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#### Abstract

Reversing multi-drug resistance in a clinical setting remains a formidable issue to date. Porphyrin has high efficiency to conjugate with chemotherapy drugs and effectively deliver within the nucleus of cancer cells which helps in lowering side effect to normal cells. As compared to naturally occurring beta-substituted porphyrins, synthetic meso-substituted porphyrins have numerous benefits. An extensive variety of substituents have been developed with porphyrins. There are eight new porphyrin derivatives synthesised in this research compounds 14-21 which differ from one size to another using Sonogashira and Suzuki coupling techniques. Sonogashira coupling method undergoes a reaction between alkyne terminal sp hybridized carbon and vinyl halide's $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon in the presence of a Palladium catalyst. Furthermore, Suzuki coupling method has been an effective method in conjugation of aryl halides and borylated porphyrins. The synthesized new compounds were characterized by ultra-violet spectroscopy (UV-Vis), high resolution mass spectrometer (HRMS) and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) to confirm successful formation of all new compounds. The docking analysis was performed for compound 14-21. Compounds 16 and 18 showed the greater binding mode at the Bcl-2 protein pocket regards free or metal substituted porphyrin with longer linker chain and less bulky compared to compounds $\mathbf{1 7}$ and 19. This study could discover the structure of porphyrin that affects accumulation in cancer cells that potentially transmissible to target tumour.
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## ABSTRAK

Pemulihan rintangan pelbagai ubat dalam persekitaran klinikal kekal sebagai isu yang sangat kritikal setakat ini. Porfirin mempunyai kecekapan tinggi untuk bergabung dengan ubat kemoterapi dan berkesan menyampaikan ubat dalam nukleus sel kanser dan ini membantu mengurangkan kesan sampingan terhadap sel normal. Terbitan porfirin boleh diaplikasikan dalam pelbagai bidang bergantung kepada penukarganti yang diperkenalkan kepada porfirin. Berbanding dengan beta-porfirin yang wujud secara semula jadi, porfirin yang ditukarganti pada kedudukan meso secara sintetik mempunyai banyak faedah. Pelbagai jenis penukarganti porfirin telah dibangunkan. Terdapat lapan sebatian porfirin baru iaitu sebatian 14-21 yang disintesis dalam penyelidikan ini menggunakan tindak balas penggandingan Sonogashira dan Suzuki. Penggandingan Sonogashira adalah tindak balas antara karbon terhibrid terminal alkuna sp dan karbon vinil halida $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$. Manakala, tindak balas Suzuki adalah teknik konjugasi aril halida dan porfirin terborilasi. Sebatian baharu yang disintesis telah dicirikan dengan menggunakan kaedah ultra-lembayung boleh nampak (ULBN), spektrometer jisim resolusi tinggi dan resonans magnet nuklear (RMN). Analisis dok telah dilakukan bagi sebatian 14-21. Sebatian 16 dan 18 menunjukkan mod pengikatan yang lebih besar pada poket protein Bcl-2 iaitu sama ada bebas atau porfirin yang digantikan logam dengan rantai penghubung yang lebih panjang dan kurang besar berbanding kompaun 17 dan 19. Kajian ini menunjukkan bagaimana struktur porfirin mempengaruhi penumpukan dalam sel kanser yang berpotensi untuk dihantar kepada tumor sasaran.
Kata kunci: Dok molekul; penggandingan Sonogashira; penggandingan Suzuki; porfirin; Protein Bcl-2

## INTRODUCTION

Porphyrins are known as 'pigments of life' due to their biological potentials. Porphyrin an aromatic macrocycle made up of four pyrrole units connected by methine bridges (Park et al. 2021). The porphyrinoid cholorophyll A's absorption ability is what gives plants their green hue (Pathak et al. 2021). Porphyrins are highly beneficial due to its great absorption, emission, electron transmission and compounding characteristic because of their ring shape linked double bond properties (Fathi \& Pan 2020). Porphyrins started to be synthesised in the middle of 1930s and the methods continuously been updated up to now. The rising demand for synthetic multipurpose porphyrin-based structures necessitated the discovery of new and increased efficiency to add substituents into the macrocycle and metal-catalyzed mechanisms provided this need. Symmetrical porphyrins are effortlessly synthesisable compared to unsymmetrical porphyrins (Giovannetti 2012).

Apart from photosensitizer (PS), porphyrins in photodynamic therapy (PDT) administrated though local applications or intravenously requires visible or near infrared light irradiation and oxygen present in cancer tissues are important element in destroying mitotic cells with light-dependent reaction. None of these are toxic until they systematically bonds. Selective absorption of photosensitizer into malignant cells, introduction of visible light and oxygen inactivates it. Besides of direct antitumor properties, PDT destroys cancer cell vasculature and initiates greater inflammatory which induces systemic immunity formation (Ion 2017).

Porphyrins can be directly functionalized by using organolithium reagents, which act as efficient nucleophiles to attack at the meso- and $\beta$-positions of the porphyrins. The majority of these functionalizations are carried out with different kinds of porphyrins that have reactive substituents on the porphyrin core or the substituents, such as metals, alkynes, and halogens (Gujarathi 2020). Using organolithium reagents, which effectively lithiate any meso-free position that may subsequently be substituted which developed by Senge. This is followed by an oxidative phase in an overall SNArtype reaction. Unsubstituted porphyrin to ABCD-type porphyrin or A2B2 porphyrin to A2BC-type porphyrin could be synthesized (Nowak-krol et al. 2020).

