## Jurnal Kejuruteraan 33(4) 2021: 801-815 https://doi.org/10.17576/jkukm-2021-33(4)-03

# The Role of Brain Signal Processing and Neuronal Modelling in Epilepsy – A Review

Tahereh Najafi<sup>a</sup>, Rosmina Jaafar<sup>a\*</sup>, Rabani Remli<sup>b</sup>, Asyraf W. Zaidi<sup>b</sup> & Kalaivani Chellappan<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dept. Electrical, Electronic & Systems Engineering, Faculty of Engineering & Built Environment, <sup>b</sup>Dept. Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia

\*Corresponding author: rosmina@ukm.edu.my

Received 19 March 2021, Received in revised form 1 July 2021 Accepted 25 July 2021, Available online 30 November 2021

#### ABSTRACT

Epilepsy is a neurological disorder characterized by recurrent seizures due to spontaneous changes of chemical synaptic coupling within the central nervous system. Numerous studies have been done in order to increase the level of cognition in epilepsy. Electroencephalography (EEG) as a non-invasive technique with the ability of presenting potentials on the head surface due to neural activity is widely used in epilepsy studies. The signals have been analyzed by brain signal processing techniques which mainly are categorized in feature extraction, feature dimensionally reduction and classification. The limitations such as inapproachability to intracranial in vivo and few seizure occurrences during sampling led to investigate on a model of signals and neural activity. This paper reviews the fundamentals of epilepsy toward using brain signal processing and neuronal modeling in three major branches; detection, prediction and source localization. It resulted a rare number of investigations on seizure epilepsy prediction due to the lack of long-term epilepsy EEG recording ending to the seizure. Subsequently, this review paper suggests to consider brain signal processing techniques in sub-branches of epilepsy detection; status, type, markers and surface localization, whilst it plays a remarkable role targeting to the source localization by neuronal modeling.

Keywords: Epilepsy; EEG; brain signal processing; neuronal modelling; detection; prediction; source localization

### INTRODUCTION

During the past decades, a significant number of investigations have been done to answer the basic questions of epilepsy such as what epilepsy is, what causes it, how to diagnose it and what methods are available to treat the illness. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), more than 50 million people worldwide (approximately 1% of the global population) suffer from epilepsy (Stafstrom and Carmant 2015). Although the prevalence is limited, the side effects on individuals and society are considerable. Epilepsy impacts and manifests physical and mental results decreasing the person's performance during lifespan (de Boer, Mula, and Sander 2008). Several factors are considered for a person to be diagnosed as suffering from epilepsy. In the majority of cases, the main factor refers to some abnormalities ending to the seizure (Stafstrom and Carmant 2015). Hence, several methods and tools are available to diagnose these abnormalities to prevent them from ending up with the seizure.

The consequences of intracranial activities are reflected in different types of brain signals obtained by invasive and non-invasive tools. Analyzing these signals can be done using brain signal processing methods based on algorithms and mathematical equations. Brain signal processing is a powerful technique; specifically, in assisting in the diagnostic procedure. In one study it is presented that feature extraction can be implemented to diagnose the different status of epilepsy (Fathillah et al. 2018). While in other investigation the feature dimension reduction was concentrated for the same purpose (Siddiqui, Islam, and Kabir 2019). Brain signal processing assisted review to investigate for distinct purposes by classifying various types of epilepsy in detection procedure if the epilepsy is focal or nonfocal (Saputro et al. 2019; You et al. 2020). The

review shows that despite all positive feedbacks of brain signal processing, the fundamental questions related to the time and zone of seizure onset remained uncertain due to inaccessibility of intracranial in vivo. Here is the place where neuronal modelling as literal duplication of the actual system casts a critical role with a strong cooperation by medical, mathematical and physics concepts as well as brain signal processing method under simulation environment.

This paper presents a comprehensive review of epilepsy studies through a new classification related to fundamental epileptic questions. This sort of classification causes the role of brain signal processing and neuronal modelling to become more desirable. Despite the correlation among previous studies, thev were categorized into three perspectives; (a) Detection, (b) Prediction and (c) Source localization due to the studies focus and concentration. The role of brain signal processing is determined in each sub-branch of epileptic detection; (a) Epileptiform status detection, (b) Epileptic type detection, (c) Epileptic marker detection and (d) Detection-surface localization. Furthermore, the result of prediction and source localization studies useing brain signal processing were considered to highlight the role of neuronal modelling.

This review includes five main sections. In the first section, the definition of epilepsy, its different types and epileptic clinical conditions will be introduced. Then, the primary definitions of brain internal concepts will be presented; such as neural system and narratively the definition of epileptic seizure in the cellular level besides presenting a description of the interneuron phenomenon named action potential and its role in epilepsy. In the next section, the steps of brain signal processing and neuronal modelling will be explained. Towards the end of the paper, the focus of this paper will be declared leading to the next section by describing the methods and techniques which can be applied in epilepsy studies that is proposed to focus the classification by modelling in three different approaches. The final section five summarizes and concludes the overall findings from the literature studies.

## EPILEPSY

Epilepsy is a chronic disorder of the central nervous system leading individuals to experience recurrent seizures caused by neurologic impairment (Shoeb 2009). Recurrent seizures are the main feature of epilepsy which occur several times following spontaneous synchronous and repetitive discharge of a population of cortical neurons (Bromfield, Cavazos, and Sirven 2006; McGrogan 2001). These discharges are recognized as abnormalities that can occur in various times and regions resulting in different types of epilepsy classified by certain symptoms. Table 1 presents two classifications of various types of epilepsy and the features; the first classification introduced in 1981 and the last expanded version. Different types of epilepsy are led by clinical causes and constituted various symptoms and risks. The column related to clinical causes of Table 2 presents some of these reasons which lead to the focal seizure. However, in some cases, the main cause is still unknown which is referred to as idiopathic. Epileptic symptom can be originated from specifically related lobes of the brain such as the occipital lobe in confronting visual defects (Manford, Fish, and Shorvon 1996; Bremm et al. 2019). Consciousness is a controversial symptom that has been investigated in (Soltesz and Staley 2011). They discovered that in complex focal epilepsy there is a direct relation between losing consciousness and the part of the brain which controls emotional memory. In addition, the time duration of focal and generalized seizure with and without awareness is estimated in (Dulla et al. 2018). Being aware of what increases the risks of seizure provides the condition to consider the risks as effective factors in the illness progression; specifically, in vitro (Braun et al. 2017).

## NEURAL SYSTEM

A neuron is the main component of the neural system which includes soma, dendrites and axon. Neural information as a neurotransmitter is transferred through brain tissues and the neurons. Neurotransmitters are the chemicals which excite or inhibit the depolarization signal from one neuron to the adjacent neuron (Sadock, Sadock, and Ruiz 2009). They are fetched by dendrites as the message to get to the soma followed by action potential which occurs in the axon. The message binds to specific protein receptors which is based on the dendrites of post neurons (Sadock, Sadock, and Ruiz 2009). Then, the circle continues under a synaptic pathway. This pathway is a link between one neuron (presynaptic neuron) and another neuron (postsynaptic neuron) via chemical and electrical transmission (Vladislav, Matjaz, and Bazhenov 2011).

## ROLE OF THE NEURAL SYSTEM IN EPILEPSY MANAGEMENT

The epileptic seizure occurs when the balance between excitatory and inhibitory synapses; in the synaptic pathway in a group of neurons, impairs (Sun et al. 2018). An excitatory synapse means a synaptic potential which increases the probability of action potential in post-synaptic neuron to take place. In contrast, an inhibitory synapse is a synaptic potential which makes a post-synaptic neuron less likely to generate an action potential. Several factors are available to a synaptic pathway to be created and chosen. One of these factors in the cellular-physical level is dendrite which is lengthened to approximately one meter (Tang and Thompson 2012; Gao et al. 2015). This fact can be considered in epilepsy study in terms of finding the source of origin of the synaptic pathway in which the seizure

may start from a distance where the source presented from the surface. The result of the in vivo and in vitro studies demonstrates that the seizures may arise from the spread of cortical micro-domains and influence the regions which are located far than the Seizure Onset Zone (SOZ) (Netoff and Schiff 2002; Cymerblit-Sabba and Schiller 2012). In other words, the possibility of developing seizure is fed by a combination of various cortical sites flowing to SOZ (Bragin, Wilson, and Engel J. 2000), (Bikson et al. 2003).

