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#### Abstract

The fish assemblage patterns are partially dependent on the environmental or anthropogenic changes which always affect the community. The objective of this study was to determine the spatial and temporal distribution pattern of fish assemblages due to physical-chemical in Setiu Wetlands. Fish samples were collected from June 2011 to July 2012 at three study sites using gill, trawl nets, and traps. The salinity and pH results were significantly different among sites and the temperature, pH , and DO revealed significant differences among months. However, the regression obtained between the abundance of fishes and rainfall and the physical-chemical parameters were not-significant differences. The low and negative correlation values were suggested and believed to not be sensitive between fish abundance and physical-chemical parameters. Four water physicalchemical variables in explaining variation in certain some fish species composition and abundance during the rainy and dry season in Setiu Wetlands. Our study revealed that the anthropogenic activities might be giving an impact on the wetlands related to the mean value of the physical-chemical characteristic. More extensive research is needed for over longer periods whereas long term research for five to ten years data to better understand for fish assemblages in Setiu Wetland.
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## INTRODUCTION

The estuarine area can be influenced due to wide fluctuating water levels in the water movement and physical-chemical factors (Kennish, 1990). The estuarine resident fishes have a great body tolerance to adapt to these extreme fluctuations. The dynamic in both spatial and temporal range of intra-annual environmental differences and short-term changes,

[^0]such as those of the day/night cycle, can also affect the interactions between the distribution and abundance of fish assemblages (Hossain et al., 2012). Chemical changes in water quality in stream and river systems also change interspecific competition and predation among fish communities (Gillian et al., 1993).

The study was conducted in Setiu Wetlands that are complex areas covered with a variety kind of habitats and inter-relation between abiotic and biotic. Suratman et al. (2016) showed the surface
physical water quality for the Setiu Wetland was highly influenced by anthropogenic activities and seasonal variation. However, this previous study was not related to the fish abundance in Setiu Wetlands. The previous studies were focused on different biological aspects of spatial and seasonal variability of selected surface water quality parameters in Setiu wetland. This study was designed to fulfill the gap of those previous studies. Therefore, this study was required to determine the spatial and temporal distribution pattern of fish assemblages due to physical-chemical in Setiu Wetlands.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

Three different locations were selected for study sites, i.e., Site 1 (Pulau Telaga Tujuh) up North, Site 2 (Pulau Che Him) in the middle, and Site 3 (Pulau Tok Haji) down South. Site 2 and Site 3 were situated between river mouths, i.e., about 1 km and 5 km from the river mouth, respectively. The physical-chemical parameters (temperature, salinity, pH and dissolved oxygen) were measured monthly in-situ using multiparameter (YSI 556 MPS Meter) with three replicate data at 3 different sites; 1) in the upper water column ( 0.1 m below the water surface); 2) middle and, 3 ) in the lower water column ( 0.1 m upper from the bottom). Sampling was conducted from June 2011 to July 2012 and was covered both rainy and dry seasons. The sampling methods used by multifilament gill nets, trawls, and fish traps to catch all sizes of fishes. The multifilament gill nets and fish traps were fixed at the high tide level, left overnight, and collected fish on the morning of the next days. Bottom trawls were conducted at each site at the high tide level in 3 replicates with fifteen-minute tows. All the fishes were placed in zipper plastic bags and put on ice, and transported back to further biological investigation at the laboratory.

All fishes were counted and identified according to species using available taxonomic keys (Ambak et al., 2010; Carpenter \& Niem, 1999-2001; Matsunuma et al., 2011; Mohsin \& Ambak, 1983; 1996; Nakabo, 2002). The fishes were measured individually for Total Length (TL) and weight to the nearest 1 mm and 0.01 gm respectively. The fishes were fixed in $10 \%$ formalin solution and preserved in $70 \%$ alcohol. Specimens of each species were deposited in the collections of the South China Sea Repository and Reference Centre (RRC), Institute of Oceanography and Environment (INOS), Universiti Malaysia Terengganu. A nonparametric (Kruskal-Wallis) test was performed to determine the differences in the physical-chemical parameters among the sampling sites and months. Spearman rank-order correlations
(Spearman R coefficient) and regression were calculated to study the structure between variables of physical-chemical parameters. For multivariate analysis, canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) was applied to look at the association between physical-chemical parameters and species abundance. The pre-treatment of the data was conducted in PRIMER 6 while CCA was in PAST 3.22 .