Several coupling reactions, including the Heck, Stille, Suzuki, Sonogashira, and Buchwald-Hartwig reactions, homogeneous palladium catalysis has become extremely important in modern synthetic chemistry especially biaryl compounds or heterocyclic
molecules. By using these coupling methods, several products might be synthesised either for the first time or significantly more quickly than before for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond transformations (Yin \& Liebscher 2007). In 1994, the first Suzuki coupling reaction of diverse phenylboronic acids with aryl halogenides and triflates carried out by Marck, Villiger and Buchecker (1994) with Palladium/ Carbon catalyst.

The Sonogashira-Hagihara reaction, which is the cross-coupling of aryl or vinyl halides (or triflate) with terminal acetylenes, was catalyzed by Pd and Cu in conjunction. Metal-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions are significant and beneficial methods for forming C-C bonds in organic synthesis (Mohjer et al. 2021). Typically, tetrahydrofuran (THF) is chosen as the preferred solvent because it increases catalytic activity and decreases susceptibility to oxygen and water (Varnado Jr. \& Bielawski 2012). In this study, eight varied sizes of porphyrin derivatives were synthesised by Suzuki and Sonogashira coupling reactions. Subsequently, the synthesized porphyrin derivatives were subjected to molecular docking studies.

Breast cancer and other types of cancer in women have a terrible effect on future generations. 685,000 people died worldwide from breast cancer in 2020 which affected 2.3 million women (WHO 2023). An essential prognostic indicator for clinical breast cancer is the antiapoptosis protein known as B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl2) (Eom et al. 2016). The Bcl-2 family of proteins is to set off apoptosis by closely controls permeabilization of the mitochondrial outer membrane (MOMP). Caspase activation, apoptosis, and the irreversible release of intermembrane space proteins are the results of this.

Pro-apoptogenic factors, like cytochrome c, are released into the cytosol by MOMP from the mitochondrial intermembrane space (IMS). This activates a caspase cascade that works to break up and kill the cell. The hydrophobic transmembrane motif at the C-terminus of Bcl-2-related proteins enables them to be attached to both mitochondria and the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). $\mathrm{Bcl}-2$ family members regulate the exchange of $\mathrm{Ca} 2+$ ions effectively at the level of these interior membranes. Apoptosis which essential in growth, tissue homeostasis, and cellular stress response carried out primarily by mitochondria (Kale, Osterlund \& Andrews 2018; Morris et al. 2021). Bcl-2 family members have emerged as promising targets for anticancer medications because of their diverse roles in cancer because these proteins can prevent tumour cells from dying in response to a variety of endogenous and exogenous stressors (Barillé-Nion et al. 2020).

## Materials and Methods

All chemicals were analytical grade purchased from Sigma Aldrich unless otherwise stated and purified prior to use. Dichloromethane (DCM) and 1,2-Dichloroethane were dried over Phosphorus pentoxide $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)$ with nitrogen gas flow for 4 h followed by a distillation process. A $3 \AA$ molecular sieve was placed in THF for 72 h before the distillation process was performed to obtain dry THF. The drying process of TEA is done by the distillation method and stored with a molecular sieve $3 \AA$ size in the dark place. Silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck) was used for column chromatography. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out using silica gel 60 plates (Merck). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Joel Resonance ECZ400S 400 MHz spectrometer at 400 MHz and 100 MHz , respectively, using TMS as an internal standard in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution. Solvent residue peaks in the spectrum for the chloroform solvent used for each compound is 7.28 ppm . UV-1800 Shimadzu Spectrophotometer used to record UV-VIS spectra using dichloromethane as the solvent. High resolution mass spectrometry was carried out using a MicroTOF QIII Bruker Daltonic.

## SYNTHESIS OF DIPYRROMETHANE (DPM) 1

Paraformaldehyde ( $3.46 \mathrm{~g}, 120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyrrole ( 200 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a 3-neck round bottom flask and equipped with Schlenk technique. The solution was heated until the temperature rises to $50-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and heat source was removed. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and a sharp increase in temperature $\left(5-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was observed and the colour of the compound changed to black. This mixture was heated at a temperature not exceeding $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Potassium hydroxide ( KOH ) ( 0.75 g in $25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was added to stop the reaction and left at room temperature to cool. Vacuum liquid chromatography (VLC) technique is used to filter the mixture using dichloromethane solvent. The compound was concentrated with a rotary evaporator and the product purified by using Buchi 585 Kugelrohr glass oven instrument from started at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to maximum $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by increasing $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to produce dipyrromethane in the form of yellowish-white needle like crystals with yield of ( $7 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ); mp: $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Radzuan et al. 2018). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76$ (s, 2H), 6.63 (s, 2H), 6.15 (dd, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 6.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ (Scheme 1).