	Types of epilepsy		Description				
86	Partial	Simple	This follows with awareness of what occurs during seizure.				
(Jean Bancaud Olat Henriksen 1		Complex	In complex seizure, the patient is confronted by some impairments of awareness during the seizure. In some cases, it follows confusion, partially aware or zero awareness during the seizure.				
		Secondary generalized	Secondary generalization of the seizure discharge may lead to the loss of consciousness which is caused by development of a complex partial seizure via alteration of consciousness.				
		Generalized	Generalized seizures occur initially by the involvement of both hemispheres. The consciousness during generalized seizures may be impaired as a primary sign.				
		Unclassified	assified seizures included all seizures that cannot be classified due to insufficient complete data. In some cases, seizures cannot be placed in the described categories use of not obeying those definitions.				
	Focal		Partial in the primary classification becomes focal and focal to bilateral tonic– clonic seizure replaces secondarily generalized seizure. The awareness is				
	Aware In	npaired Aware					
	Motor onset Automatisms, Atonic, Clonic, Epileptic spasms, Hyperkinetic, Myoclonic, Tonic Nonmotor onset Autonomic, Cognitive, Emotional, Sensory		considered as a classifier of focal seizures which means a person has consciousness of self and environment during the seizure. Focal seizures are characterized by one of the motor-onset or non-motor onset symptoms reflecting the prominent sign during the seizure.				
	Focal to bilateral	tonic-clonic	Individuals with generalized epilepsies may have a range of seizure types including absence, myoclonic, atonic, tonic, and tonic–clonic seizures. The				
[	Generalized						
(Fisher et al. 201	Motor Automatisms, Atonic, Clonic, Myoclonic, Myoclonic-tonic-clonic, Myoclonic-atonic, Atonic, Epileptic spasms Nonmotor (absence) Typical, Atypical, Myoclonic, Eyelid myoclonic		checking EEG signals in interictal status to find typical discharges. An absence is atypical for the reason of depicting slow onset or termination or significant changes supported by slow, generalized spike and wave on the EEG.				
	Unkonown Onset		The seizures of unknown onset contain some features led	them to still be			
	Motor Tonic-clonic, Epileptic spasms Nonmotor (absence) Behavior arrest		classified.				
	Unclassified		A seizure may be unclassified due to the reasons; (a) definitions (b) degree of awareness which usually is not specified, (c) insufficient information or inability to place in other categories.				

TABLE 1. Different types of epilepsy

TABLE 2. Clinical causes, symptoms and risks in epilepsy

	Clinical causes	Symptoms	Risks
	Head injury	Focal neurologic dysfunction	Stress
	Brain infection	Alteration of consciousness	Stress deprivation of fatigue
	Stroke	Dizziness	Insufficient food intake
Issue	Tumour	Miscellaneous symptoms	Alcohol usage
	Unknown causes		Drug abuse
			Medication failure
Ref	(Beghi 2020)	(Walker, Hall, and Hurst 1990)	(van Campen et al. 2014; Gordon and Dooley 2015; Ena Lynn Suzi Lyons 2017)

#### ACTION POTENTIAL

Action potential is a phenomenon which occurs in the axon conditioned by the rapid fluctuation in membrane potential (Hodgkin and Huxley 1952), (Diaz-Casado et al. 2020), (Ito et al. 2020). In the axons, the velocity of action potential increases by sudden movement conduction influencing the ability of electrical signals to "Jump" (Sadock, Sadock, and Ruiz 2009). At nerve terminals, the wave of conducted action potential opens voltage-gated calcium channels. The influx of calcium promotes the release of a chemical neurotransmitter into the extracellular space where the neurotransmitter will affect a post neuron through specific protein receptors. Referring to (Grider and Glaubensklee 2020), an action potential can be summarized in three main stages; depolarization, repolarization and hyperpolarization. In general, depolarization of the neuronal membrane is the key to action potential manifestation (Bromfield, Cavazos, and Sirven 2006). It is a variation in membrane potential from -60 mV to +40 mV primarily caused by sodium influx while in normal body temperature, the equilibrium potential for sodium and potassium are +55 mV and -103 mV, respectively (Chen and Lui 2020). Figure 1 demonstrates this phenomenon by adding stimulus as a trigger for depolarization to be started and resting-state with -55 mv in membrane potential.



FIGURE 1. Action potential diagram ("CNX O" 2016).

#### ACTION POTENTIAL IN EPILEPSY

The action potential in epileptiform activity is similar to normal condition but with rapid repolarization followed by hyperpolarization as Paroxysmal Depolarization Shift (PDS) (Bromfield, Cavazos, and Sirven 2006). Epilepsy as a functional brain disorder is associated with excessive synchronization of large neural populations leading to a hypersynchronous state (Jiruska et al. 2013). In this regard, populations of bursting cells can synchronize their action potential firing in a normal brain to be exposed to proepileptic conditions (Foffani et al. 2007). High frequency signals resulting by action potential and hyper-synchronization of a cohort of neurons are two factors for seizure manifestation (Bromfield, Cavazos, and Sirven 2006). In detail, the presence of calcium ions (Ca2+) in the extracellular fluid would be more significant than sodium (Na+) (Rajakulendran and Hanna 2016). Calcium channels will be opened and PDS take place which notably is a broader phenomenon than a routine depolarization in normal action potential manifests. This occurrence causes unbalance condition among the neurotransmitters; Gamma-Amino Butyric Acid (GABA); as the major inhibitory neurotransmitter, and glutamate (Werner and Covenas 2017). GABA is the major inhibitory neurotransmitter in contrast to the glutamate that is responsible for approximately 80% of brain synapses with fast excitatory (Sadock, Alcott, and Ruiz 1991). Furthermore, when an epileptic seizure occurs, glutamate (known as the major excitatory neurotransmitter) is released all along with the brain tissues and spinal cord. Glutamate in synaptic plasticity involves cognitive functions such as learning and memory (McEntee and Crook 1993). Synaptic plasticity is the ability of synapsis to be stronger or weak over time, in response to increases or decreases in their activity (Zhang et al. 2018). This cellular level information has been achieved using various tools and modalities in order to be applied in subsequent research. The next section presents what tools and methods are available to be applied in epilepsy studies and how researchers synchronize these methods with intracranial concepts.

## BRAIN SIGNAL PROCESSING AND NEURONAL MODELLING

The major obstacle in brain signal processing is EEG lowresolution characteristics. Hence, the necessity to involve other methods that can provide strong and trustworthy conditions respecting to solve the EEG forward and inverse problems. Solving the problem using forward technique means how to be notified of the information of the neural system and the event from the consequences signals resulted by neural activities. Conversely, brain signal processing assisted researchers to consider relevant features and reduce targeting their purpose (here, seizure) to solve the inverse problem (Grech et al. 2008). The probable equations may result in enormous mathematical answers which increase the complexity of the problem. Neuronal modelling derived on mathematical equations and neural structure has attracted many researchers to apply it to solve various problems.

### BRAIN SIGNAL PROCESSING

Neural activities flow charges transferring information towards destinations. The deflection of these functions is approached to scalp presenting as potential. The potential of this spot is differentiated from the potential of another spot (EEG reference) is presented under time series as signals of potential difference. These signals can be analyzed under two domains; time and frequency. Depending on what knowledge of signal behavior is under investigation, the algorithms and methods in each step of signal processing are different. Figure 2 shows the general steps of brain signal processing based on EEG which according to (Graimann, Allison, and Pfurtscheller 2010) includes acquisition, pre-processing, feature extraction, feature dimension reduction and classification.



