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Abstract

In the development of Muslim community, mosque is considered a nerve centre. Though varying in dimensions and structures, the mosque becomes a place of worship five times a day as well as a symbol for the diffusion of ‘truly’ Islamic knowledge. Since the approach of Islamic tolerance values was introduced by the Malaysian government in 2004, the mosques throughout the country have actively promoted the new ways of improving the life of Muslims, especially among Muallaf. This study is an attempt to examine the administration and activities of the mosques in supporting the ideas of Islamic tolerance values. Friday’s khutbah (sermons), religious preaching, forums as well as the celebration of various events in the Islamic calendar will be analysed in order to illustrate how the new understanding of Islam is being promoted to the Muallaf. This paper argues that the mosque, where the believers assemble for prayers as well as for the spiritual upliftment, is the respectable premise that should be utilised extensively for the changing of Muslim minds towards this worldly life.
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INTRODUCTION

In every Muslim community, the mosque is considered as a place of worship as well as a centre for the Muslim community. ‘Mosque’ or ‘masjid’ in Arabic refers to all types of buildings where Muslims assemble for daily prayers. Literally, ‘masjid’ means “to bow” or “to knell” in reference to the prostrations performed during prayers. The importance of mosque in the life of Muslims is not just for prayers as demonstrated by the Prophet Muhammad s.a.w. From the *sirah*, the first thing the Prophet did upon arriving near Medina after the emigration from Mecca in 622 was built the Quba Mosque and shortly later he established the Masjid al-Nabawi. As a centre for Muslims’ community development, these two early mosques were not just devoted for prayers but became a place for learning about Islam as well as the place planned for military action, the meeting and negotiations, the settling of disputes and even the place where some
people lived (Faisal et al. 2012). In Islam, the three most holiest mosques are the Masjidil Haram in Mecca, the Masjid Nabawi in Medina and Masjid al-Aqsa in Jerusalem. However, other mosques are also considered sacred although each may have different features and adapting to various cultures around the world.

In Malaysia, the mosque is a common building found in most urban and rural areas in the country. Many new mosques have been built throughout the country to cater for the increasing number of Muslim population, particularly in new housing estates. The construction of the mosques, like many mosques around the world, has been associated with the signs of purity and the intention to serve Allah The Almighty, particularly through the prayers and acts of remembrance knowing that Allah presence pervades and hollows the atmosphere. Besides prayers, education is a primary function of mosques in the forms of formal and non-formal. Some mosques have full time schools that teach traditional Islamic contents and general knowledge while some have non-formal classes (Abdul Ghafar et al. 2012). Since the introduction of the concept of Islamic tolerance values, mosques throughout the country have been looked upon as a proper premise to have been involved in promoting that concept. Mosques’ functions and activities therefore have been channeled to change the minds of Muslims towards the betterment of this worldly life.

APPROACH OF ISLAMIC TOLERANCE VALUES AND THE ROLE OF THE MOSQUE

As soon as he assumed the mantle of the premiership of Malaysia in late 2003, Abdullah Ahmad Badawi announced the new framework policy known as “Islamic Tolerance Values Approach”, a programme to enhance the Islamic development of the country. Islamic tolerance values is projected as a “wholesome approach towards developing the human, society and country based on the perspective of Islamic civilization. It is a comprehensive and holistic approach towards creating a civilisation particularly in Malaysia” (Abdullah 2007). In order to achieve the objective of the programme, he outlined the 10 principles as a framework to create a developed and modern Malaysian society as follows:

- Believing in and obedience to Allah
- A fair and just government
- The independence of the human life
- The acquisition of knowledge
- A balance and comprehensive economic development in Islamic perspective
- A quality standard of living
- The protection of women’s and minority groups’ rights
- Culturally and morally strong
- The preservation of the environment
- A robust and resilient defense system

The Premier’s programme has been elaborated and explained further by many leaders of the government as follows:

i- The then Minister in the Prime Minister Department (2004-2007), Abdullah Md. Zain explained that:

“Islamic tolerance values are an Islamic concept which emphasises on the developmental aspect, such as Islamic education, good management, superior civilisation attributes, positive growth, not only in the physical sense but also spiritual, in the development of religion, education as well as economy”.

ii- Nakhaie Hj. Ahmad from the Yayasan Dakwah Islamiah Malaysia (Malaysian Islamic Dakwah Foundation) stated that:

“Through Islamic tolerance values, this country will be developed to become the first Islamic country to reach developed nation status using its own mold. This country is now entering a new phase of growth; a development policy that will lead to the formation of a contemporary Islamic civilisation”.

iii- Dr. Ghafarullahuddin Haji Din of the Centre for Islamic Thought and Understanding (CITU), Universiti Teknologi Mara opined that:

“In general, Islamic tolerance values are a system and a method which is progressive, advanced, dynamic and civilised. The adaptation of this concept will produce a society that is knowledgeable and highly cultured based on virtuous moral values, thus enabling them to meet contemporary challenges. The concept has a clear vision, which is to build Malaysia as a model of an Islamic country”.