## SYNTHESIS OF DIPHENYLPORPHYRIN (DPP) 2

The synthesis of the 5,15-diphenylporphyrin compound was started with anhydrous DCM ( 700 mL ) placed in a three round neck flask wrapped in aluminum foil along with dipyrromethene $1(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzaldehyde $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ with a magnetic stirrer. This product was degassed with Nitrogen gas for 10 min . Then, trifluoroacetic acid $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. This compound was allowed to stir for 18 h at room temperature. 2,3-dichloro- 5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzaquinone, DDQ ( 2.5 $\mathrm{g}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added after 18 h into the compound and stirred for 1 h . Triethylamine (TEA) ( 5 mL ) was added to the compound to quench the reaction. Purification of the compound was done through the VLC technique using DCM and a rotary evaporator was used to remove the solvent. The product was left for recrystallization from $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{Methanol}(\mathrm{MeOH})$. After 96 h , the product was washed using MeOH and 5,15-diphenylporphyrin was obtained as a purple solid with yield ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ ); mp $>300{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Rf 0.5 (hexane/DCM, 3:2 v/v). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Radzuan et al. 2018). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.80 (m, 6H), 8.27 (m, 4H), 9.07 (d, $J=4.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.40 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ (Scheme 1).

## SYNTHESIS OF 5,10,15-TRIPHENYL PORPHYRIN 3

In a Schlenk flask, porphyrin ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added with anhydrous THF solvent ( $30-40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under Argon atmosphere. Then, the compound was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and phenyl lithium ( $0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 2 M cyclohexane was added dropwise over 15 min . After the compound was removed from the cold, it was stirred for another 15 min and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added. After stirring for 10 min (4 eq.) of DDQ was dissolved with 0.06 M DCM and the compound was stirred for another 60 min at room temperature. The mixture was filtered through silica and eluted with dichloromethane and a rotary evaporator was used to remove the solvent. The product was left for recrystallization from DCM/MeOH to yield $(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 78 \%$ ) purple crystals; $\mathrm{mp}>280^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{Rf}=0.5$ (3:2, hexane/DCM). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Senge \& Feng 2000). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ) $\delta-3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 8.26-8.29 (m, 5H), $9.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 9.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 10.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## GENERAL PROCEDURE FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF BROMOPORPHYRINS 4-5

A 500 mL round bottom flask was filled with porphyrin ( 1.0 equiv.) dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solvent. Pyridine ( 0.6 mL ) was added, and the flask immersed in ice mixed with water container to obtain a temperature of $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, N -bromosuccinimide (NBS) ( 1.0 equiv.) which had been dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solvent was added dropwise to the compound in the flask. The compound was stirred from 30 min to 1 h monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) technique. The mixture was filtered through silica and eluted with dichloromethane and a rotary evaporator was used to remove the solvent. The product was left for recrystallization with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ to yield desired product (Scheme 1).

## SYNTHESIS OF 5-BROMO-10,20-DIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 4

By using 1.0 equiv. of $\mathbf{2}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1.0 equiv. of NBS ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to yield $4(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 67$ $\%$ ) as violet solid; $\mathrm{mp}>340{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.5$ (hexane/DCM, $3: 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Shanmugathasan et al. 2000). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-8.24$ (m, 4H), 8.96-8.98 (m, 4H), 9.28 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.75$ (d, $J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 10.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## SYNTHESIS OF 5-BROMO-10,15,20TRIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 5

By using 1.0 equiv. of 3 (500) mg and 1.0 equiv. of NBS to yield $5(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%)$ as a violet solid; $\mathrm{mp}>300$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.3$ (hexane/DCM, 3:2 v/v). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Hartnell, Edwards \& Arnold 2002). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-3.03$ (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

## GENERAL PROCEDURE FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF METALATED (ZINC) PORPHYRIN 6, 7, 20, 21

Porphyrin was added into a round bottom flask with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. This compound was refluxed for 10 min . $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ was dissolved with Methanol and added to the porphyrin compound dropwise. The TLC technique is used to monitor after 15 min . The mixture was filtered through silica and eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Purification of the product was done by crystallization technique with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ solvent to obtain the desired product (Scheme 1).

## SYNTHESIS OF ZINC-5,15-DIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 6

By using porphyrin $2(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ to yield $\mathbf{6}$ ( 56 $\mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp}: 360^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{Rf}$ (hexane: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 1$ ): 0.6. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Mohd Radzuan et al. 2021). NMR ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ ס: 7.80-7.84 (m, 6H), 8.28-8.31 (m, 4H), $9.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## SYNTHESIS OF ZINC-5,10,15-TRIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 7

By using porphyrin 3 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to yield 7 ( 54 $\mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ); mp: $360^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \operatorname{Rf}$ (hexane: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 1$ ) 0.6 . The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Locos \& Arnold 2006). NMR ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ : 7.78-7.82 (m, 9H), 8.24-8.29 (m, 6H), 9.15 (d, $J=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## SYNTHESIS OF ZINC-5-(PHENYL ACETYL)-10,20DIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 20

By using $14(80 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield 20 as purple crystals ( 60 $\mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) mp: $360{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Rf (hexane: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 1$ ): 0.6 ; NMR ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} \mathrm{CDCl}{ }_{3}\right) ~ \delta: 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.77(\mathrm{~m}$, 10 H ), $8.20-8.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.38$ (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100.6$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.01,10.95,14.02,22.97,23.76,24.57$, $28.93,29.69,30.37,38.75,68.16,126.62,126.97,127.70$, $128.80,130.87,131.03,131.60,131.70,132.48,134.64$, 134.84, 206.92 ppm . HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OZn}$ : 644.06, found 644.28 .