FIGURE 2. The steps of brain signal processing.

#### NEURONAL MODELLING

In definition, a model is defined as a designed system which is substantially similar to an actual system. To model a system, the system (here the brain) must be identified structurally. The physical structure of the brain presented by physiological concepts provides the condition to introduce a variety of neuronal models. A system is described based on the structures such as *mathematics*, *physics* or *electronic* models which are detailed by the function, evolution or the position of an event in the hierarchy as well as parametric description (Malmivuo 1973). Regarding electrical neuronal modelling, the electronic circuits must be *designed* according to the primary concepts of physical brain structure as well as the electronic components. Next, the concept of *neuronal computing* which provides the condition for electronic circuits to behave as the actual system is applied.

The mathematical-based neuronal model illustrated by electronic characteristics describing the mechanism of the membrane has been introduced by Hodgkin and Huxley (HH). The model provides primary conditions that led the researches such as Lewis and Roy and Jansen to implement an electrical and digital computer-based membrane model based on HH's equations. The other aspect of brain physiological conditions related to neuron populations led *Lopes da Silva* and *Van Rotterdam* to present their neuronal model (Jaakko Malmivuo 1995). A few commonly explained models related to neuronal models are summarized in Table 3.

Neuronal simulators are machines towards solving the problems of the neuronal system supporting a range of models by providing a universal environment. The diversity of these machines is defined based on computational performance, code complexity for describing neuron models, user interface and user support, and integration with parallel high-performance computing platforms. NEURON and GEneral NEuronal Simulation System (GENESIS) as computer-modelling-based simulators refers to HH equations providing a powerful and flexible environment to implement biological models consisting of electrical and chemical components in a network of neurons (Kobayashi, Tsubo, and Shinomoto 2009). introduces online-available neuronal Table 4 simulators applied by researchers in epileptic study. A comparative study among GENESIS, NEURON and BRAIN determined in ModelDB database is vastly evaluated in (Tikidji-Hamburyan et al. 2017).

## REVIEW OF OTHER RESEARCH WORKS RELATED TO EPILEPSY

Lots of theories have been proposed to explain what exactly epilepsy is. Although the paper covers a wide variety of such theories, it particularly focuses on general footsteps leading to the scenes of necessity to neuronal modelling under investigation. Based on the review of past papers, epilepsy study can be grouped into following three major perspectives; (a) Detection in epilepsy, (b) Prediction epileptic seizure and (c) Source localization to discover the zone where a seizure begins. Figure 3 illustrates these perspectives in addition to the detection of sub-branches including four items; (a) Epileptiform status detection, (b) Epileptic-type detection, (c) Epileptic marker detection and (d) Detection-surface localization which will be explained in the next section. The role of brain signal processing methods and neuronal modelling will be described in each perspective.

TABLE 3. Summary of neuronal related models.			including; one for pyramidal neurons and two for inhibitory and excitatory were considered. The rate of			
Models	Type	Descriptions	Jansen	incoming spike to the amount of membrane potential is applied for each population using second-order differential and non-linear function.		
ıxley	al	The model presents how action potentials in neurons are initiated according to ionic mechanisms in axons. The model is a dynamic system which contains sets of nonlinear differential equations.		(Jansen and Rit 1995)		
Hodgkin Hı	Mathematic			TABLE 4. Summary of neuronal simulators available in the market.		
				Description		
(He	Hodgkin and Huxley 1952)			GENESIS is a general-purpose simulation platform		
Hodgkin Katz	Mathematical	Known as Goldman equation presents the equation of reversal potential in synaptic coupling concentrated on ionic permeability.		systems ranging from subcellular components and biochemical reactions to complex models of single neurons, simulations of large networks, and system- level models. New model of chemical synapse can be implemented through external modules.		
(He	odkir	ng and Katz 1949)		(Van Drongelen et al. 2007; James M Bower 1998;		
Lewis	Electrical	The model resents the conductance of sodium and potassium using electronic hardware such as discrete transistors, in the form of active filters representing the inside and outside of the cell membrane.	N	"Genesis Simulation" 1994) The NEURON simulation environment is used in laboratories and classrooms around the world for building and using computational models of neurons		
(Lewis 1966) "Nourefat" introduced by Powis based on the HH			NEURC	modification if the model developed for a single computer has to be mapped on a computational cluster.		
	trical	model but the analogous sort of Lewis model. The model consists of Field Effect Transistors (FET), amplifiers, capacitors and resistors. The goal was to		("NEURON," n.d.; N. T. C. Hines and L. 2006; M. L. Hines, Davison, and Muller 2009; M. L. Hines and Carnevale 2008; Migliore et al. 2006)		
Rov	Elec	create a simpler model rather than HH in the aspect of computational complexity.		NEST can almost transparently map an existing model		
(Ro	(Roy 1972)			of chemical synapse cannot be implemented as an		
	elling	The model is based on Self-Oscillating Networks concentrated on the probability of firing of neurons.	NEST	independent module. It can be applied through code modification.		
Anderson	er-mode			(Gewaltig and Diesmann 2007; Eppler et al. 2008; Plotnikov et al. 2016)		
	Compute	Cillion and Pauli and 1000		BRAIN can be done in script without writing modules. Coding in parallel mode is the weakest feature of BRAIN. There is no and limited supports for clustering and multicore computers in BRAIN respectively.		
(Al	geig	Model is based on histological and biophysical data concentrated on Alpha-Rhythm obtained from		("BRAIN Simulation" 2013; Drewes, Zou, and Goodman 2009; Insel, Landis, and Collins 2013)		
Lopes de Silva	Computer-model	the thalamus assumed by two types of neurons: thalamus-cortical relay neurons and interneurons. The model is based on post-synaptic potentials.	D	ETECTION, PREDICTION AND SOURCE LOCALIZATION		

...continued

The HH mathematical-based model was used by

double-column models obtained by the idea of cortical columns. Three interconnected neural populations

Jansen in order to introduce single-column and

(Da Silva et al. 1974)

continue...

Previous papers present different aspects of epilepsy studies. Despite the substantial correlation, they can be divided into three promising branches to better address the scientific research. In the next subsections, the definitions of detection, prediction and source localization will be explained.

### DETECTION

Detection is coupled by diagnosis in the medical system if a subject is considered as an epileptic or a non- epileptic person. As mentioned earlier, some specifications such as epileptiform discharges, exist for a subject to be considered as an epileptic person. Although in the majority of cases, the diagnosis is still under an epileptologists' responsibility, studies based on detection procedures attempted to decrease the human mistakes. According to Figure 3, detection can be further divided and summarized in four main approaches.





### EPILEPSY STATUS DETECTION

In some studies, the detection focus was to realize the differences between epileptiform status such as normal versus subjects in interictal versus subjects in ictal or in pre-ictal status. Figure 4 illustrates three different EEG sequences in three different statuses in epilepsy. Researchers worked on various datasets concentrating on different methods and techniques. The result and the methods considering the datasets are comparable. Classifying the features focused in three normal, interictal and ictal classes using brain signal processing methods in the frequency domain was investigated (Gajic et al. 2014). They announced that they achieved 99% accuracy in classification of the seizures and also claimed that their method can be used to diagnose in clinical settings involving noise. In another study, utilizing the same dataset with the concentration on feature selection. They applied Mutual Information (MI) to find the most relevant feature obtained by nonlinear dynamical analysis based on the Recurrence Quantification Analysis RQA method. The method resulted in 100% accuracy in classifying the three mentioned classes (Siddiqui, Islam, and Kabir 2019). Another study concentrated on analysis on the same dataset respecting making the comparison condition based on time span scales, fuzzy entropy and distribution entropy revealed 93% accuracy to classify the three mentioned classes following feature selection and training classifiers (Li et al. 2018). Another study achieved 98% of accuracy using wavelet in time-frequency domain to extract the features from statistical methods and approximate entropy. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to extract the features which seemed redundant (Fathillah et al. 2018).