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Setiu Wetlands, the differences in the spatial and temporal patterns of species between sites and months in relation to physical-chemical parameters require an explanation. The salinity and pH results were showed a significant difference between sites. The salinity ( $p=0.01, p<0.05$ ) showed a significant difference between sites as it is related to the tidal sea level rise where the water flows from the mouth of the rivers. The salinity ranged in this study was recorded between 7.1 and 35.4 ppt is comparable with that of 12.0 to 33.5 ppt study in Setiu Wetlands (Suratman et al., 2014). There was a significant increase in freshwater mixing in the Site 3 area low to a range of 7.1 to 32.1 ppt . The mix of both freshwaters flows from the south and tidal sea level rise resulted in the lowest salinity at Site 3 in September 2012. In terms of fish species, salinity was the most important variable in explaining variation in species composition in axis 2 (Figure 1). Most of the fishes were responded negatively with salinity. Epinephelus coioides, Lutjanus russellii, Toxotes jaculatrix, Yarica hyalosoma, and Dichotomyctere nigroviridis were the example of species that highly abundant during low salinity in June, July, September, and November of 2011 (Figure 1). The peripheral fishes move to low salinity and freshwater habitats to feeding and protection from marine predators (Flannery et al., 2002; Kadir et al., 2019). The marine species move to coastal shallow waters before reaching maturity, where they contribute to the coastal fisheries delay (Barletta-Bergan et al., 2002; Barletta \& Saint-Paul, 2010; Kadir et al., 2019).

Some species like Ambassis interrupta, Siganus guttatus, and Zenarchopterus dunckeri had a strong correlation with salinity which was associated with April, June, and July of 2012. This exposed that salinity affects fish distribution in certain site study areas. Site 2 showed the highest salinity that ranges from 16.3 to 35.4 ppt between other sites. Site 2 near the estuarine mouth compared to Site 1 and Site 3. For overall fish species, Site 2 showed the highest number of individual fishes and species. Most estuarine fishes could tolerate salinity fluctuations, but their adaptability and distribution varied among


Fig. 1. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) ordination of 31 fish species abundance with major water physical-chemical properties, i.e., pH , water temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO), in 14 mth sampling period. (a) optimal display of CCA in scaling type 1 for samples (sampling month) interpretation (b) optimal display of CCA using scaling type 2 to examine species correlation with environmental gradients. $1=$ Ambassis interrupta; $2=$ Nuchequula longicornis; $3=$ Leiognathus equula; $4=$ Siganus guttatus; $5=$ Lutjanus russellii; $6=$ Yarica hyalosoma; $7=$ Dichotomyctere nigroviridis; 8 = Ambassis kopsii; 9 = Strongylura strongylura; $10=$ Lates calcarifer; $11=$ Pseudorhombus arsius; $12=$ Epinephelus coioides; $13=$ Chelonodontops patoca; $14=$ Hexanematichthys sagor; $15=$ Brachirus orientalis; $16=$ Siganus javus; $17=$ Pelates quadrilineatus; $18=$ Arius maculatus; $19=$ Zenarchopterus dunckeri; $20=$ Glossogobius aureus; $21=$ Vespicula trachinoides; $22=$ Allenbatrachus grunniens; $23=$ Psammogobius biocellatus; $24=$ Sillago sihama; $25=$ Toxotes jaculatrix; $26=$ Triacanthus blochii; $27=$ Gerres filamentosus; $28=$ Pamadasys kaakan; 29 = Gerres limbatus; $30=$ Platycephalus indicus; $31=$ Brevitrygon walga.
species, depending on physiological tolerances, which may influence their distributions (Blaber, 1997). The average pH of seawater values ranged from 4.8 to 8.5. The range of pH in Setiu Wetlands showed 6.9 to 8.6 (Suratman et al., 2014) and 5.2 to 8.0 (Suratman et al., 2005). Consequently, the results were in a not good range as most organisms living in estuaries prefer a pH between 6.5 and
8.5. Thus Site 1 and Site 2 recorded relatively equal values where it is a slightly in average pH of seawater. In this study, the $\mathrm{pH}(p=0.0, p<0.01)$ showed a significant difference between sites. The pH in Site $2(8.0 \pm 0.7)$ was highest followed by Site $1(7.6 \pm 0.7)$ and the lowest at Site $3(6.9 \pm 0.9)$ (Table 1). The pH from Sites 3 low till ranged to (4.8-8.5).