## SYNTHESIS OF ZINC-5-(PHENYL ACETYL)-10,15,20TRIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 21

By using 15 ( 100 mg ) to yield 21 as purple crystals ( 75 $\mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). mp: $360{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Rf (hexane: $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 1: 1$ ): 0.6 ; NMR ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 8.22-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.41$ (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ): § 1.02, 10.97, 14.04, 22.99, 23.77, 24.58, 28.95, 29.75, $30.39,34.72,38.76,44,12,106.24,119.13,126.66$, $126.99,130.88,131.75,132.53,134.66,134.87,149.50$, 150.16 ppm . HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OZn}: 718.17$, found: 718.49.

## GENERAL PROCEDURE FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF BORYLATED PORPHYRINS 8-9

Porphyrin-boronate was synthesized using the method of Dahms et al. Bromoporphyrin ( $222.70 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catalyst $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ were placed in a round bottom
flask and the moisture removed using vacuum suction. 1,2-dichloroethane anhydrous solvent ( 23.0 mL ) and triethylamine (TEA) anhydrous solvent ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.69$ mmol ) added into the bromoporphyrin compound. Three times the freeze-pump-thaw technique is performed to ensure an inert state (Schlenk technique) achieved. Then, pinacolborane ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred at $75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 min . The TLC technique is used to monitor the reaction quenced after all the starting materials have reacted. Mixture filtered using vacuum liquid chromatography with hexane: $\mathrm{DCM}=1: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Purification of the product was done by crystallization technique with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (Scheme 1).

SYNTHESIS OF 5-(4,4,5,5-TETRAMETHYL-1,3,2DIOXABOROLANE) 10,20-DIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 8
By using $4(126 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a violet colour crystal ( $85 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp}>350^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.3$ (hexane/DCM, $1: 1$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Bakar et al. 2011). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 8.28-$ $8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.41(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 10.33 (s, 1H) ppm.

## SYNTHESIS OF 5-(4,4,5,5-TETRAMETHYL-1,3,2-

DIOXABOROLANE)-10,15,20-TRIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 9
By using $5(318.68 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield 11 as a violet colour crystal ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ); mp: $360^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.3$ (hexane/DCM, $1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Bakar et al. 2011). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta-3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $8.24-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## GENERAL PROCEDURE FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF LINKER CONJUGATED PORPHYRIN VIA SUZUKI COUPLING REACTION 14-15

A heterogeneous solution of borylated porphyrin ( 0.14 mmol ), phenyl acetyl chloride ( 1.0 equivalent), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.0 equiv.) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.13$ equiv, ) in THF (20 mL ) was refluxed under argon at $77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24-48 \mathrm{~h}$ (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was filtered through silica gel and washed with DCM. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane:DCM, 1:1, v/v) and recrystallized with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ (Scheme 1).

## SYNTHESIS OF 5-(PHENYL ACETYL)-10,20DIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 14

By using $\mathbf{8}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 4}$ as purple crystals ( 65 mg , $66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-3.10$ (s, 2H), 0.09 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.07,9.61,29.74$, 99.10, 105.29, 119.14, 126.77, 127.00, 127.75, 131.07, $131.63,134.64,134.88,141.43,145.24,147.22,159.12$ ppm. HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]{ }^{+}: 580.23$, found: 594.72.

## SYNTHESIS OF 5-(PHENYL ACETYL)-10,15,20TRIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 15

By using $9(100 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 5}$ as purple crystals ( 55 $\mathrm{mg}, 56 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 8.28-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 9.09$ (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.04,10.99,14.07,23.01,23.80$, $28.97,30.41,38.78,68.20,99.21,105.28,119.13,126.77$, $126.99,127.74,128.43,128.58,128.83,130.89,131.07$, 131.62, 132.51, 134.63, 134.87 ppm . HRMS calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}: 656.26$, found: 670.82 .

## GENERAL PROCEDURE TO SYNTHESIS OF FREE BASE <br> AND METAL PORPHYRIN DERIVATIVES SUBSTITUTED WITH ETHYNYL PHENYL 10-13

Mono ethynylphenyl substitutions in pophyrins have been synthesized on a large scale via organolithium reactions starting from commercially available 1-bromo-4-ethynylbenzene. The reaction was carried out with an excess of n -BuLi followed by the addition of porphyrin (1.0 equivalents) in THF. Column chromatography was performed using neutral alumina and hexane: DCM 1:1 ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as solvent.

## SYNTHESIS OF 5-(4-ETHYNYL-PHENYL)-10,20DIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 10

1-Bromo-4-ethynylbenzene ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous diethylether ( 10 mL ) in a 250 mL Schlenk tube. n -BuLi ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ in a 2.5 hexane solution) was added dropwise over 30 min under argon at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The compound was stirred until the temperature reached $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise until the aryl lithium compound formed a white (light pink to white) suspension. After


SCHEME 1. Synthesis of compounds $1-5,8,9,14,15.20$ and 21
ice removal, the mixture was stirred for 15 min under argon. 5,15-diphenylporphyrin ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 50 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then added under argon to the vigorously stirred reaction mixture. The ice water was removed, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h (monitored by TLC), followed by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirring for 30
min. 10.0 equivalents of $\operatorname{DDQ}(0.06 \mathrm{M}$ THF) was added. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was filtered through neutral alumina, with DCM. Column chromatography was performed using neutral alumina and hexane: DCM 20:1 ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) as solvent to yield $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a violet colour crystal ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp}>300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}} 0.3$ (hexane/DCM, $1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in
literature (Feng \& Senge 2001). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta-3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.33 (s, 1H) ppm (Scheme 2).

