3D) FDTD for the simulation of Si with inverted pyramidal
The first factor is a common limitation since large feature consume lots of computational resources and time. 3D modelling and FDTD simulation for large area involves multiplication of mesh points to store the material and geometrical information for solving the electric and magnetic field propagation. With this reason, to the best of our knowledge, the work using 3D FDTD for such calculation is never being reported. It is worth to note that by using 2D FDTD, the simulated structure in this work can be ambiguously represented by one V-groove structure instead of inverted pyramidal structure. Figure 7(a) and 7(b) shows the illustration of reflectance suppression by a V-groove structure and an inverted pyramidal structure, respectively. It can be understood that the inverted pyramidal microstructure can be basically built by combining two perpendicular V-groove structures. The presence of perpendicular two inclined surfaces of Si (111) in inverted pyramidal structure should increase the neighboring light reflection, thus, lowering the reflectance compared to one V-groove structure. Referring to the simulated and measured reflectance shown in Figure 6, we can observe a simple trend where the reflectance of the fabricated inverted pyramidal structure is almost half of the value of 2D FDTD. Here, it is speculated that the total reflectance given by two V-groove structures should approach the measured value of the inverted pyramidal structure.

Another factor which is the changes in $W$ and $S$ dimension after the fabrication of inverted pyramidal microstructures, however seems to give a minor effect on that discrepancy between the simulated and measured reflectance. Surface reflectance is reduced by the inclined surfaces of Si (111) before travelling and being absorbed into the bulk Si (Abdullah et al. 2016). Since the studied structure is considered larger than the incident light wavelength, the change of $W$ in micrometer-scale does not induce a significant difference on the reflectance. If larger range of $W$ is studied which is extending down to submicron and nanometer-scale, then a significant difference on the reflectance can be expected due to the
involvement of the light scattering phenomena. Similarly, the changes of $S$ in the studied range is also expected to give a minor effect on that discrepancy between the simulated and measured reflectance.

Table 2 shows the reported reflectance on Si inverted pyramidal structure in comparison to this work. Kim et al. (2010) obtained ~14% reflectance by a random texture after TMAH texturization with low quality porous SiO$_2$ mask. Fan et al. (2013) obtained 13.1% reflectance by a uniform texture after TMAH texturization with photolithography-defined SiO$_2$ mask. Saseendran et al. (2015) obtained 17.3% reflectance by a random texture after TMAH texturization with blistered SiN$_x$ mask. Yang et al. (2017) obtained ~12% reflectance by a random texture after applying maskless Cu-assisted KOH/IPA texturization. Xu et al. (2018) obtained 13% reflectance by a random texture after using NaOH texturization inside a random etched nanohole. Compared to all those works even they were averaging the value up to the near-infrared region, the texturization reported in this article has successfully resulted to the lowest reflectance. Thus, it can be speculated that a uniform array of pyramidal structure seems to be more favorable compared to a random pyramidal structure in order to suppress the light reflectance for solar cell application.

**CONCLUSION**

The arrays of inverted pyramidal microstructures on Si surface has been studied for the purpose to increase the light confinement and to reduce reflection. Both simulated and measured reflectance values show that the effect of spacing between the inverted pyramidal microstructure is more significant as compared to a width. The average measured reflectance in UV-visible range for the fabricated Si with inverted pyramidal microstructures is shown to be very low down to 10.4%.
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**TABLE 2. Comparison of the reported reflectance on Si inverted pyramidal structures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Width, $W$</th>
<th>Spacing, $S$</th>
<th>Average reflectance</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-5 μm</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>~14% in 400-1100 nm</td>
<td>Kim et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~10 μm</td>
<td>1.96 μm</td>
<td>13.1% in 350-1150 nm</td>
<td>Fan et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-5 μm</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>17.3% in 300-1100 nm</td>
<td>Saseendran et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~12 μm</td>
<td>~1 μm</td>
<td>~12% in 300-1000 nm</td>
<td>Yang et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4-0.5 μm</td>
<td>Random</td>
<td>13% in 400-1200 nm</td>
<td>Xu et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~12 μm</td>
<td>~1 μm</td>
<td>10.4% in 300-700 nm</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