“Islamic tolerance values mean Islam with the attributes of a well-developed civilisation; it is the opposite of Islam raj’i, which means Islam is backward and obsolete. In other word, Islam emerges with positive values: Islam is just, Islam is freedom, Islam is merciful, Islam is loving and caring” (Musa Ahmad et al. 2005).

In a more critical view, especially on the implementation of the new agenda of Islam in Malaysia, Ismail Haji Ibrahim, former director of the government’s Institute of Islamic Understanding Malaysia (IKIM) stressed more on the abused religious issues and became “worthless and empty speeches, or purely symbolic and rhetorical”. He
posed several challenges and questions that should be carefully addressed and organised such as the question of “how can we develop a dynamic Islam which is liberal, advanced and based on the knowledge of this world and the Hereafter in our society today, such that this development is made to be more meaningful compared to the period before, where the society in general have never even heard of the term Islamic tolerance values?” He also emphasised on the conflicts and inconsistencies on the implementation of Islamic law; various elements that lead to the nation’s moral degradation; some sort of wild entertainment that caters to lust in which he said should have no place in Islamic tolerance values; a huge mistake if the publics believes that Islamic tolerance values has achieved its aims after a few speeches and sermons or just after organising one or two forums and discussions; and the challenge to the arena of education to change and integrate the concept of knowledge and Islamic education including re-evaluation of the present curriculum to make it relevant to the concept of Islamic tolerance values (Musa 2005).

Having declared that Islamic tolerance values approach can be a compass that points to success in this world and the Hereafter cannot be accomplished without a Muslims’ understanding of the concept (Razaleigh et al. 2012). Therefore, some researches have been carried out in order to measure whether Muslims throughout the country can come to terms with the concept or not. For instance, Abdul Ghaffar (2008) from the Department of Islamic Development Malaysia (JAKIM) has surveyed 191 respondents who were the staff of the department on their perceived of the concept. Most of the respondents have involved directly in the implementation of Islamic tolerance value programmes and supposed to be familiar with the concept. The finding was surprising as 128 respondents (55.5%) revealed that the term “Islamic tolerance values” is confusing. On the other hand, 128 respondents (55.5%) acknowledged that the 10 principles outlines as a framework of the Islamic tolerance values approach are consistent with Islamic teachings. This finding shows that the main problems of Islamic tolerance values is the term used and not the content. Without the adjective “tolerance”, Islam as a religion has already encouraged Muslims to be progressive and dynamic. Therefore, though Islamic tolerance value programmes do not change the basic principles and core teachings of Islam, quite a big number of respondents (44.5%) viewed that Islamic tolerance values approach initiated by the government has a political motif. In other words, the sincerity of the government to pursue the agenda of Islam through Islamic tolerance values are questionable.

Another research done by Md. Yunus et al. (2006) from Islamic Science University of Malaysia (USIM) surveyed 566
respondents in Klang Valley on their perceived understanding on the concept of Islamic tolerance values. The result revealed that 52.5 percent of the respondents indicate that they do not understand what Islamic tolerance values are meant. Meanwhile, 68.7 percent admitted that they were either not sure or agree that Islamic tolerance values are instituted by the government to gain political mileage.

Based on some of the above findings, it is important to measure the mosque congregation perceived on the concept of Islamic tolerance values. Assuming that they are the religious people who always gather in the mosques, their understanding of Islamic tolerance values are crucial to achieve the objectives outlined by the government (Razaleigh et al. 2012). This study was done in the state of Selangor because some findings revealed that Selangor has a good management of Islamic affairs including the mosques programmes. Moreover, the number of mosques in this state are bigger compared with other provinces in Malaysia. So, the finding of this research will represent for the whole country of Malaysia.