## SYNTHESIS OF 5-(4-ETHYNYL-PHENYL)-10,15,20TRIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 11

By using $\mathbf{3}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 1}$ as purple crystals ( 60 mg , $51 \%$ ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Ryan et al. 2011). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ -3.09 (s, 2H), 3.50 (s, 1H), 7.82-7.83 (m, 12H), 8.28-8.30 $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 9.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

## SYNTHESIS OF ZINC-5-(4-ETHYNYL-PHENYL)-10,20DIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 12

By using $6(100 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 6}$ as purple crystals ( 65 $\mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Ryan et al. 2011). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 8.25-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 9.13$ (d, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## SYNTHESIS OF ZINC-5-(4-ETHYNYL-PHENYL)-10,15,20TRIPHENYLPORPHYRIN 13

By using 7 ( 100 mg ) to yield $\mathbf{1 7}$ as purple crystals ( 75 $\mathrm{mg}, 64 \%)$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data is identical to that reported in literature (Nakano et al. 2001). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 8.24-8.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 9.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

GENERAL PROCEDURE FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF LINKER CONJUGATED PORPHYRIN VIA SONOGASHIRA COUPLING REACTION 16-19
Brominated porphyrin in the presence of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ as a catalyst in THF was used in this coupling. A heterogeneous solution of ethyl substituted porphyrin ( 1.0 equivalent) and phenyl acetyl chloride ( 1.0 equivalent) with anhydrous THF ( 15 mL and anhydrous TEA ( 5 mL ) was added to a 50 mL Schlenk tube. The mixture is degassed (freeze-pump-thaw) three times followed by the addition of CuI ( 0.2 equivalent) and the mixture is degassed again. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( 0.1 equivalent) was added, and the mixture was refluxed under argon at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a closed system for $24-48 \mathrm{~h}$ (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was filtered through silica gel and washed with DCM. After removal of solvent, chromatography on silica gel (hexane/DCM 3:2 v/v) and recrystallization from DCM $/ \mathrm{MeOH}$ (Scheme 2).

SYNTHESIS OF 5,15-DIPHENYL-20-\{4-[(PHENYLACETYL) ETHYNYL] PHENYL $\}$ PORPHYRIN 16
By using $\mathbf{1 0}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 6}$ as purple crystals ( 70 $\mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.29(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 9.08$ (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.54,1.024,10.48$, 29.71, 33.16, 49.11, 51.06, 74.85, 97.25, 103.00, 126.41, 127.07, 128.36, 128.73, 129.02, 129.51, 129.79, 131.07, $131.10,131.64,131.99,132.16,138.54,165.14 \mathrm{ppm}$. HRMS calculated $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: 680.26$, found 681.81 .

SYNTHESIS OF 5,10,15-TRIPHENYL-20-\{4-
[(PHENYLACETYL)ETHYNYL] PHENYL\} PORPHYRIN 17
By using $\mathbf{1 1}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 7}$ as purple crystals ( 65 $\mathrm{mg}, 55 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta-3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.07 (s, 1H), 7.53-8.28 (m, 25H), 9.08 (s, 3H), 9.37(d, J $=20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.02,1.04,20.56,29.70,38.76,69.57,99.56$, 106.57, 126.41, 128.37, 128.48, 128.61, 129.80, 132.10, 132.19, 143.32, 145.73, 146.46, 174.96, 181.57, 213.75 ppm. HRMS calculated $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}: 756.29$, found: 756.72.

SYNTHESIS OF ZINC-5,15-DIPHENYL-20-\{4[(PHENYLACETYL)ETHYNYL] PHENYL\} PORPHYRIN 18
By using $\mathbf{1 2}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 8}$ as purple crystals ( 62 $\mathrm{mg}, 52 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.78-7.82 (m, 12H), 8.27-8.30 (m, 8H), $9.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 9.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.10,1.02,20.67,29.70$, 44.56, 45.73, 52.85, 57.78, 59.34, 69.26, 88.54, 99.10, 126.66, 127.00, 127.22, 127.52, 130.61, 131.62, 131.77, $132.55,134.29,134.65,134.88,143.18,149.53,150.18$, $160.88,178.23,183.23,186.87,191.90,192.55,196.24$, 198.32, 206.90, 210.64, 214.82 ppm . HRMS calculated $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OZn}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]$ +: 742.17 , found: 756.19.

SYNTHESIS OF ZN-5,10,15-TRIPHENYL-20- $\{4$ [(PHENYLACETYL)ETHYNYL] PHENYL\} PORPHYRIN 19
By using $\mathbf{1 3}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ to yield $\mathbf{1 9}$ as purple crystals ( 76 $\mathrm{mg}, 65 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.53-8.27 (m, 25H), 9.14 (d, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 9.43(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 10.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.29,0.65,0.72,1.02,1.39,1.81,14.11,14.88$, $29.36,29.70,30.16,30.56,30.93,31.93,43.61,58.68$, $65.90,70.75,87.32,91.32,100.00,112.26,126.41$,

$2 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
$3 \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$



$16 R_{1}=H M=H$

SCHEME 2. Synthesis of compounds 6, 7, 10-13 and 16-19
$127.10,131.75,161.24,162.68,163.12,163.90,165.86$, 167.88, 183.89, 184.23, 185.21, 191.39, 204.24, 213.83 ppm. HRMS calculated $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OZn}$ : 818.20, found: 818.56.

