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**ABSTRACT**

Recordings of frog calls from the genus *Hylarana* were conducted at seven study sites in Sarawak, Malaysia. The results showed that each species differs in terms of call characteristics, in which a high number of pulsed note belongs to *H. baramica*, while high repetition note belongs to the sibling species, *H. glandulosa*. Higher pitched and number of amplitude spectrum, however, belong to *H. signata*. Pulsed note and note repetition differed significantly among species, except for spectrum amplitude. Advertisement calls for each species were described in detail. This study provide a baseline data on advertisement call characteristics of frogs from the genus *Hylarana* for future studies of these frogs particularly and the Oriental frogs generally.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Studies on advertisement call characteristics of frogs are very limited in Malaysia. A study on advertisement call characteristics of Malaysian *Leptolalax* was successfully conducted by Matsui (1997). The study revealed that advertisement call characteristics of *Leptolalax heteropus* differs from the others suggesting phylogenetic divergence. Call characteristics were also used as one of the characters to revalidate *Hylarana laterimaculata* from the synonym of *Hylarana baramica* (Leong et al. 2003). In addition, Lardner and Lakim (2004) investigated call preferences in female tree-hole frogs and since then no other intensive study on frog advertisement calls of Bornean *Hylarana* has been carried out. This paper aims to document and describe call characteristics of selected frogs from genus *Hylarana* Tshudi 1838 from Sarawak, Malaysia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Since the genus *Hylarana* is widely distributed and occupies various habitats it is highly recommended that sampling localities represent most of their habitats. For this, seven study sites in Sarawak were chosen for data collection as shown in Figure 1. The study sites consisted of four National parks (Kubah/Matang, Bako, Mulu and Similajau) and three unprotected areas (Borneo Height, Sadong Jaya and Bario).

Different habitats were surveyed at night making digital recordings of the Bornean *Hylarana* encountered using Sony iCD-SX30 recorder and Sony microphone. Data on air temperature were measured using data logger. The call variables measured in this study followed Matsui (1997) and Bee (2003). Size of calling frogs was taken,
to allow an analysis of how the calls vary due to these ecological factors but the data was presented elsewhere (Ramlah et al. 2009). Several calls (2-3 individuals) of each male of Bornean *Rana* were recorded. Sample size (n) was indicated as the number of calls or notes analyzed in 60 seconds, and means were given for the number of calls recorded. The size of calling frogs was taken, to allow an analysis of how body size varies due to basal body temperature (°C) and fundamental frequency (kHz) of the calling frogs. Calls were analyzed from 2 to three individuals (Leong et al. (2003)) or depending on the number of males caught, using SoundRuler Acoustic Analysis ver 0.9.6.0 (Gridi-Papp, M (ed.) 2003-2007).

Terminologies for acoustic characteristics (Appendix) follow Littlejohn (2001), Matsui (1997) and SoundRuler variables (Bee 2003). The Euclidean distance \( \sqrt{\sum(X_{ik} - X_{jk})^2} \) and the PCA analysis were performed on call variables to determine which variables were good characters in describing the species of Bornean *Hylarana* and the data were presented elsewhere (Ramlah et al. 2009). Identification of frog captured was done by using various reference books (Inger & Stuebing 2005; Inger et al. 1985).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Each species of *Hylarana* showed different call characteristics (Table 1). High number of pulsed note belongs to *H. baramica*, while high repetition notes belongs to the sibling species, *H. glandulosa*. Higher pitched and number of amplitude spectrums, however, belong to *H. signata*. Additionally, pulsed note and note repetition differ significantly among species (Table 1) except for spectrum amplitude. The results were consistent with other studies that shown significant difference of note repetition rate among species (Leong et al. 2003; Straughan 1975), thus suggesting that the character (note repetition rate) is a good indicator for species discrimination. The study, however, also implies that amplitude spectrum is not a good character for species discrimination. Call characteristics of five species of *Hylarana* were described below.

**HYLARANA BARAMICA**

The Brown marsh frog (*H. baramica*) produced repetated low-pitched notes, as noted by Inger and Stuebing (2005) and Leong et al. (2003). The call notes, dominant frequency, and call harmonic were comparable to those Leong et al. (2003) (Table 1). Two types of advertisement calls were also heard as in Leong et al. (2003), but for simplicity, the call analysis was only done for the normal type Leong et al. (2003) of advertisement call. Generally, the normal advertisement call of *H. baramica* (example, rz223 *Hylarana baramica* from Matang population) consists of 10 pulses in a note (Figure 2) with 8 repetitive notes in one minute (60s) of calling period (Figure 2a). Spectrogram of note 1 (Figure 2c) shows a low-pitched call between 1-3 kHz, and only one peak of amplitude as shown by the amplitude spectrum of note 1 of *H. baramica* (Figure 2d).
The Rough-sided frog (*H. glandulosa*) produced a loud resonant sequence of ‘WAHK’ as in Inger and Stuebing (2005). The advertisement call consists of 1 pulse in a note (Figure 3b) with 34 repetitive notes in one minute (60s) of calling period (Figure 3a). Frequency spectrogram of note 3 (Figure 3c) shows lower pitch (below 2 kHz) compared to that of *Hylarana baramica*, but there are two peaks (one minimum and one maximum peak) as shown by amplitude spectrum of note 3 (Figure 3d).