CONCEPT OF MUALLAF IN ISLAM AND MALAYSIA

The word ‘Muallaf’ means those who are changed from their previous religions to Islam and become Muslim. The Encyclopedia of Islam explains that the word Muallaf comes from the Arabic ‘Al-Muallafa Qulubuhum’ which means “those whose hearts are won over” or “those hearts that need softening” (Bosworth et al. 1993). The term applied to those former opponents of the Prophet Muhammad who are said to have been reconciled to the cause of Islam by gifts of 100 or 50 camels from the Prophet Muhammad’s share of the spoils of the battle of Hunayn, after Muhammad’s forces had defeated the Hawāzin confederation, and which were divided out at the al-Dijrana. The list included the Meccan Leader Abū Sufyān and his sons Muawiyya and Yazīd and various Bedouin chiefs from the tribes of western A’rabia (Abdul Ghafar et al. 2012). On the other hand, the actual phrase is connected with the Qurān in the Sūra Al-Tauba: 60, which means: “Zakāt is for: the poor, the destitute, those who collect it, reconciling people’s hearts (Muallaf), frees slaves, spending in the way of Allah, and travellers. It is legal obligation from Allah. Allah is all knowing, all wise”

From the above phrase, Muallaf should be given Zakāt in order ‘to win’ and ‘to soften’ their hearts to follow Islam as a way of life. To give better understanding about Muallaf, especially their categories according to the Islamic view, the word should be discussed together with Zakāt. These two things are determined in Islam as being included within the category of Fiqh. Several discussions among Islamic
scholars showed that the term *Mu'allaf* also includes non-Muslims, but only for the purpose of turning their hearts to Islām; for example, al-Qarādāwī states, where there is a group of non-Muslim being courted in the hope that they will accept Islam, such as the case of Safwān. During the opening (al-futūḥ) of Mecca, Safwān was given a period of four months by the Prophet Muhammad to consider accepting Islām. When the battle of Hunayn occurred, he took part in it. The Prophet then lent Safwān his sword and gave him a few camels, taken from a valley. Consequently, after that incident, Safwān became a good Muslim (al-Qarādāwī 1986). The ḥadīth Sohīh referring to the event appears in the Sunan al-Tirmīḍhi, which means: “*From Safwān, son of Umayya, who said: “On the day of the Hunayn war, the Prophet had given me something. Truly, he was the person whom I hated most but he always gave me (something) until he really became the person that I love most*”

The ḥadīth mentioned above explained that Prophet Muhammad had transformed Safwān who hated him most at first, but through love, care and material showered on him by the Prophet, his hatred changed to love. Similarly, there is another ḥadīth Sohīh collected by Shawkāni and cited by Ahmad with the sanad from Anas: “*Truly, the Prophet never asks something except for the importance of Islām unless he was definitely able to fulfil it. Once, a man come and asked him something, he then was told to take a large part of the Zakāt, (goats) which occupied land between two mountains. So, the men went back to his people and said: My people, all of you should embrace Islām, for Muhammad (loves) gave something as though a person was afraid of hunger*” (Al-Shawkānī 1987: 120-121).

As a result, almost all of the Islamic scholars agree the non-Muslim as a part of *Mu'allaf* as a way to persuade them to embrace Islām. Unfortunately, they do not verify the categories clearly. Only al-Qurtubī mentioned three of them, which are:

i- Those who have just embraced Islām. They need support in order to build up their confidence towards Islām. al-Qurtubī quoted from al-Zuhri, who was of the opinion that those included in this group were the Jews and Christians who had newly accepted Islām, even though they were rich.

ii- Leaders and public figures amongst them who had many friends who were non-Muslims. By giving them a part of the Zakāt, it was hoped to be able to attract them and their friends and get them to embrace Islām as was in the case when Abū Bakar gave Zakāt to Uday bin Hatīm and Zabarqan bin Bdr. Both of them were of high social standing in their society.
iii- The middle-men who can persuade other non-Muslims to embrace and accept Islam such as an act of war. In this matter, they received a part of the Zakāt to become such a middle-man (al-Qurtubī 1954: 180).

After the death of Prophet Muḥammad, the companions directed their attention to the rights of the Muallaf in receiving Zakāt. They do not explain further the meaning of the group, and these were the reasons, categorising the Muallaf. For example, during the time of Prophet Muḥammad, Uyayna bin Hisn, al-Aqra’ bin Habīs and Abbas bin Mirdās were each given a guarantee (a letter) from the Prophet and Abū Bakr that they were to be given a portion of the Zakāt collection. When the letter was brought to the notice of Umar, he immediately tore up the letter. He said: “The Prophet gave you that portion to entice you to Islām. Now Allah has exalted Islām and there are no longer any bonds between you and us. If you are still in Islām, then we accept you but if you are not, then our tie is through the sword” (Amiur 1991: 141-142).