Distinguishing the epilepsy status such as ictal, pre-ictal and interictal led a study to apply Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) directly on raw EEG signals instead of manual feature extraction. The advantages of the approach are declared to produce faster diagnosis and continuous monitoring, decreasing the overall expenditure of medical treatment (Zhou et al. 2018). Whilst the other study concentrated on deep neural networks achieved significant result 99.07% accuracy in epilepsy detection considering three ictal normal and interictal status (Poomipat Boonyakitanont Apiwat Lek-uthai 2019).

### EPILEPSY TYPE DETECTION

The detection process led some researchers to investigate the differences between the types of epileptic seizure. A study applied Support Vector Machine (SVM) to classify *generalized, focal* and *focal focused on tonic-clonic* seizure achieved the accuracies of 90.25% 97.83% and 91.4%, respectively for correct seizure classification (Saputro et al. 2019). To detect focal versus non-focal epilepsy, a study applied detection algorithms combining Flexible Analytic Wavelet Transform (FAWT) in time-frequency domain. The features were reduced and classified resulting in 94.80% accuracy in detection (You et al. 2020).



FIGURE 4. EEG in epilepsy during different status; Interictal, Pre-Ictal, Ictal and Postictal (Rasekhi et al. 2015).

### EPILEPSY MARKER DETECTION

The golden technique for epilepsy confirmation is by visual detection of Interictal Epileptiform Discharge (IED) clarified by an epileptologist which identifies the presence of epileptiforms. A study worked exclusively on analyzing the spikes by focusing on frequency domain using statistical analysis achieved to 93.75% of accuracy to classify IED in two classes; spike-wave and sharp-wave (Juni Puspita G Soemarno 2017). Epileptiform discharges in focal and generalized epilepsy investigated using deep learning to detect IED (Tjepkema-Cloostermans, de Carvalho, and van Putten 2018). Whilst, the method was followed by video

monitoring to investigate eyelid myclonia as a unique type of generalized seizure in order to detect the focal frontal epileptiform discharges (Takahashi et al. 2015). Analyzing the spikes where the reliable methods are going to be used in clinical settings provides a complicated situation considering the substantial brain signal ratio to noise. To solve this problem, synched fMRI in resting time and EEG data was investigated (Omidvarnia et al. 2019). The focus of their study was to analyze the signal in the time domain in order to detect the sharp spikes and slow-spike-and-waves. However, these abnormalities are often not seen in all EEG recordings for those having epilepsy. Discovering and classifying different types of the EEG abnormalities (Figure 5), can be done using signal processing techniques. Note that, in the patients with normal EEG, discovering the clues comparing healthy EEG is one of the debated issues which can be investigated particularly by signal processing techniques. Figure 6 illustrates an example of one second EEG signal acquired from an epileptic patient compared to a healthy subject.



FIGURE 5. Different types of abnormalities in EEG. A) Inerictal spike; B) Group of interictal spikes; C) Sharp wave; D) Fast activity (brushes); E) Paroxysmal slow activity superimposed to slow spikes (Migliorelli Falcone, Mañanas Villanueva, and Alonso López 2017).



FIGURE 6. One second of raw EEG signal from epilepsy subject (bold line) and healthy subject (thick line).

### DETECTION-SURFACE LOCALIZATION

Detection leads us to be informed of the region of the surface where epileptic signals are more activated; cautiously, the activated lobe. To speed up the decision procedure of epileptic analysis in multi-channel EEG signals, PCA was used to reduce the dimension of features (Murat Yildiz 2015). Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) classified the data in normal and seizure classes by 9 percent of better detection in comparison with non-using feature reduction methods. Concentrating on localization the regions involved by seizure led a study to investigate temporal lobe epilepsy (Verhoeven et al. 2018). They first used signal processing methods in order to diagnose Temporal Lobe Epilepsy (TLE) from healthy subjects, then regionally investigated lateralized TLE. The features extracted in the frequency domain based on the frequency bands are then classified and achieved to 90.07% and 90.0% of accuracy for TLE and lateralize TLE detection, respectively.

### PREDICTION

When does an epileptic seizure occur? By processing the EEG signal before onset and finding the dominant sign of stimulus, predicting the coming seizure can be done (Namazi et al. 2016). The claim has been investigated using visual stimulus and brain signal processing methods resulting in 25.76 seconds of prediction time. It presented that there is a direct relationship between visual stimulus and memory. A long-term neonatal investigation declared that the factor to do prediction is a combination between reducing synaptic strength and enhancing desynchronization (Zhang et al. 2018). In another study, the prediction was performed by modelling focused on pre-processing (Syed Muhammad Usman Muhammad Usman 2017). The research resulted in average time to 23.6 minutes concentrating on channel reduction; 23 to one single-channel. In this regard, Intrinsic Mode Functions (IMF) s were applied then selected in order to increase the ability of SVM to classify the features extracted from time and frequency domain.

#### SOURCE LOCALIZATION

The question is where epileptic seizure comes from? The most critical problem to answer this question refers to inaccessibility to intracranial in vivo. Hence, the majority of responsibilities are under medical conduct using doctors to animal studies or Electrocorticography (ECoG) in invasive research. In contrast, using non-invasive techniques plays a crucial role in epilepsy source localization which have been applied toward introducing neuronal models. In this case, the problem turns to mathematical problems; forward problem or inverse problem, which can be solved using particular techniques. The solutions which have been reflected in different mathematical-based simulators. Another method is to focus on a combination of synched non-invasive techniques for possible problem solution. In this regard, fMRI as a confirmation tool presenting intracranial activities led some researches to apply a simultaneous EEG-fMRI system to solve the source localization problem (Bagshaw et al. 2006; Wang, Gu, and Shen 2008).

In an animal study, a minimal model based on spikes responses was introduced (Kobayashi, Tsubo, and Shinomoto 2009). In this study, signal processing techniques were used to analyze the input fluctuated current. Membrane potential and spike threshold were two dynamic components for modelling. A time-scale of the signals related to Regular Spiking (RS), Intrinsically Bursting (IB) and Fast Spiking (FS) neurons were analyzed based on the proposed method for model individually. The relevant receptors including GABA were considered. The study concluded that high flexibility and low computational cost lead to a model of an actual brain for more examinations. Focusing on invasive human research, a study was one of the researches concerning on forest decision classifiers worked on ECoG data obtained from a single epileptic patient which focused on detection and localization (Siddiqui, Islam, and Kabir 2019). The purpose of in vitro human study on source localization led a study investigate on patients with glioma (a type of tumor that starts of glial cells) to be screened by "macroscropic" and "microscropic" techniques; multichannel Magnetoencephalography (MEG) and EEG, and patch-clamping, respectively (Patt et al. 2000). To find the source, the single suspicious segment from EEG was compared by Equivalent Current Dipole (ECD) and focused on the level of sodium in the tissues. The study raised the issue that the result did not strongly suggest that the cells related to glioma are directly involved in generating tumor-associated cells. In the non-invasive study, focal epileptic patients caused by lesion have been on considered. The study worked intracranial localization by Magnetic Resolution Imaging (MRI) and EEG. The study presented the pros and cons of the hypothesis considering the result of the clinical method (G A Worrell et al. 2000). A case report study investigated on source localization using EEG considering MEG data. For this reason, the data received from patients suffering from fronto-parietal "Opercular" epilepsy; where the spikes exhibited, were considered. According to the comparison of the results from EEG and MEG, the study emphasized on using MEG as a more beneficial method in localizing spikes in opercular epilepsy especially in the cases where EEG could not do the detection accuracy (Koren et al. 2018). Another non*invasive study*, in order to overcome the inaccessibility of the brain, combined data obtained by high-density EEG and MEG was used and validated by input-criteria. The comparison among early-phase, mid-phase and latephase by considering Electroencephalographic Source Localization (ESL), Magnetoencephalographic Source Localization (MSL) and combination of t w 0 previous methods Electroencephalographic-Magnetoencephalographic Source Localization (EMSL) were considered to compute the data obtained from patients in pre-surgery and during follow-up (Gregory A. Worrell et al. 2000). In some cases, it was needed to reconstruct the real data then

accomplish the

research based on the functions that have been identified. In a study, the reconstruction procedure was done based on Enhanced Mode Decomposition (EMD) using IMF and the criteria of entropy. The study demonstrated that the proposed approach improves the data source reconstruction specifically epileptic seizure detection (Bueno-López et al. 2019). The idea from the presence of high-frequencies; as the biomarker in epileptic seizure genesis, led a study to use Tripolar Electroencephalography (tEEG) recording to distinguish high-frequencies from EEG routine frequencies in order to find the source of seizure onset (Chris Plummer, Simon J. Vogrin, William P Woods, Michael A Murphy, Mark J Cook 2019). Refer to (Bueno-López et al. 2019) for more information of tEEG.