## DOCKING STUDIES

In the beginning, eight compounds were drawn and set up for geometry optimization. All the ligand molecules were optimized at the DFT-B3LYP/6-31G(d) level using

Gaussian 09 program (Saini et al. 2019). To calculate the electrostatic potential (ESP) atomic charges (Rathi, Ludlow \& Verdonk 2020) for the ligands in the molecular docking simulation, the keyword POP=ESP was included in the Gaussian put files (.com). On the other side, the crystal structure of the receptor, (PDB ID: 4LVT) (Phan et al. 2005) was extracted from the Protein Data Bank website (https://www.rcsb.org/). The ligand and water molecules were removed and the pure receptor (4LVT) was employed for the energy minimization by OPLS-AA force field (Monvall 1976) using Gromacs version 2021 (Van Der Spoel et al. 2005). AutoDock Tools (ADT) 4.2 was used for preparing the receptor-ligand complex systems. All the polar hydrogens were added by using the Hydrogen module and Gasteiger partial charges (Gasteiger \& Marsili 1980; Han \& Zhang 2010) were assigned for the receptor. Molecular docking of the eight compounds to the (4LVT) model was carried out using AutoDock software package. The tools available in the package including AutoDock Vina 1.1.2 (Allouche 2012). The AutoDock Vina tool uses a united atom scoring functions and allows finding the best binding pocket in receptors for ligand molecules. A grid size of $80 \times$ $80 \times 80$ points with a spacing of $0.503 \AA$ was applied which covered whole the receptor structure. At the end, all the interactions between 4LVT receptor and eight compounds were analysed by discovery studio (DS).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This work reports the synthesis of new porphyrin derivatives by Sonogashira coupling 16-19 (Scheme 2) method and Suzuki-Miyaura coupling 14, 15, 20 and 21 (Scheme 1) which were evaluated for molecular docking to obtain greater binding affinity compound on Bcl-2. Under nitrogen purging conditions, the light-sensitive and less stable dipyrromethene 1 was synthesised, and needle like yellowish crystal was produced by heating in vacuum using a Kugelrohr glass oven at $160-180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain highly purified dipyrromethene 1 . The privilege of synthesis porphyrin with dipyrromethane as starting compound is to avoid mixing of undesired porphyrin byproducts. The 5,15-diphenylporphyrin 2 with two free meso positioned symmetric porphyrin were chosen as starting material as they are efficiently synthesized in one step reaction and greater yields from dipyrromethene and benzaldehyde. This is important because of organolithium reagents and halogen to be substituted without substituting into $\beta$ positions of the porphyrin.

The porphyrin $3\left(\mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ with phenyl substitution, porphyrin 10-13 with ethynylbenzene substitution were synthesized by the reaction of organolithium reagents such as phenyl lithium and n-BuLi with porphyrin 2. Halogenated $(\mathrm{Br})$ porphyrin synthesised with 1.0 equiv. of NBS to obtain compounds 4 and 5 . This procedure was conducted in a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution to avoid lack of selectivity and difficulty for liquid chromatographic separation of the mono- and dibrominated compounds.

Borylated porphyrins $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ were synthesized from bromoporphyrins 4 and 5 via Pd-catalyzed reaction with 4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane. The reactions were conducted by employing an excess of pinacolborane with the brominated porphyrin, leading to yields of $\mathbf{8 - 9}$ at $66 \%$ and $84 \%$, respectively. These outcomes showed a favourable binding site capable of potentially interacting with prodrug linkers. The Suzuki coupling reaction, which uses the cross-coupling of organoboron reagents with phenyl acetyl chloride using Pd-catalyzed carbon-carbon bond synthesis strategies to obtain compounds $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$. Longer linker conjugated porphyrin 16-19 synthesised with Sonogashira coupling method. The alkyne-linked porphyrin 10-13 was synthesised through palladium catalyzed reaction with 1-Bromo-4-ethynylbenzene in $53 \%, 51 \%, 55 \%$ and $64 \%$ respectively. Sonogashira reaction was succesfully carried out with phosphine base palladium catalyst that is $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ and CuI as a co-catalyst in TEA solvent.

The typical absorption spectrum of porphyrins includes a strong band (Soret band) in the 400-440 nm range and four weaker Q bands $(\mathrm{Qy}(1,0), \mathrm{Qy}(0,0), \mathrm{Qx}$ $(1,0)$, and $\mathrm{Qx}(0,0)$ ) in the $440-800 \mathrm{~nm}$ range (Boscencu et al. 2023). The distinct properties of the metal ions and the substituents on the ring have an impact on the relative intensity that provide changes to the bands of absorption in porphyrin complexes (Giovannetti 2012). The number of $Q$ bands reduces as the symmetry of the molecule rises when the metal ion coordinates with the nitrogen atoms (Zheng et al. 2008). Table 1 shows the absorption data (soret band and Q band) of new porphyrin derivatives synthesised in this research.

