PUBLIC HEALTH RESEARCH

The Relevant Intervention Strategies for Improving Medication Adherence of Diabetic Patients

Cliffton Akoi¹, Md. Mizanur Rahman¹ and Mohd Syafiq Abdullah²

Email: pcakoi@fmhs.unimas.my

ABSTRACT

25 February 2013 Accepted Medication adherence is very important for the effective treatment or control Introduction of various health problems, including chronic disease like diabetes mellitus (DM). However, medication non-adherence among diabetic patients on follow-up treatment is still a global health problem. This study aimed to identify factors associated with medication adherence and to determine methods on how it could be improved. A cross-sectional study was conducted on medication adherence among Methods Malays, Iban and Melanau ethnic groups in Kota Samarahan and Sarikei, Sarawak using the Health Belief Model framework. Interviews with questionnaires, which were tested for its validity and reliability using the Cronbach's Alpha, were conducted to collect data on the respondent's sociodemographic and economic characteristics, and health beliefs of 442 respondents. Data was analyzed using SPSS version 17.0 for frequency distribution, measures of central tendencies, significance testing and logistic regression. The medication adherence rates were low in terms of all the treatment Results indicators such as amount of medication (31.7%), frequency (38.9%), duration (26%), and follow-up treatment (24.2%). The respondent's socioeconomic and economic characteristics have statistically significant association with medication adherence. The respondents adhered towards medication because they believed in its benefits. They also took their medication because they believed in the severity of DM and their susceptibility to its serious complications. The cues to action (medication taking) such as worrying about their socio-economic well-being, effectiveness of medication, and health campaign on diabetic control have influenced medication adherence. However, forgetfulness, distance of clinic, and costs of transport have caused medication non-adherence. The respondent's health beliefs in the benefits of taking medication, **Conclusions** perceived severity and susceptibility to DM and its serious complications have contributed towards medication adherence. Their concerns about the socio-economic well-being, effectiveness of medication, and health campaign on diabetic control were positive cues to medication taking behavior. Therefore, modifying the respondent's related health beliefs and reinforcing the positive cues to actions are the relevant intervention strategies that could be used in improving medication adherence among diabetic patients. Diabetes mellitus - Health Belief Model - health beliefs - medication **Keywords** adherence - Sarawak.

¹Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak.

²Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Royal College of Medicine Perak.

^{*}For reprint and all correspondence: Cliffton Akoi, Faculty of Medicine & Health Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, Lot 77, Seksyen 22, Kuching Town Land District, Jalan Tun Ahmad Zaidi Adruce, 93150 Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia.

INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a chronic metabolic disorder that cannot be treated, but can be controlled to prevent its complications^{1, 2, 3, 4}. Medication adherence is very important in the control of the disease⁵. However, medication nonadherence is still a global health problem which is common among diabetic patients on follow-up treatment. The two main problems of nonadherence with diabetic regimens were exercise (85%) and dietary recommendations (62%). The other problems include oral hyperglycemic medication, insulin injection and follow-up treatment ^{6, 7}. The problem occurred due to long duration of treatment and lifestyles modification . There were several factors associated with medication adherence. These include characteristics of the disease 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, patient characteristics, patient-doctor relationship and the complex health care system 14, 15. Medication nonadherence can result in worsening of the disease and development of complications ^{16, 17}. Besides, it may lead to treatment changes and failures 18, 19 and increase in health care costs^{3, 20, 21}. Therefore, this study aims to identify factors associated with medication adherence and determine methods on how it should be improved.

METHODS

The cross-sectional study applied the Health Belief Model (HBM) framework to examine the relationship between diabetic patient's health beliefs and medication adherence. A stratified random sampling method was used to select 442 samples for the study. Data collection on the respondents' socio-demographic and economic characteristics, medication adherence, and health beliefs were obtained by questionnaire interviews (the questionnaires were tested for its reliability and validity using the Cronbach's Alpha). The collected data was analyzed using SPSS version 17.0 for frequency distribution, measures of central tendencies, significance testing and logistic regression.

RESULTS

Most of the respondents (61.6%) were 51 years old and above. About 63.8% were females, and belonging to Malay ethnic group (63.1%). They were mostly married (98.4%). Only 40.3% of the respondents have no formal education. About 91.1% of them were unemployed or housewives and 61.7% were having monthly income less than RM1000. The lowest adherence rate was for follow-up treatment (24.2%), and the highest (38.9%) for frequency in taking medication. There were statistically significant associations (p<0.05) between socio-demographic and economic factors such as age, race, education level, occupation, household income, distance of clinic, and transport

costs with medication adherence. Health beliefs about the seriousness of DM (Table 1), benefits of treatment (Table 2), and self-efficacy in taking medication (Table 3) also have statistically significant associations (p<0.05) with medication adherence. The multiple logistic regression analysis has shown that health beliefs about the severity of DM, benefits of treatment, and self-efficacy in taking medication were the three main predictors of medication adherence (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Most of the respondents who perceived that DM was a serious disease and that they were susceptible to its complications were compliant towards medication. This finding was consistent with that of other study⁴ on a similar subject. There was statistically significant association (p<0.05) between these perceptions and medication perceptions adherence. This indicated that regarding the severity and susceptibility to DM were factors that can predict medication adherence among the respondents. Those who believed about the severity and susceptibility to the disease would be adherent with the prescribed medication^{4, 22}.