Table 1. Average physical-chemical parameters $\pm$ SD at different sites and months recorded from Setiu Wetlands from June 2011 to July 2012

| Sites / Month | Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Mean $\pm$ SD | Salinity (ppt) <br> Mean $\pm$ SD | Dissolved oxygen (mg/L) <br> Mean $\pm$ SD | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ \text { Mean } \pm \text { SD } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall mean | $30 \pm 1.3$ | $29.4 \pm 6.0$ | $6.9 \pm 2.8$ | $7.5 \pm 0.8$ |
| S1 | $30.2 \pm 1.2^{\text {a }}$ | $30.0 \pm 9.9^{\text {ab }}$ | $7.6 \pm 5.4^{\text {a }}$ | $7.6 \pm 0.7^{\text {a }}$ |
| S2 | $30.1 \pm 1.2^{\text {a }}$ | $31.1 \pm 3.0^{\text {a }}$ | $6.1 \pm 1.3^{\text {a }}$ | $8.0 \pm 0.7^{\text {a }}$ |
| S3 | $29.7 \pm 1.5^{\text {a }}$ | $27.2 \pm 5.0^{\text {b }}$ | $6.9 \pm 1.6^{\text {a }}$ | $6.9 \pm 0.9^{\text {b }}$ |
| Interactions | NS | * | NS | ** |
| June 2011 | $31.0 \pm 0.5$ | $25.1 \pm 3.4$ | $7.6 \pm 0.2$ | $6.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| July 2011 | $30.1 \pm 0.1$ | $26.7 \pm 4.3$ | $7.4 \pm 0.2$ | $7.8 \pm 0.2$ |
| August 2011 | $30.7 \pm 0.1$ | $28.3 \pm 1.8$ | $8.7 \pm 1.7$ | $8.5 \pm 0.03$ |
| September 2011 | $30.2 \pm 0.3$ | $23.3 \pm 5.4$ | $6.2 \pm 0.1$ | $7.3 \pm 0.2$ |
| October 2011 | $30.2 \pm 1.2$ | $19.2 \pm 9.1$ | $5.6 \pm 1.2$ | $7.4 \pm 0.4$ |
| November 2011 | $29.2 \pm 0.7$ | $22.1 \pm 5.3$ | $7.3 \pm 0.8$ | $7.0 \pm 0.8$ |
| December 2011 | $26.3 \pm 1.2$ | $22.1 \pm 5.2$ | $6.7 \pm 1.1$ | $7.3 \pm 0.2$ |
| January 2012 | $29.4 \pm 0.9$ | $22.6 \pm 5.3$ | $5.5 \pm 0.8$ | $7.4 \pm 0.7$ |
| February 2012 | $30.7 \pm 1.9$ | $27.1 \pm 7.1$ | $5.5 \pm 0.3$ | $7.5 \pm 0.5$ |
| March 2012 | $30.8 \pm 1.2$ | $24.2 \pm 8.9$ | $5.5 \pm 0.9$ | $7.6 \pm 0.3$ |
| April 2012 | $31.3 \pm 1.0$ | $26.3 \pm 7.9$ | $5.6 \pm 1.7$ | $7.6 \pm 1.0$ |
| May 2012 | $30.3 \pm 1.0$ | $28.3 \pm 7.4$ | $5.0 \pm 1.7$ | $7.1 \pm 1.7$ |
| June 2012 | $31.2 \pm 0.7$ | $29.3 \pm 6.7$ | $4.7 \pm 0.9$ | $7.2 \pm 1.3$ |
| July 2012 | $30.1 \pm 0.4$ | $29.3 \pm 7.0$ | $5.1 \pm 1.0$ | $7.3 \pm 0.7$ |
| Interactions | *** | NS | *** | *** |

Note: For each environmental variable, medians with the same letter superscript are not significantly different; NS - not significantly different. The median with the different letter is significant at ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.0001$.

However, the $\mathrm{pH}(p=0.0, p<0.0001)$ showed a significant difference between months. The pH values were recorded with variation, the highest in August 2011 ( $8.5 \pm 0.03$ ) (the late dry season) and the lowest in June $2011(6.5 \pm 0.1)$ (the late dry season) (Table 1). In April 2012, in the early dry season, the pH value was 4.6 and it was the lowest recorded in Site 3. In contrast by Suratman et al. (2016), revealed that during the wet season where low DO, low pH , and low TSS levels, but high BOD levels, were observed in the wetland whereas shown that the water quality was impacted mostly by aquaculture and agriculture. This study found that the dry season also brings a lot of acidic substances into the aquatic environment. Suratman et al. (2009) stated that the high $\mathrm{BOD}_{5}$ concentrations impacted the lower DO value and caused the increase of carbon dioxide and directly the decrease of pH values. The pH values in the aquatic ecosystem were influenced by carbon dioxide during the photosynthesis process, respiration, and decomposition (Riley \& Chester, 1971). Shukor et al. (2008) stated that the wastes may change pH values because those would increase photosynthesis in rivers. Estuarine fishes, especially larvae and juveniles are more susceptible to ammonia poisoning in waters of high salinity, low pH , and high ammonia loading (Eddy, 2005), whereas a high acidic condition is detrimental to both the fish food organisms and
fish (Alongi, 2002). This suggesting that the anthropogenic activities might be giving an impact on the wetlands related to the mean value of physical-chemical characteristics in this study.