A study in 2019 applied Multi-Resolution optimization of Cortical Potential Imaging (MR-CPI) based on Back Projection Cortical Potential Imaging (BP-CPI) to localize cortical activities (not relevant to epilepsy seizure). The proposed approach was estimated by the data obtained by Monte-Carlo simulator and real EEG. The result exhibited a good accuracy and may be considered for use as a clinical tool based on the level of complexity of computation and the reduction of estimation error (Haor et al. 2019). The GENESIS as is a powerful simulator for generating neural networks led another study to apply it able to produce 656 cells in the neocortical. The approach for neuronal models included different types of cells constituting ion channels and gap junctions. The journey of the investigation was around the influence of synapse weights in the cortical network leading to the seizure-like oscillations. They concluded that the distribution of seizure-like activity is caused by the weakness of excitatory connections in the neocortex, even though the strong excitatory connections are not the constant reason for seizure genesis (Van Drongelen et al. 2007).

### DISCUSSION

A variety of fundamental challenges exist to explain what epilepsy is when an epileptic seizure occurs and where this comes seizure from. Although numerous investigations have been done to present reasonable justifications for each challenge, some of them still remained unanswered. EEG and fMRI as two powerful techniques promote epileptic investigations. Nowadays, technology provides a positive condition to speed up the process of analysis and solution. The conditions lead to introducing neuronal models inspired by the neural system due to the overcome the intracranial accessibility. In the present research, the studies have been explored which attempted on various aspects of epileptic studies concentrated on EEG, signal processing and neuronal modelling.

In this regard, the studies were categorized in three major perspectives despite correlations; (a) detection, which was focused by the majority of studies, (b) prediction, by answering to what relations exist between neurons respecting seizure occurs, and prediction using analyzing the signals obtained from transcranial, (c) source localization, strongly related to the specific zone where the seizure begins. The correlation signifies that the investigations to predict seizure onset or to discover SOZ require passing some steps earlier in the detection procedure. Detection is focused on different relevant algorithms in order to find epileptic status, different types of epilepsy, discovering epileptic markers such as epileptiforms as well as localizing the seizure according to signal processing on the surface. Various databases have been introduced to provide competitive conditions for better accuracy in each sub-branch of detection using brain signal processing. The review showed that the majority of epileptic studies belonged to detection applied brain signal processing techniques. Since the studies on epileptic brain signals restrictedly exhibit a consequent of neural system behavior, to respond what literally is occurring inside the brain, in vitro animal studies in neurophysiological level have been done (Toole et al. 2019). To the human, presenting a mathematical-based model of the neural system has been offered. The prediction argued according to two methodologies; prediction assisted by signal processing on the surface, and prediction by modelling via knowledge of intracranial (Jalilifar and Yadollahpour 2017).

According to intracranial concepts, the neural system principally works based on the relation between sodium, potassium and chloride providing membrane potential difference following an action potential. Neurotransmitter which contain specific information lead specific chemical (s) to be released following the changes of charge into the membrane (Bromfield, Cavazos, and Sirven 2006). The changes of charges in neurons and population of neurons are reflected on the surface which conducts the detection studies to be done. The process of action potential inspired various types of models to become introduced based on different functions and parameters (Scharfman 2007).

In epileptic EEG signal studies, to detect the region where the seizure begins in its depth, a confirmation device such as fMRI is considered (Chaudhary et al. 2016). Practically, in some cases, the regions of seizure onset resulted by EEG and fMRI do not confirm each other. Then, surgery in these cases might be followed by observing seizure in follow up (Negishi et al. 2011). Physiologically, the cause may be due to gap junctions following the weakness of myelin of a membrane which must carry a neurotransmitter through a direct pathway to reach its destination (Nualart-Marti, Solsona, and Fields 2013). Jumping to adjacent neural pathways destroy the balance of potential difference in the new pathway and leads charges to be piled towards seizure (Beenhakker and Huguenard 2009).

Alternatively, computer-based simulators lead some investigators to apply them to discover SOZ via introducing defined parameters (Baumgartner, Koren, and Rothmayer 2018). The simulators which directly are relevant to equations obtained from the relations of chemicals, lead to composing specific models ensuring to unique function (here, epileptic seizure as a function). The more information of the neurophysiological system promises a more accurate model to be introduced. The deficiency of neural relations information causes the limited epileptic investigation to be done on the seizure onset zone. Hence, the space for more investigations in localizing epileptic seizure onset promising to predict using neuronal modelling assisted by brain signal processing is open. As future investigations, analyzing brain signals can provide a proper condition in triggering procedure applied on case and control groups towards introducing a comparative model. Moreover, there are different types of non-invasive brain studies in the fields of learning, emotions and control movement; introduced particularly in BCI studies. The comparison between epilepsy as a case group and control group in these studies would conduct us to valuable achievements.

## CONCLUSION

This paper presents epilepsy studies which focus on different aspects of epilepsy using clinical and mathematical methods and tools. The fundamental questions made us introduce a new categorization of previous studies classified in three perspectives; (a) Detection, (b) Prediction and (c) Source localization. In spite of strong correlation among these perspectives, the division was done due to following each study to a unique purpose. Hence, it is presented which algorithms and tools and using what methods; brain signal processing or neuronal modelling, have been applied in achieving what purpose of epileptic study.

The paper exhibited that brain signal processing technique has been applied extendedly in epileptic detection studies comparing applying the technique in prediction and source localization with restricted outputs. This does not mean that investigation on the responded issues has quite been terminated, conversely, to increase the resolution of results regarding reducing the computational complexities, the investigation is still under debate. It was illuminated that to overcome the deficiency of brain signal processing techniques in prediction and source localization, neuronal modelling would be a proper substitute. Modelling an epileptic brain as an alternative

solution leads the researcher to dominate the inability of prediction as well as finding the source location of seizure. In this paper in order to make a linkage between brain and model, the primary concepts of intracranial were presented. Furthermore, the primary procedure of modelling and well-known neuronal models was presented.

Neuronal modelling as the savior of epileptic studies is confronted with specific challenges. The challenges which are known as the most controversial crack in brain studies due to inaccessibility in vivo. The difficulties accurately refer to the same obstacles in which the source localization studies are faced with. To find SOZ, brain signal processing techniques can be applied in the phase of detection while for the rest of investigation, adequate knowledge of neurophysiological concepts play the pivotal role. Although the physical brain structure respecting medical science has been clarified, the relation between the constituted components is still debatable. In conclusion, it is strongly essential to do more investigation on various aspects of epilepsy to discover the rest of intracranial principles to increase human knowledge.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support received from the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia's through Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS/1/2019/TK04/UKM/02/3).

### DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

None

### REFERENCE

- Andersen, P, M Gillow, and T Rudjord. 1966. Rhythmic activity in a simulated neuronal network. J Physiol 185 (2): 418–28. https://doi.org/10.1113/ jphysiol.1966.sp007993.
- Bagshaw, Andrew, Eliane Kobayashi, François Dubeau, Bruce Pike, and Jean Gotman. 2006. Correspondence between EEG-FMRI and EEG dipole localisation of interictal discharges in focal epilepsy. *Neuroimage* 30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.09.033.
- Bancaud, J., Henriksen, O., Rubio-Donnadieu, F., Seino, M., Dreifuss, F. E. & Penry, J. K. 1981. Proposal for revised clinical and electroencephalographic classification of epileptic seizures. From the commission on classification and terminology of the international league against epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 22 (4): 489–501. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1157.1981. tb06159.x.