The absorption spectra of the metal-free porphyrins $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 7}$ showed an ordinary Soret band and four Q bands of porphyrin compounds. Moreover, spectra shows that variation in the peripheral substituents indicates no change to the UV-VIS results for the free-base porphyrins. Table 1 shows close similarity absorption data for the compounds 14-17. Soret band was assigned
in the range of 400 to 410 nm . Besides that, four absorption maxima attributed in the Q band in all free base porphyrin. A metal ion connected to a pyrrole ring occupies the center of the metalloporphyrin ring. The metal ion takes the lone-pair electrons of the N atoms in the pyrrole rings, and metal ion electrons are donated to the porphyrin molecule to form delocalized $\pi$ bonds that allow the electrons in the delocalized system to flow easily. Metalloporphyrin (18-21) indicate two absorption peaks on Q band. This shows delocalized $\pi$ bands of the Zn (II) substituted porphyrin elevates the porphyrin's average electron density, which reduces the energy for electron transition.

## DOCKING STUDIES

Computer-assisted drug design frequently use molecular docking to foretell the preferred orientation and binding affinities of the drug-protein complexes. To investigate the most active porphyrin derivatives and molecular target Bcl-2, they have been docked into the binding active site of the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 (code: 4LVT). Binding location and orientation of porphyrin derivatives on 4LVT as predicted by the Autodock Vina 1.1.2 software. Active residues interacting with each ligand via electrostatic $\pi$-cation, hydrogen bond $\pi$-Donor, hydrophobic $\pi$ - $\pi$ stacked, hydrophobic $\pi-\pi \mathrm{t}$ shaped and hydrophobic $\pi$-Alkyl. When the stabilizing
energy is negative, the ligand attaches on its own without using any energy. The average binding energies between the 4 LVT protein with compounds $\mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5}, 16,17,18$, $\mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{2 0}, 21$ were found to be $-11.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol},-10.9 \mathrm{kcal} /$ $\mathrm{mol},-11.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol},-11.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol},-9.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol},-9.6$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol},-9.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and $-9.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. Compounds 16 and 18 seem to have better affinities to 4LVT. Figures 1-8 show the interaction of each porphyrin derivative 14-21 of 2 D and 3 D orientation with active pocket of 4LVT.

Binding pocket of the 4LVT formed intermolecular interactions with compounds target by conserved amino acid residues of ARG143, ASN140, TYR105, PHE101, MET112, PHE195, ALA146, VAL145, and ALA97. Hydrogen bond pi-donor of ASN140-ligand observed in compounds 16-19. The absence of a substituted ethynyl phenylbenzene moiety was not discerned within the structural composition of the remaining compound. The hydrophobic interactions observed in the porphyrin derivatives were predominantly constituted by $\pi-\pi$ stacking, $\pi-\pi$ T-shaped stacking, and $\pi$-alkyl interactions. Hydrophobic $\pi-\pi$ stacked took place between ligandTYR105 in all docked compounds. PHE101 and TYR105 $\pi-\pi$ T-shaped hydrophobic were detected in 16-19. Whilst PHE101, TYR105, and PHE 195 interaction between ligands observed in pi-pi T-shaped hydrophobic in rest of the compounds. Meanwhile, compounds 16-19 established four hydrophobic $\pi$-alkyl type of interaction that is, ARG143, ALA146, MET112, and ALA97. VAL145
interaction site additionally been observed in compounds $\mathbf{1 4 - 1 5}$ and 20-21 as hydrophobic $\pi$-alkyl apart from ALA97, ARG143, and ALA146. Furthermore, electrostatic $\pi$-cation with ARG143-ligand binding tool place in compounds $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 9}$ as shown in Table 2.

TABLE 1. Absorption data in DCM (soret band and $Q$ band) of new porphyrin derivatives
14-19

| Compounds | Soret band $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ | Q band $\lambda(\mathrm{nm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 410 | $502,528,574,630$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 400 | $507,537,580,635$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 406 | 536,574 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 400 | 535,569 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 410 | $507,541,581,632$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 404 | $507,542,584,634$ |



FIGURE 1. A) 2D orientation of the docked compound 16 in the active pocket of 4LVT.
B) 3D- Putative docking interaction of ligand $\mathbf{1 6}$ that was in complex of 4LVT


FIGURE 2. A) 2D orientation of the docked compound 17 in the active pocket of 4LVT.
B) 3D- Putative docking interaction of ligand $\mathbf{1 7}$ that was in complex of 4LVT


FIGURE 3. A) 2D orientation of the docked compound 18 in the active pocket of 4LVT.
B) 3D- Putative docking interaction of ligand $\mathbf{1 8}$ that was in complex of 4LVT


FIGURE 4. A) 2D orientation of the docked compound 19 in the active pocket of 4LVT.
B) 3D- Putative docking interaction of ligand 19 that was in complex of 4LVT


FIGURE 5. A) 2D orientation of the docked compound 14 in the active pocket of 4LVT. B) 3D- Putative docking interaction of ligand $\mathbf{1 4}$ that was in complex of 4LVT


FIGURE 6. A) 2D orientation of the docked compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ in the active pocket of 4LVT. B) 3D- Putative docking interaction of ligand 15 that was in complex of 4LVT


FIGURE 7. A) 2 D orientation of the docked compound $\mathbf{2 0}$ in the active pocket of 4LVT. B) 3D- Putative docking interaction of ligand $\mathbf{2 0}$ that was in complex of 4LVT