After that Umar read al-Qurān, Sūra al-Kahf:29, says: “It is the truth from your lord, so let whoever wishes have īmān and whoever wishes be kāfir”. They went back to Abū Bakr and told him what had happened and thus asked: “Are you the Caliph or Umar?” Abū Bakr answered: “Umar!” (Razaleigh & Salasiah 2013: 404-411). Abū Bakr did not refute the sayings and actions of Umar, nor did the other companions until that matter achieved the consensus of opinion (ijma’) of them all, according to some scholars. There were no comments from Uthmān and Ali about that portion hitherto meant for the Muallaf being taken away from them. When Abū Bakr was caliph, he continued giving the part to Uday bin Ḥafīm and the people on his area.

After the era of the companions, there are a few differences of opinion among the Fiqh Scholars (Ahlu al-fiqh), for example, Hanafī Fiqh scholars were of the opinion that the portion for Muallaf should be abrogated; they thus lost all rights after the death of Prophet Muḥammad. They based their opinion on the ijma’ of the companions of the Prophet, since Abū Bakr and the other companions did not question the action and sayings of Umar. However according to al-Qurtubī, the Malikī scholars had two differences of views on this matter, which are that the loss of Muallaf rights was due to the strength and the expansion of Islām and the rights of the Muallaf are permanent as long as they have done their work in persuading other non-Muslims to embrace Islām. According to Shāfi‘ī, there are two opinions on the problem of giving Zakāt to the Muallaf:

i- The new converts to Islām could be given part of Zakāt because Allah has commanded Zakāt taken from Muslims
to be given back to Muslims and not to be given to people of other religions.

ii- The non-Muslim should not receive any part of the Zakāt even to attract them to Islām. Although the Prophet had once given part of the Zakāt to some non-Muslim in the Hunayn War, it was actually from the property of ‘ghanīma’ (acquired without the use of force or struggle) and more specifically from the Prophet’s own property.

Al-Nawawī was in complete agreement with Shāfi‘ī’s opinion that in order to attract the hearts of non-believers to Islām, ghanīma’s property, or any other, could be used, but not out of the Zakāt collection. Meanwhile according to al-Qarādāwī, the Muallaf includes both non-Muslim and Muslims, giving the portion of the Zakāt to them would be in order if it could attract the non-Muslim to Islām. The prohibition concerns giving a part of Zakāt specifically to them. New converts, however, should receive their part as prescribed.

However, there are two things not really clear from the above discussion. Firstly; the period which somebody who has newly embraced Islām can be called Muallaf. The second is related to integration, or in other words, how the Muallaf integrate with the Muslims. If the period referred to the stand of ‘Umar in relation to Uuyaynah bin Hisn, al-Aqra’ bin Habīs and Abbas bin Mirdās, the period is only two years. This is based on the term which Abū Bakr has been a caliph until the early term of U’mar. Unfortunately, some subsequent Islamic scholars followed basically what Prophet Muhammad did during his life in giving Zakāt to Muallaf (including those who were non-Muslims) as long as they enhance Islām. That means, they will continue to be called Muallaf and will receive the Zakāt collection.

The way Muallaf integrate with ordinary Muslims probably can be seen best through a consideration Islamic education or sometimes the discussion can be found in the ‘da’wa Islāmia’ section. For example, according to al-Qurtubī the reason to give attention and guidance to Muallaf in the way of Islām is part of da’wa methodology since it is obligatory for Muslims to propagate Islām, to save them from the swords of the Muslims here on earth and the fire in the life after death. After that, al-Qurtubī gave some emphasis by giving them priority in guidance, briefly as follows:

i- To prevent them from deeds that may spark social unrest.
ii- To make them realise the wholesomeness (Shumūl) of Islām as their constant guide on the right path.
iii- To encourage them to hope for the blessing of Allah, so that their hearts are open to accept the teaching of Islām.
iv- To show them how Islām always cares for and loves the Muallaf and that the Prophet Muhammad is a blessing for all and especially the new convert.