- Baumgartner, C, J P Koren, and M Rothmayer. 2018. Automatic computer-based detection of epileptic seizures. Front Neurol 9: 639. https://doi. org/10.3389/fneur.2018.00639.
- Beenhakker, M P, and J R Huguenard. 2009. Neurons that fire together also conspire together: *Is normal sleep* circuitry hijacked to generate epilepsy? *Neuron* 62 (5): 612–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2009.05.01.
- Beghi, E. 2020. The epidemiology of *epilepsy*. *Neuro*epidemiology 54(2): 185–91. https://doi. org/10.1159/000503831.
- Bikson, M, P J Hahn, J E Fox, and J G Jefferys. 2003. Depolarization block of neurons during maintenance of electrographic seizures. *J Neurophysiol* 90 (4): 2402–8. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00467.2003.
- Boer, H. M. de, M. Mula, and J. W. Sander. 2008. The Global Burden and Stigma of Epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 12 (4): 540–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. yebeh.2007.12.019.
- Boonyakitanont, P., Lek-uthai, A., Chomtho, K. & Songsiri, J. 2019. A comparison of deep neural networks for seizure detection in EEG signals. *BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1101/702654.
- Bragin, A., C. L. Wilson, and Jr. Engel, J. 2000. Chronic epileptogenesis requires development of a network of pathologically interconnected neuron clusters: A hypothesis. *Epilepsia* 41 Suppl 6: S144-52. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1528-1157.2000.tb01573.x.
- BRAIN Simulation. 2013. https://www.humanbrainproject. eu/en/brain-simulation/brain-simulation-platform/.
- Braun, A, S Kendall, C Cole, N Smeeton, and H Angus-Leppan. 2017. "Development of the Epilepsy Risk Awareness Scale (ERA Scale) for People with Epilepsy." *Seizure* 46: 13–18. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.seizure.2017.02.005.
- Bremm, F. J., M. P. H., Hendriks, C. G. Bien, and P., Grewe. 2019. Pre- and postoperative verbal memory and executive functioning in frontal versus temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav* 101 (Pt A): 106538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2019.10653.
- Bromfield, E. B., Cavazos, J. E., and Sirven, J. I. 2006. *An Introduction to Epilepsy [Internet]*. American Epilepsy Society.
- Bueno-López, M., Munoz-Gutierrez, P. A., Giraldo, E. and Molinas, M. 2019. "Electroencephalographic Source Localization Based on Enhanced Empirical Mode Decomposition." *IAENG International Journal* of Computer Science 46 (2): 228–36.
- Campen, J. S. van, F. E. Jansen, P. N. de Graan, K. P. Braun, and M. Joels. 2014. Early life stress in epilepsy: A seizure precipitant and risk factor for epileptogenesis. *Epilepsy Behav* 38: 160–71. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2013.09.029.

- Chaudhary, U. J., M. Centeno, R. C. Thornton, R., Rodionov, S. Vulliemoz, A. W. *McEvoy, B. Diehl, et al.* 2016. Mapping human preictal and ictal haemodynamic networks using simultaneous intracranial EEG-FMRI. *Neuroimage Clin* 11: 486– 93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2016.03.010.
- Chen, I., and Lui, F. 2020. Neuroanatomy, Neuron Action Potential. In *StatPearls*. Treasure Island (FL). https:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31536246.
- Chris Plummer, Vogrin, S. J., Woods, W. P., Murphy, M. A., Cook, M. J. & Liley, D. T. J. 2019. Interictal and ictal source localization for epilepsy surgery using high-density EEG with MEG: A prospective long-term study. BRAIN a Journal of NEUROLOGY: 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz015.
- Cymerblit-Sabba, A. and Schiller, Y. 2012. Development of hypersynchrony in the cortical network during chemoconvulsant-induced epileptic seizures in vivo. *J Neurophysiol 107* (6): 1718–30. https://doi. org/10.1152/jn.00327.2011.
- Diaz-Casado, E., Gomez-Nieto, R., de Pereda, J. M., Munoz, L. J., Jara-Acevedo, M. and Lopez, D. E. 2020. Analysis of gene variants in the GASH/Sal model of epilepsy. *PLoS One* 15 (3): e0229953. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229953.
- Drewes, R., Zou, Q. and Goodman, P. H. 2009. Brainlab: A python toolkit to aid in the design, simulation, and analysis of spiking neural networks with the neocortical simulator. *Front Neuroinform* 3: 16. https://doi.org/10.3389/neuro.11.016.2009.
- Drongelen, W. V., Lee, H. C., Stevens, R. L. and Hereld, M. 2007. Propagation of seizure-like activity in a model of neocortex. J Clin Neurophysiol 24 (2): 182–88. https://doi.org/10.1097/WNP.0b013e318039b4de.
- Dulla, Chris G., Damir Janigro, Premysl Jiruska, Joseph V. Raimondo, Akio Ikeda, Chou Ching K. Lin, Howard P. Goodkin, Aristea S. Galanopoulou, Christophe Bernard, and Marco de Curtis. 2018. How do we use in vitro models to understand epileptiform and ictal activity? A report of the TASK1-WG4 Group of the ILAE/AES Joint Translational Task Force. *Epilepsia Open* 3 (4): 460–73. https://doi.org/10.1002/ epi4.12277.
- Ena Lynn, Suzi Lyons, Yvonne Langan Sarah Craiga Colin Doherty. 2017. "The Role of Alcohol Dependency in Deaths among People with Epilepsy Recorded by the National Drug-Related Deaths Index (NDRDI) in Ireland, 2004-2013." *Seizure*. https://doi.org/https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.seizure.2016.11.014.
- Eppler, J M, M Helias, E Muller, M Diesmann, and M O Gewaltig. 2008. "PyNEST: A Convenient Interface to the NEST Simulator." Front Neuroinform 2: 12. https:// doi.org/10.3389/neuro.11.012.2008.

- Falcone, M., Mercedes, C., Angel, M., (dir. tes.) Villanueva, M. and Francesc, J. (dir. tes.) López, A. 2017. Methods for noninvasive localization of focal epileptic activity with magnetoencephalography. https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/tesis?codigo=2301 99&orden=0&info=link%0Ahttps://dialnet.unirioja. es/servlet/exttes?codigo=230199.
- Fathillah, Syakir, Kalaivani Chellappan, Rosmina Jaafar, Rabani Remli, and Wan Asyraf Wan Zaidi. 2018. Time-frequency analysis in ictal and interictal seizure epilepsy patients using electroencephalogram. *Journal* of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology 96. http://www.jatit.org.
- Fisher, R S, J H Cross, C D'Souza, J A French, S R Haut, N Higurashi, E Hirsch, et al. 2017. Instruction manual for the ILAE 2017 operational classification of seizure types. *Epilepsia* 58 (4): 531–42. https:// doi.org/10.1111/epi.13671.
- Foffani, G, Y G Uzcategui, B Gal, and L de la Prida. 2007. Reduced spike-timing reliability correlates with the emergence of fast ripples in the rat epileptic hippocampus. *Neuron* 55 (6): 930–41. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuron.2007.07.04.
- Gajic, D., Djurovic, Z., Di Gennaro, S. and Gustafsson, F. 2014. Classification of EEG signals for detection of epileptic seizures based on wavelets and statistical pattern recognition. *Biomedical Engineering: Applications, Basis and Communications* 26. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4015/ S1016237214500215.
- Gao, F., Song, X., Zhu, D., Wang, X., Hao, A., Nadler, J. V. and Zhan, R. Z. 2015. Dendritic morphology, synaptic transmission, and activity of mature granule cells born following pilocarpine-induced status epilepticus in the rat. *Front Cell Neurosci* 9: 384. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2015.00384.
- Genesis Simulation. 1994. http://genesis-sim.org.
- Gewaltig, M, and M Diesmann. 2007. NEST (NEural Simulation Tool). *Scholarpedia* 2 (4): 1430. https:// doi.org/10.4249/scholarpedia.1430.
- Gordon, K. E. and Dooley, J. M. 2015. Food insecurity and epilepsy in a nationally representative sample. *Epilepsy Behav* 43: 139–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. yebeh.2014.12.009.
- Graimann, B., Allison, B. Z. and Pfurtscheller, G. 2010. Brain-Computer Interface: Revolutionizing Human-Computer Interaction. 1st edition. Berlin Heidelberg: SpringerVerlag.
- Grech, R., Cassar, T., Muscat, J., Camilleri, K. P., Fabri, S. G., Zervakis, M., Xanthopoulos, P., Sakkalis, V. and Vanrumste, B. 2008. Review on solving the inverse problem in EEG source analysis. *Journal of Neuro Engineering and Rehabilitation* 5. https://doi. org/10.1186/1743-0003-5-25.