The subject's health beliefs about the benefits of taking treatment were also significantly associated (p<0.05) with medication taking behavior. This finding concurred with that of other study²³ which revealed the significant association between belief about the benefits of taking medication and medication adherence. This implied that the positive beliefs about taking medication were predictors of medication adherence.

It was discovered that some respondents faced certain barriers in adhering with the diabetic treatment regimens, in terms of the frequency in taking medication as well as attending follow-up treatment. The respondent's beliefs about these barriers have statistically significant association (p<0.05) with medication adherence. Other researchers ^{24, 25} have reported the same finding, which concluded that beliefs about barriers in taking medication would result in medication non-adherence.

There were statistically significant associations (p<0.05) between health beliefs about self-efficacy in taking medication and medication taking behavior. This finding was similar to that reported by other researchers²⁶, which showed that health beliefs of self-efficacy in taking medication have influenced the patients' medication adherence.

CONCLUSIONS

The respondent's health beliefs about the severity of DM and their susceptibility to the complications of the disease have positively contributed towards medication adherence. They would also take their medication, if they believed in its benefits. The subjects' concerns about their socio-economic

well-being, beliefs in the effectiveness of medication, and health campaign on diabetic control were positive cues to medication taking behavior. Modifying the related health beliefs and reinforcing the positive cues to actions are the relevant intervention strategies that could be used in improving medication adherence of diabetic patients.

Table 1 Distribution of mean scores of health beliefs about perceived susceptibility and severity of DM and medication adherence

	Mean scores of health beliefs on medication adherence Problem in:								
Variables									
	Amount Frequency			Duration		Follow-up			
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Perceived susceptibility									
and severity	13.4±	15.7±	$2.8\pm$	$16.2 \pm$	14.1±	14.1±	14.1±	$14.2 \pm$	
$(mean \pm sd)$	5.5	6.7	4.4	7.4	6.0	5.8	6.5	3.8	
p value	0.001		0.001		0.972		0.912		

p value reached from independent sample t test

Note: Yes = Have problem (Non-adherent) No = No problem (Adherent)

Table 2 Distribution of mean scores of beliefs about benefits of taking medication and medication adherence

	Mean	Mean scores of health beliefs on medication adherence								
Variables	Problem in:									
	Amount Frequency			Duration		Follow-up				
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No		
Benefits of taking										
medication	14.9±	$17.7 \pm$	$14.1\pm$	$18.5 \pm$	$15.7\pm$	$16.0 \pm$	15.8±	15.7±		
$(mean \pm sd)$	4.3	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.7	4.0	5.0	2.8		
p value	0.001		0.001		0.478		0.864			

p value reached from independent sample t test

Note: Yes = Have problem (Non-adherent) No = No problem (Adherent)

Table 3 Distribution of mean scores on beliefs about self-efficacy in taking medication and medication adherence

	Mean scores of health beliefs on medication adherence Problem in:								
Variables									
	Amount Frequency			Duration		Follow-up			
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Self-efficacy in taking									
medication	19.8±	19.3±	$20.1 \pm$	$18.8 \pm$	$19.7 \pm$	$19.4 \pm$	$19.2 \pm$	21.0±	
$(mean \pm sd)$	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.1	2.5	
p value	0.119		0.001		0.409		0.001		

p value reached from independent sample t test

Note: Yes = Have problem (Non-adherent) No = No problem (Adherent)

Table 4 Factors affecting medication adherence: Multinomial logistic regression 0=Non-adherent (RC); 1= partially adherent; 2=completely adherent

Independent variables	Partial	adherence	Complete adherence				
•	β	OR (95% CI)	β	OR (95% CI)			
Previous condition of DM							
Not serious	-0.727***	0.483	-1.22**	0.295			
		(0.312, 0.749)		(0.13, 0.672)			
Serious (RC)	-	-	-	-			
Health Belief Model							
Perceived susceptibility and severity of	0.033	1.034	-0.008	0.992			
DM		(0.984, 1.086)		(0.902, 1.09)			
Benefit of taking medication	0.173**	1.189	0.162*	1.176			
		(1.104, 1.282)		(1.027, 1.346)			
Barriers in taking medication	-0.031	0.970	0.092	1.097			
<u> </u>		(0.893, 1.053)		(0.936, 1.284)			
Self-efficacy of taking medication	-0.077**	0.926	-0.041	0.960			
		(0.858, 0.999)		(0.833, 1.106)			
Intercept	-0.452		-0.377	, , ,			
Model Chi square	92.486						
df		12					
n		442	2				
RC		Reference Ca	itegory				

^{*}p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

There are several people who deserved to be acknowledged for their immense contributions towards the completion of this study. I am truly grateful to Datu Dr Andrew Kiyu for his technical contributions to this paper. Special acknowledgement must be given to the Sarawak Foundation for their financial support. My sincere thanks go to my loving wife and children for their patience and constant prayers throughout this research project.