FIGURE 8. A) 2D orientation of the docked compound 21 in the active pocket of 4LVT.
B) 3D- Putative docking interaction of ligand 21 that was in complex of 4LVT

| 18 | 404 | 531,569 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | 406 | 538,579 |

TABLE 2. Interaction types occurred between the compounds and 4LVT protein molecules

| Compound | Hydrogen bond | Hydrophobic | Electrostatic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | * | TYR105(5.386 $\AA$ ) | ** |
|  |  | PHE101(4.975 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | TYR105(4.783 Å) |  |
|  |  | PHE195(4.956 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA97(4.735 £) |  |
|  |  | VAL145(5.344 Å) |  |
|  |  | ARG143(5.423 Å) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(4.703 $\AA$ ) |  |
| 15 | * | TYR105(5.423 $\AA$ ) | ** |
|  |  | PHE101(5.000 Å) |  |
|  |  | TYR105(4.802 Å) |  |
|  |  | PHE195(4.935 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA97(4.718 Å) |  |
|  |  | VAL145(5.341 Å) |  |
|  |  | ARG143(5.399 £̊) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(4.713 $\AA$ ) |  |
| 16 | ASN140(2.997 $\AA$ ) | TYR105(5.273 $\AA$ ) | ARG143(4.976 £) |
|  |  | PHE101(4.969 Å) |  |
|  |  | PHE101(5.247 Å) |  |
|  |  | TYR105(5.0247 Å) |  |
|  |  | $\text { ARG143(5.423 } \AA)$ |  |
|  |  | ALA146(4.505 Å) |  |
|  |  | MET112(4.607 £̊) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(5.291 Å) |  |
|  |  | ALA97(4.979 $\AA$ ) |  |
| 17 | ASN140(2.966 $\AA$ ) | TYR105(5.245 $\AA$ ) | ARG143(4.771 £) |
|  |  | PHE101(4.916 Å) |  |
|  |  | PHE101(5.230 Å) |  |
|  |  | TYR105(5.050 £̊) |  |
|  |  | ARG143(5.468 Å) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(4.444 Å) |  |
|  |  | MET112(4.624 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(5.258 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA97(4.968 $\AA$ ) |  |


| 18 | ASN140(2.992 A ) | TYR105(5.248 $\AA$ ) | ARG143(4.844 $\AA$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PHE101(4.892 Å) |  |
|  |  | PHE101(5.227 £̊) |  |
|  |  | TYR105(5.023 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ARG143(5.461 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(4.438 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | MET112(4.627 £̊) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(5.263 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA97(4.957 $\AA$ ) |  |
| 19 | ASN140(2.985 A) | TYR105(5.231 Å) | ARG143(4.759 $\AA$ ) |
|  |  | PHE101(4.911 Å) |  |
|  |  | PHE101(5.219 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | TYR105(5.046 A ) |  |
|  |  | ARG143(5.475 Å) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(4.443 Å) |  |
|  |  | MET112(4.634 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(5.253 A ) |  |
|  |  | ALA97(4.963 $\AA$ ) |  |
| 20 | * | TYR105(5.422 A ) | ** |
|  |  | PHE101(5.008 Å) |  |
|  |  | TYR105(4.831 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | PHE195(4.930 Å) |  |
|  |  | ALA97(4.732 Å) |  |
|  |  | VAL145(5.357 Å) |  |
|  |  | ARG143(5.376 Å) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(4.695 $\AA$ ) |  |
| 21 | * | TYR105(5.463 A ) | ** |
|  |  | PHE101(5.035 £̊) |  |
|  |  | TYR105(4.795 A ) |  |
|  |  | PHE195(4.901 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA97(4.741 Å) |  |
|  |  | VAL145(5.369 Å) |  |
|  |  | ARG143(5.403 $\AA$ ) |  |
|  |  | ALA146(4.753 $\AA$ ) |  |

Molecular docking simulation analyses demonstrated that ligand-receptor of the four compounds 14-15 and 2021 showed relatively low binding affinity. Interestingly, comparing the docking scores of metalated compounds shows greater or equal binding affinity scores compared to the free base structured compounds that is $\mathbf{2 0}$ and
21. Bulkier compounds that is triphenyl substituted compounds $(\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{1 9}, \mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{2 1})$ gives lower binding affinity compared to diphenyl substituted compounds (16, 18, 14, 20). Ethynyl phenyl benzene substituted compounds $16=17>18>19$ with hydrogen bond and electrostatic $\pi$-cation observed greater binding affinity.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, meso-substituted porphyrin derivatives were efficiently synthesized with condensation, bromination and organolithium reactions. A solid violet shade of compounds of 10-13 via Sonogashira coupling technique and compounds 14-15 and 20-21 via SuzukiMiyaura coupling were synthesized. These compounds with different bulkiness and lengths of linker conjugates have the potential to be linked with anticancer drugs to produce pro-drugs. Four distinct porphyrins and four metalloporphyrins were synthesized, manifesting discernible variations in peripheral substituents and core transition metal ions, as evident in their UV-VIS spectra. These porphyrin derivatives demonstrated an affinity for interacting with Bcl-2, with the interaction influenced significantly by electrostatic and van der Waals energies. Notably, porphyrins featuring less voluminous substituents exhibited a heightened propensity for binding with Bcl-2.
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