- Grider, M. H. and Glaubensklee, C. S. 2020. Physiology, action potential. In *StatPearls*. Treasure Island (FL). https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30844170.
- Haor, D., Joffe, R., Shavit, R., Peremen, Z., Stern, Y. and Geva, A. B. 2019. Improved back-projection cortical potential imaging by multi-resolution optimization technique. *Brain Topogr* 32(1): 66–79. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10548-018-0668-1.
- Hines, M. L., Davison, A. P. and Muller, E. 2009. NEURON and Python. *Front Neuroinform* 3: 1. https:// doi.org/10.3389/neuro.11.001.2009.
- Hines, M. L. and Carnevale, N. T. 2008. Translating network models to parallel hardware in NEURON. *J Neurosci Methods* 169 (2): 425–55. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2007.09.010.
- Hines, N., Carnevale, T. and M. L. 2006. *The Neuron Book.* Cambridge University Press.
- Hodgkin, A. L. and Huxley, A. F. 1952. A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *J Physiol* 117(4): 500–544. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1952. sp004764.
- Hodgkin, A. L. and Katz, B. 1949. The effect of sodium ions on the electrical activity of giant axon of the squid. J Physiol 108(1): 37–77. https://doi. org/10.1113/jphysiol.1949.sp004310.
- Insel, T. R., Landis, S. C. and Collins, F. S. 2013. "Research Priorities. The NIH BRAIN Initiative." *Science* 340 (6133): 687–88. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1239276.
- Ito, Y., Maesawa, S., Bagarinao, E., Okai, Y., Nakatsubo, D., Yamamoto, H., Kidokoro, H. et al. 2020. Subsecond EEG-FMRI analysis for presurgical evaluation in focal epilepsy. *J Neurosurg*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.3171/2020.1.Jns192567.
- Jalilifar, Mostafa, and Ali Yadollahpour. 2017. Seizure prediction methods : A review of the current predicting techniques seizure prediction methods : A review of the current predicting techniques. no. April 2014. https://doi.org/10.13005/bpj/466.
- James M Bower & Beeman, D. 1998. The Book of GENESIS: Exploring Realistic Neural Models with the GEneral NEural SImulation System. springer.
- Jansen, B. H. and Rit, V. G. 1995. Electroencephalogram and visual evoked potential generation in a mathematical model of coupled cortical columns. *Biol Cybern* 73 (4): 357–66. https://doi.org/10.1007/ bf00199471.
- Jiruska, P., de Curtis, M., Jefferys, J. G., Schevon, C. A., Schiff, S. J. and Schindler, K. 2013. Synchronization and desynchronization in epilepsy: Controversies and hypotheses. *J Physiol* 591 (4): 787–97. https://doi. org/10.1113/jphysiol.2012.239590.

- Kobayashi, R., Tsubo, Y. and Shinomoto, S. 2009. Madeto-order spiking neuron model equipped with a multi-timescale adaptive threshold. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* 3(Jul): 1–11. https:// doi.org/10.3389/neuro.10.009.2009.
- Koren, J., Gerhard Gritsch, Susanne Pirker, Johannes Herta, Hannes Perko, Tilmann Kluge, and Christoph Baumgartner. 2018. "Automatic Ictal Onset Source Localization in Presurgical Epilepsy Evaluation." *Clinical Neurophysiology* 129 (6): 1291–99. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2018.03.020.
- Lewis, E. R. 1966. *Neural Theory and Modeling*. Stanford University Press.
- Li, P., Karmakar, C., Yearwood, J., Venkatesh, S., Palaniswami, M. and Liu, C. 2018. Detection of epileptic seizure based on entropy analysis of shortterm EEG. *PLoS One* 13(3): e0193691. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193691.
- Malmivuo, J. & Plonsey, R.. 1995. Bioelectromagnetism - Principles and Applications of Bioelectric and BiomagneticFields.OXFORDUniversitypress.https:// www.researchgate.net/publication/258884491.
- Malmivuo, J. 1973. Bioelectric Function of a Neuron and Its Description With Electronic Models.
- Manford, M., Fish, D. R. and Shorvon, S. D. 1996. An analysis of clinical seizure patterns and their localizing value in frontal and temporal lobe epilepsies. *Brain* 119(1): 17–40. https://doi. org/10.1093/brain/119.1.17.
- McEntee, W. J. and Crook, T. H. 1993. Glutamate: Its role in learning, memory, and the aging brain. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 111(4): 391–401. https:// doi.org/10.1007/bf02253527.
- McGrogan, N. 2001. Neural Network Detection of Epileptic Seizures in the Electroencephalogram.
- Migliore, M., Cannia, C., Lytton, W. W., Markram, H. and Hines, M. L. 2006. Parallel network simulations with NEURON. J Comput Neurosci 21(2): 119–29. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10827-006-7949-5.
- Namazi, H., Kulish, V. V., Hussaini, J., Hussaini, J., Delaviz, A., Delaviz, F., Habibi, S. and Ramezanpoor, S. 2016. A signal processing based analysis and prediction of seizure onset in patients with epilepsy. *Oncotarget* 7 (1): 342–50. https://doi.org/10.18632/ oncotarget.6341.
- Negishi, M., Martuzzi, R., Novotny, E. J., Spencer, D. D. and Constable, R. T. 2011. Functional MRI connectivity as a predictor of the surgical outcome of epilepsy. *Epilepsia* 52 (9): 1733–40. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1528-1167.2011.03191.x.
- Netoff, T. I. and Schiff, S. J. 2002. Decreased neuronal synchronization during experimental seizures. *J Neurosci* 22 (16): 7297–7307. https://doi. org/20026711.