REFERENCES

- 1. McCombs JS, Nichol MB, Newman CM, and Sclar DA. The costs of interrupting antihypertensive drug therapy in a Medicaid population. Medical Care. 1994; 32 (3): 214-26.
- 2. World Health Organization. Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycemia. Report of a WHO/IDF consultation; 1999.
- 3. Nelson MR, Reid CM, Ryan P, Willson K, and Yelland. On behalf of the ANBP2 Management Committee. Compliance question linked to major cardiovascular disease outcomes. Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology, 2006: 33 (Suppl): A20.
- 4. Daniel M, and Green LW. Application of the Precede-Proceed planning model in diabetes prevention and control: A case illustration from a Canadian aboriginal

- community. Diabetes Spectrum 1995; 8(2): 74-84.
- 5. Rhee MK, Slocum W, Ziemer DC, Culler SD, Cook CB, El-Kebbi IM, Gallina DL, Barnes C, and Phillips LS. Diabetes Educator. 2005; 31(2): 240-250. Available at: http://tde.sagepub.com/cgi/content/abstract
- 6. Taylor SE. Health Psychology (2nd Ed.). New York. MacGraw-Hill, Inc.; 1991.

/31/2/240.

- 7. Hernandez-Ronquillo L, Tellez-Zenteno JF, Garduno-Espinoza J, and Gonzalez E. Factors associated with therapy noncompliance in type 2 diabetes patients. Salud Publica Mex 2003; 45: 191-197.
- 8. Blanca RD, Blanca RC and Ernesto FG. Pharmacological therapy compliance in diabetes. Salud de Mexico. 2001; 43: 233-236[PubMed].
- 9. Sabate E. Adherence to long-term therapies: evidence for action. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2003. [cited 2008 March 30]. Available from: http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/200 3/9241545992.pdf.
- 10. Nagasawa M, Smith MC, Barnes JH, Fincham JE. Meta-analysis of correlates of diabetes patients' compliance with prescribed medications. Diabetes Education, 1990; 16:192-200.
- 11. Goodahll TA & Halford WK. Selfmanagement of diabetes mellitus: A

- critical review. Health Psychology, 1991: 10: 1-8.
- 12. Stoudemire A. Human Behaviour: An introduction for medical students (2nd Ed.). Philadelphia. J.B. Lippincott Company; 1994.
- 13. Brannon L and Feist J. Health Psychology: An introduction to behavior and health (2nd Ed.) Belmont, California. Wadsworth Publishing Company; 1992.
- 14. Kaplan HI and Saddock BJ. Psychological factors affecting physical conditions (Psychosomatic disorders). Baltimore, M.D.: Williams & Williams; 1991.
- 15. Malaysian Family Physician. Understanding Patient Management: The Need for Medication Adherence and Persistence. 2008; 3(1). [cited 2009 Jan 19]. Available at: http://ejournal.afpm.org.my/2008v3n1/und erstanding-patient-man.
- 16. Gottlied H. Medication non-adherence: finding solutions to costly medical Problem. Drug Benefit Trends. 2000; 12(6): 57-62.
- 17. Williams LK, Pladevall M and Xi H. Relationship between adherence to inhaled corticosteroids and poor outcomes among adults with asthma. Journal of Allergy in Clinical Immunology. 2004; 114(6): 1288-1293.
- 18. Nichols-English G. and Poirier S. Optimizing adherence to pharmaceutical care plans. Journal of American Pharmaceutical Association (Washington). 2000; 40(4): 475-485.

- 19. Hodgson P, Smith P, Brown, and Dowrick C. Stories from frequent attenders: a qualitative study in primary care. Annual Family Medicine. 2005; 3(4): 318-323.
- World Health Organization. Adherence to Long-term Therapies: Evidence for Action. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2003.
- 21. Peterson AM, Takiya L, and Finley R. Met-analysis of trials of interventions to improve medication adherence. American Journal of Health System Pharm. 2003; 60(7): 657-685.
- 22. Kurtz SM. Adherence to diabetes regimens: Empirical status and clinical applications. The Diabetes Educator 1990; 16(1): 50-9.
- 23. Bond WS, and Hussar DA. Detection methods and strategies for improving medication compliance. American Journal of Hosp Pharm. 1991; 48: 1978-1988.
- 24. Polly RK. Diabetes health beliefs, self-care behaviors, and glycemic control among older adults with non-insulindependent diabetes mellitus. The Diabetes Educator 1992; 18(4): 321-7.
- 25. Strecher, VJ, and Rosenstock, IM. The Health Belief Model. In: Glanz K. Lewis FM., Rimer BK., editors. Health Behavior and Health Education. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1997:41-59.
- 26. Schwab T, Meyer J, Merrel R. Measuring attitudes and health beliefs among Mexican Americans with diabetes. The Diabetes Educator 1994; 20(3): 221-7.