In term of fish species, the results showed Pseudorhombus arsius, Glossogobius aureus, Platycephalus indicus and were some species that exhibit high correlation with water pH but negatively correlated with dissolved oxygen, temperature, and salinity (Figure 1). That indicated the pH influenced by some fish species distribution. Furthermore, the results on temperature, pH , and DO reveal a significant difference between months and thus would influence that value range. While Arius maculatus, Triacanthus blochii, and Pamadasys kaakan were species that had a high correlation with dissolved oxygen, temperature, and salinity. These water physical-chemical properties and species were associated with post-monsoon weather in 2012 (Figure 1). The evidence is the post-monsoon change in water's physical-chemical properties and some fish composition in Setiu Wetlands. Furthermore, $P$. kaakan show a high correlation with temperature (Figure 1). Bagenal (1978) stated that temperature is an important external factor in the early life stages of fish because it has a direct influence on the timing of ontogenetic events. Temperature affects directly or indirectly other immunological parameters such as transparency, viscosity, dissolved gases, pH , total
dissolved solids, conductivity (Whitney, 1942). The fish assemblages can also be used as an indicator of habitat degradation whereas changes in fish assemblages may quantify disturbances that have occurred in the ecosystem (Othman, 2014).

The temperatures observed much variation within a month showed highest in April 2012 (31.3 $\pm 1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in the early dry season and the lowest in December $2011\left(26.3 \pm 1.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ (the early rainy season) (Table 1). In this study, the value range of temperature was shown from August 2011 (the late dry season) with $30.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to March 2012 in the early dry season $\left(33.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ whereas pre-northeast monsoon season. In this study, the values of DO ranged between 3.3 and $10.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. The DO value dropped to $5.1-5.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in February 2012 (the late rainy season). The lowest DO value between sites and month was ( $3.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) in S2 (December 2012; the early rainy season). Whereas the DO content varied from 2.21 to $6.25 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in Setiu Wetlands (Suratman et al., 2014); 3.2 to $5.1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ in mangroves of Goa (Fernandes \& Achuthankutty, 2010), and 5 to $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in nearshore in the Gulf of Thailand (Sichum et al., 2013).

Furthermore, the regression obtained between the abundance of fishes and rainfall and the physical-chemical parameters were not significant. Results indicate a low and negative correlation (with a correlation coefficient of $-0.13,0.42,0.20$, $-0.06,-0.18)$ between rainfall, temperature, salinity, $\mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{pH}$ values, and fish abundance respectively. As a whole, the correlation values suggested that fish abundance are not related to weather and physicalchemical parameters. However, the four water physical-chemical variables in explaining variation in certain some fish species composition and abundance during the dry and rainy season in Setiu.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the salinity and pH statistically showed a significant difference (KruskalWallis H test; $p<0.05$ ) between sites, and the temperature, pH , and DO statistically showed a significant difference (KruskalWallis H test; ( $p<0.0001$ ) between months whereas would influence that value range. The evidence is the post-monsoon change in water's physical-chemical properties and some fish composition in Setiu Wetlands. This study might be that the anthropogenic activities give an impact on the wetlands related to the mean value of the physical-chemical characteristic. However, the regression obtained between the abundance of fishes and rainfall and the physical-chemical parameters were not significant. The results indicate a low and negative correlation (with a correlation coefficient
of $-0.13,0.42,0.20,-0.06,-0.18)$ between rainfall, temperature, salinity, $\mathrm{DO}, \mathrm{pH}$ values, and fish abundance respectively. As a whole, the correlation values suggested that fish abundance is not related to weather and physical-chemical parameters and is believed not to be sensitive between those parameters. The different fish species were required in selecting spatial and temporal for recruitment and settlement in their life cycle. More extensive research is needed over longer periods whereas long-term research for five to ten years data to better understand for fish assemblages in Setiu Wetland.
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