Meanwhile, according to Ibn Hishām the purpose of providing guidance to the Muallaf in the basic knowledge of the ‘Dīn’ (religion) and instilling them with qualities of correct moral behaviour is to encourage them to willingly embrace Islām, and to avoid evil deeds. Ibn Hishām said that the best example of love and guidance can come from ‘Hijra’. The companions were ever willing to sacrifice themselves, their nation, home, wealth, friends and families to migrate to Yathrib(Medina). As an example, Ali bin Abī Tālib willingly took the place of Prophet Muhammad, lying on his bed even though he knew the pagan Quraiṣh had already designed to kill the Prophet. Abū Bakr, constantly looked ahead to ensure that he had chosen the best way for the Prophet Muhammad; and at the same time looked back to see no danger coming from behind in order to protect the Prophet whilst on their journey to Yathrib(Ibn Hishām t.t).

In Malaysia, the concept of conversion followed from what was discussed as the Islamic view above. This was strongly reflected with the rule of Zakāt. However, in certain areas unrelated to the rule of Zakāt, there are several different practices concerned with the concept, which can be summarised in two parts:

i- The Malay Muslims call the Muallaf ‘our brother’ (or ‘Saudara Kita’ in the Malay language) and ‘new brother’ (known in the Malay language as ‘Saudara Baru’). The word ‘new brother’ (Saudara Baru), used in the Malay Muslim society in Malaysia, is to indicate and state that someone has embraced Islām. Referring to them as such is a way to enhance Islamic brotherhood’s care for and love of the converts. However, this also may alienate the converts and cause them to become separated from the mainstream of the Muslim umma as the converts may feel that they are not one of the born Muslims. This can divide Muslims into born Muslims and converts. This is not good for integration, that is, to encourage them to socialise and mix freely as Muslim brothers (Razaleigh 2013).

ii- In general, instead of the words ‘Saudara Kita’ and ‘Saudara Baru’, Malay Muslims categorised all the converts in one category called ‘Muallaf’ which referred to those who are not Malay. It happens because, as Malays, they do not know whether the Muallaf was born as a Muslim or just embraced Islām. Then, this also invited some problems. For example, the word seems to indicate there are gaps between them, and for sure it will be
considered a racism issue. On the other hand, the *Muallaf* have prior complex feelings because they assume they are on their own, without any support from their surroundings. All this makes the integration between Malays as a majority, and the *Muallaf* as ‘new comers’ to Islām, get worse. The problem appeared to be not a simple matter, and it was faced not only by *Muallaf* but also by other minorities. The difference was that what was happening to *Muallaf* was considered as being between Muslims, for the others it was between different races (Razaleigh 2013).

**MOSQUE’S ADMINISTRATION IN THE STATE OF SELANGOR**

The administration of Islam in Malaysia is rather complex. While the *Yang Dipertuan Agong* continues to be the Head of the Muslim religion in his own state and in Malacca, Penang, the Federal Territories, Sabah and Sarawak, there is no head of the Muslim religion for the whole of the Federation. Each of the other States including Selangor has its own Ruler as the Head of Islam. Though in some aspects the administration of Islam may differ from state to state, efforts have been taken continuously to coordinate, particularly by the Department of Islamic Development Malaysia, under the Prime Minister’s Office.

The administrations of the mosque, such as the appointment of mosque’s officers and the enforcement of the rules regulating it come under the State Enactment. Majlis Agama Islam Selangor (MAIS - Islamic Religious Council of Selangor) and Jabatan Agama Islam Selangor (JAIS- The Islamic Religious Department of Selangor) are both the state government agencies which are responsible directly to the Ruler of Selangor. According the Selangor’s Mosque Administration Division (1999), seven committees have been set up to manage daily matters and programmes as follows:

i- Committee for economy and finance  
ii- Committee for education and missionary  
iii- Committee for social and culture  
iv- Committee for cleanliness and environment  
v- Committee for the Qur’an and *takmir*’s programme  
vi- Committee for women affairs  
vii- Committee for youth and recreation

Besides congregational prayers held daily in the mosques, the committees have been directed to organise the following programmes:

i- Religious speeches  
ii- Religious forums  
iii- The course for funeral management
iv- The course for understanding Islam
v- The course for family’s sakinah (kindhearted)
vi- The course for imam and bilal
viii- The course for hajj and ‘umrah (pilgrimage)
i- The course for pre-married
ii- Marriage ceremony
iii- Tahlil and feast programme
iv- Other programmes directed by the Department

According to Senarai Masjid Negeri Selangor Tahun 2005-2010, there are 772 mosques and 1,417 surau (small mosque) throughout the State and more than 20,000 officials have been elected to manage the mosques and surau.