**HYLARANA SIGNATA**

Advertisement call of the Striped stream frog (*Hylarana signata*) consists of 6 pulsed notes of sharply decreasing intensity (Figure 4b) with only two repetitive notes in one minute (60s) of calling period (Figure 4a). The call description was similar with that of *Hylarana picturata*, as described by Inger and Stuebing (2005). Frequency spectrogram of note 2 (Figure 4c) showed higher pitch (up to 4 kHz) as compared to those of *Hylarana baramica* and *Hylarana glandulosa*, and there are three peaks (two

---

**TABLE 1. Summary of call characteristics of the five species of Bornean Hylarana**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number of Pulsed Note</th>
<th>Note Repetition/1 min</th>
<th>Pitch (KHz)</th>
<th>Amplitude Spectrum (kHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>H. baramica</em></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Low (1-3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. glandulosa</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Very Low (1-2)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. signata</em></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>High (2-4)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. picturata</em></td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Moderate high (2-3)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>H. luctuosa</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Very low (1-2)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X²</td>
<td>0.6*</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>1.6<em>nS</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*X²*exact significant at *P*=1.00; *nS*oo Not significant

---

**FIGURE 2.** Call characteristics of *RZ223 Hylarana baramica* showing a) oscillogram of the call (60s), b) oscillogram of note 1, c) spectrogram of note 1, and d) amplitude spectrum of note1
FIGURE 3. Call characteristics of RZ260 Hylarana glandulosa showing a) oscillogram of the call (60s), b) oscillogram of note 3, c) spectrogram of note 3, and d) amplitude spectrum of note 3.

FIGURE 4. Call characteristics of RZ214 Hylarana signata showing a) oscillogram of the call (60s), b) oscillogram of note 2, c) spectrogram of note 2, and d) amplitude spectrum of note 2.
minima and one maximum peaks) as shown by amplitude spectrum of note 2 (Figure 4d).

**HYLARANA PICTURATA**

The sound produced by the Spotted stream frog (H. picturata) consists of 2-3 consists of 2-3 pulsed notes (Figure 5b) with quick, high pitches. The call of H. picturata has higher note repetition rate (Ramlah et al. 2009) meaning that the species produced 14 repetitive notes per 60s (Figure 5a), which differed from the sibling species, the Striped stream frog H. signata, which only has 2 repetitive notes per min (Figure 4a). Spectrogram of note 3 (Figure 5c) of Hylarana picturata showed low pitched call between 2-3 kHz, and only one peak of amplitude (Figure 5d). It was difficult to determine which calls belong to which species as both species have been treated as the same species in the past (Malkmus et al. 2002). Unfortunately, Inger and Stuebing (2005) and Malkmus et al. 2002 have not published or described the call of H. signata. It is likely that their recorded call of H. picturata might belonged to that of H. signata, since the call description of 4-6 pulsed notes of sharply decreasing intensity (H. picturata in Inger and Stuebing 2005) was similar to the call of H. signata in this study.

**HYLARANA LUCTUOSA**

The Mahagony frog (Hylarana luctuosa) produced a call that resembles the ‘MEOW’ of a cat as in Malkmus et al. 2002. The advertisement call consists of 1 pulse in a note (here note 3, Figure 6b) with only three repetitive notes in one minute (60s) of calling period (Figure 6a). Frequency spectrogram of note 3 (Figure 6c) shows much lower pitches (1-2 kHz) as compared to other Bornean Hylarana, but possesses one apparent peak (Figure 6d). The males formed calling communities at natural ponds at Kubah National Park with each male calling from a small hole excavated adjacent to the pond.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of this study were consistent with other studies (Leong et al. 2003; Matsui 1997) that revealed advertisement call characteristics are good characters for species recognition. Calls are also a good character that can be used in addition to genetical distances to infer speciation and reproductive isolation. Females can discriminate between different calls and choose males on this basis, thereby generating sexual selection on call traits (Jennisons & Petrie 1997; Lardner & Lakim 2004; Sullivan et al. 1996). Studies on the pattern of geographic variation that evaluated the effects of selection and ecological constraints show substantial differences among populations in signals emitted (Castellano et al. 2000; Ryan et al. 1996). Given enough data, advertisement call of a frog can be a powerful tool for discriminating species, and much cheaper than using molecular tools. Thus, this study provides a baseline data on advertisement call characteristics of Bornean frogs for future studies of these frogs particularly, and the Oriental frogs generally.
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