- NEURON. n.d. https://www.neuron.yale.edu/neuron/docs.
- Nualart-Marti, A., Solsona, C., and Fields, R. D. 2013. Gap junction communication in myelinating glia. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1828 (1): 69–78. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2012.01.024.
- Omidvarnia, A., Kowalczyk, M. A., Pedersen, M. and Jackson, G. D. 2019. Towards fast and reliable simultaneous EEG-FMRI analysis of epilepsy with automatic spike detection. *Clin Neurophysiol* 130 (3): 368–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. clinph.2018.11.024.
- Patt, S., Steenbeck, J., Hochstetter, A., Kraft, R., Huonker, R., Haueisen, J., Haberland, N. et al. 2000. Source localization and possible causes of interictal epileptic activity in tumor-associated epilepsy. *Neurobiol Dis* 7(4): 260–69. https://doi.org/10.1006/ nbdi.2000.0288.
- Plotnikov, D., Rumpe, B., Blundell, I., Ippen, T., and Martin, J. 2016. NESTML : A modeling language for spiking neurons NESTML : A modeling language for spiking neurons. no. June.
- Puspita, J., Soemarno, G., Jaya, A. I. & Soewono, E. 2017. Interictal Epileptiform Discharges (IEDs) classification in EEG data of epilepsy patients. *Physics*. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/943/1/012030.
- Rajakulendran, S. and Hanna, M. G. 2016. The role of calcium channels in epilepsy. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 6 (1): a022723. https://doi.org/10.1101/ cshperspect.a022723.
- Rasekhi, Jalil, Mohammad Reza Karami Mollaei, Mojtaba Bandarabadi, Cesar A. Teixeira, and Antonio Dourado. 2015. Epileptic Seizure prediction based on ratio and differential linear univariate features. *Journal of Medical Signals and Sensors* 5(1): 1–11. https://doi.org/10.4103/2228-7477.150371.
- Roy, G. 1972. A simple electronic analog of the squid axon membrane: The NEUROFET. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng* 19 (1): 60–63. https://doi.org/10.1109/ tbme.1972.324161.
- Sadock, Benjamin J, Virginia Alcott, and Pedro Ruiz. 1991. Kaplan & Sadock's Synopsis of Psychiatry: Behavioral Sciences/Clinical Psychiatry. Philadelphia, United States: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
- Sadock, Benjamin J, Virginia A Sadock, and Pedro Ruiz.
  2009. Comprehensive Textbooks of Psychiatry. Vol.
  1. Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.
- Saputro, Inggi Ramadhani Dwi, Nita Dwi Maryati, Siti Rizqia Solihati, Inung Wijayanto, Sugondo Hadiyoso, and Raditiana Patmasari. 2019. "Seizure Type Classification on EEG Signal Using Support Vector Machine." *Physics* 1201.
- Scharfman, H E. 2007. "The Neurobiology of Epilepsy." *Curr Neurol Neurosci Rep* 7 (4): 348–54. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11910-007-0053-z.

- Shoeb, Ali Hossam. 2009. "Application of Machine Learning to Epileptic Seizure Onset Detection and Treatment."
- Siddiqui, Mohammad Khubeb, Zahidul Islam, and Muhammad Ashad Kabir. 2019. "A Novel Quick Seizure Detection and Localization through Brain Data Mining on ECoG Dataset." *Neural Computing and Applications* 31.
- Silva, F H Da, A Hoeks, H Smits, and L H Zetterberg. 1974. "Model of Brain Rhythmic Activity. The Alpha-Rhythm of the Thalamus." *Kybernetik* 15 (1): 27–37. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00270757.
- Soltesz, Ivan, and Kevin Staley. 2011. Computational Neuroscience in Epilepsy. Academic Press.
- Stafstrom, C E, and L Carmant. 2015. "Seizures and Epilepsy: An Overview for Neuroscientists." *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 5 (6). https://doi. org/10.1101/cshperspect.a022426.
- Sun, H, A E Takesian, T T Wang, J J Lippman-Bell, T K Hensch, and F E Jensen. 2018. "Early Seizures Prematurely Unsilence Auditory Synapses to Disrupt Thalamocortical Critical Period Plasticity." *Cell Rep* 23 (9): 2533–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. celrep.2018.04.108.
- Syed Muhammad Usman Muhammad Usman, Simon Fong. 2017. "Epileptic Seizures Prediction Using Machine Learning Methods." *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, 10. https://doi. org/10.1155/2017/9074759.
- Takahashi, S, S Yamamoto, R Tanaka, A Okayama, A Araki, and H Azuma. 2015. "Focal Frontal Epileptiform Discharges in a Patient with Eyelid Myoclonia and Absence Seizures." *Epilepsy Behav Case Rep* 4: 35–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ebcr.2015.06.006.
- Tang, C M, and S M Thompson. 2012. "Perturbations of Dendritic Excitability in Epilepsy." In Jasper's Basic Mechanisms of the Epilepsies, edited by th, J L Noebels, M Avoli, M A Rogawski, R W Olsen, and A V Delgado-Escueta. Bethesda (MD). https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22787647.
- Tikidji-Hamburyan, R A, V Narayana, Z Bozkus, and T A El-Ghazawi. 2017. "Software for Brain Network Simulations: A Comparative Study." *Front Neuroinform* 11: 46. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fninf.2017.00046.
- Tjepkema-Cloostermans, M C, R C V de Carvalho, and Mjam van Putten. 2018. "Deep Learning for Detection of Focal Epileptiform Discharges from Scalp EEG Recordings." *Clin Neurophysiol* 129 (10): 2191–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2018.06.024.
- Toole, Christopher, Iris E. Martinez-Juárez, John N. Gaitanis, Sridhar Sunderam, Lei Ding, John DiCecco, and Walter G. Besio. 2019. "Source Localization of High-Frequency Activity in Tripolar Electroencephalography of Patients with Epilepsy." *Epilepsy and Behavior* 101: 106519. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2019.106519.

- Verhoeven, T, A Coito, G Plomp, A Thomschewski, F Pittau, E Trinka, R Wiest, et al. 2018. "Automated Diagnosis of Temporal Lobe Epilepsy in the Absence of Interictal Spikes." *Neuroimage Clin* 17: 10–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2017.09.021.
- Vladislav, Volman, Perc Matjaz, and Maxim Bazhenov. 2011. "Gap Junctions and Epileptic Seizures – Two Sides of the Same Coin?" PLoS ONE 6. https://doi. org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020572.
- Walker, H K, W D Hall, and J W Hurst. 1990. "Clinical Methods: The History, Physical, and Laboratory Examinations." In . https://doi.org/NBK715.
- Wang, Rubin, Fanji Gu, and Enhua Shen. 2008. Advances in Cognitive Neurodynamics : Proceedings of the International Conference on Cognitive Neurodynamics, 2007. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Werner, F M, and R Covenas. 2017. "Classical Neurotransmitters and Neuropeptides Involved in Generalized Epilepsy in a Multi-Neurotransmitter System: How to Improve the Antiepileptic Effect?" *Epilepsy Behav* 71 (Pt B): 124–29. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.yebeh.2015.01.038.
- Worrell, G A, T D Lagerlund, F W Sharbrough, B H Brinkmann, N E Busacker, K M Cicora, and T J O'Brien. 2000. "Localization of the Epileptic Focus by Low-Resolution Electromagnetic Tomography in Patients with a Lesion Demonstrated by MRI." *Brain Topogr* 12 (4): 273–82. https://doi. org/10.1023/a:1023407521772.
- Worrell, Gregory A., Terrence D. Lagerlund, Frank W. Sharbrough, Benjamin H. Brinkmann, Neil E. Busacker, Kathleen M. Cicora, and Terence J. O'Brien. 2000. "Localization of the Epileptic Focus by Low-Resolution Electromagnetic Tomography in Patients with a Lesion Demonstrated by MRI." *Brain Topography* 12 (4): 273–82. https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1023407521772.
- Yildiz, M. & Bergil, E. 2015. The Investigation of channel selection effects on epileptic analysis of EEG signals. *Balkan Journal Of Electrical & Computer Engineering* 3. https://doi.org/10.17694/ bajece.22796.
- You, Yang, Wanzhong Chen, Mingyang Li, Tao Zhang, Yun Jiang, and Xiao Zheng. 2020. "Automatic Focal and Non-Focal EEG Detection Using Entropy-Based Features from Flexible Analytic Wavelet Transform." *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control* 57. https:// doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bspc.2019.101761.
- Zhang, H, J Su, Q Wang, Y Liu, L Good, and J Pascual. 2018. "Predicting Seizure by Modeling Synaptic Plasticity Based on EEG Signals - a Case Study of Inherited Epilepsy." *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul* 56: 330–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cnsns.2017.08.020.

- Zhou, M, C Tian, R Cao, B Wang, Y Niu, T Hu, H Guo, and J Xiang. 2018. "Epileptic Seizure Detection Based on EEG Signals and CNN." *Front Neuroinform* 12: 95. https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2018.00095.
- "CNX O." 2016. http://cnx.org/contents/14fb4ad7-39a1-4eee-ab6e-3ef2482e3e22@8.24.