METHODOLOGY

The primary purpose of the study was to assess the effectiveness of mosques’ programmes on the upliftment of ‘religiousity’ among congregants, especially Muallaf. However, for the purpose of this presentation, the perceived mosques’ congregants on the agenda of Islamic tolerance values will be discussed. This study was carried out through a survey conducted in 20 selected mosques located at Selangor in July-October 2012. The primary data collected consists of the mosque’s congregants in which a sample of 200 Muallaf respondents who are the regular mosque attendees were chosen. The sampling unit was individual, who was given a set of questionnaires. In the section E of the questionnaire, the respondents were asked to indicate their perceived understanding of Islamic tolerance values. Data gathered were analyzed using SPSS WIN 12 for the descriptive and inferential statistics to answer the objectives of the study.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 revealed some demographic characteristics of the Muallaf respondents. The majority of Muallaf respondents were male (77.5%) compared to female (22.5%). Most Muallaf respondents were Indian Muslim (99.5%) and the majority of them (51.5%) age between 46-60 years old and above. In terms of marital status, 74% of them were married. With regard to their education level, 49 % were studying at secondary school and 27 % were university graduates. In terms of income earned per month, 38 % of the respondents got less than RM 1000.00; 40 % between RM1000.00 - 2000.00 and 13.5 % between RM2001.00 – 3000.00. Only 5% got more that RM 3001.00 and above. The distance between respondents’ house and the mosques will also reflect the frequencies of attending mosques’ programmes. Data
showed that 150 (75.5%) of the respondents resided less than 1 km from mosques.

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the Muallaf respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics variable</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnics</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indian Muslim</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese Muslim</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-45</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-60 and above</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>74.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Educational level</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;RM 1000.00</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000.00-2000</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-3000</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3001 and above</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 showed the effectiveness of mosque programme to the understanding of Islamic tolerance values principles. The respondents were asked to indicate the effectiveness of the programmes based on the five scale points as follows: 1= not at all effective; 2=not effective; 3= less effective; 4= effective; and, 5= most effective. According to table 2, the overall mean score of perceived understanding of Islamic tolerance values is 3.6435 meanwhile the standard deviation is 0.70765. The value of mean score acquired shown that the understanding of respondents is at a fair or moderate level. From the 10 principles of Islamic tolerance values, only the first principle “Believing in and obedience to Allah” get higher score mean (4.0). Meanwhile “The preservation of the environment” obtain the least mean score (3.41).

Table 2  Effectiveness of the mosque programmes towards the understanding of Islamic tolerance values principles (n=200)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Means</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Believing in and obedience to Allah</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>.79572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. A fair and just government</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.6750</td>
<td>.86784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. The independence of the human life</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.6250</td>
<td>.89351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The acquisition of knowledge</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.8100</td>
<td>.82297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. A balance and comprehensive economic development in Islamic perspective</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.5700</td>
<td>.85366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. A quality standard of living</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.6750</td>
<td>.82361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The protection of women’s and minority groups' rights</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.6000</td>
<td>.81444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Culturally and morally strong</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.4200</td>
<td>.97897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. The preservation of the environment</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.4100</td>
<td>.96777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. A robust and resilient defense system</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>3.6500</td>
<td>.92291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Overall mean score =3.6435; standard deviation =0.70765
Source: survey 2012
What can be derived from the data above is that the diffusion of Islamic messages through the mosques has not been comprehensively conveyed. All spheres of *al-din*, including the issues of protecting the environment; economic growth; managing the right of women, disable and minority groups; establishing a fair and just government; enhancing the quality of life; and building resilient defense system in the country have not yet been communicated properly to the people through mosques. Islam, as a way of life, is not only aimed to produce a new outlook of faith but also to develop a new order, i.e. to become a perfect Muslim and establish a dynamic community that can stand facing the contemporary challenges.

**CONCLUSION**

As Islamic tolerance values is projected to change the minds of Muslims towards a well-balanced material and spiritual development of the country, the potential of the thousands of mosques throughout the country should be properly utilised. In order to build a nation whose citizens, particularly Muslims, are strong and committed to the highest standards of ethical and moral values, mosques throughout the country should be managed according to the Islamic tolerance values objective. The messages of Islam, as a way of life, should be emphasised and promoted through the platform of mosques.
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